In The United States District Court For Southern District of New York	
Annamarie Trombetta,	C' 'I A ' N 10 0002 B A HDD
Plaintiff,	Civil Action No. 18-cv-0993-RA-HBP
VS.	
Norb Novocin, Marie Novocin, and Estate Auctions Inc.	PLAINTIFF'S REPY TO DOCKET 548
and	
WorthPoint Corporation	
Defendants	

PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO DEFENDANTS NORB AND MARIE NOVOCIN AND

ESTATE AUCTION INC, 'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN OPPOSITION TO

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO

E.R.C.P RULE 59(e) ANDPURSUANT TO E.R.C.P RULE 60 FOR RELIEF FROM A

JUDGMENT BASEDUPON ERRORS AND THE DECISION WAS MANIFESTLY

UN JUST

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

A. PLAINTIFF 'S PRELIMINARY STATEMENT
B. STANDARD OF REVIEW RULE 59 (e) RULE 60
ARGUMENTS
C. PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO ESTATE AUCTIONS INC OPPOSING MEMORANDUM OF LAW DOC 548
D. TEN REASONS TO REVIEW EAI'S EBAY SALE RECEIPT8
1- APRIL 27, 2022 EAI EBAY TRUNCATED RECEIPT EAI00058-59
2- NOV. 16, 2022 EAI EBAY RECEIPT EAI00060 MINUS YELLOW BAR / TEXT
3- NOV. 22, 2022 in DOC. 371 META DATA ELECTRONIC EMAIL
4 -Doc. 371 AND EXHIBIT #6. EXAMPLE OF DIGITAL EMAIL
5- JUDGE CAVE'S ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DOC.371 AND DIGITAL EXHIBIT
6-JUDGE CAVE'S ADMISSION NOT AN IT PERSON PAGE 14
7- DEC. 5, 2022 PATRICK O'LEARY'S AFFIDAVIT AND EXIBITS DOC. 322
8- DEC. 9, 2022 LETTER EAI FAILED TO PRODUCE ELECTRONIC EMAIL Doc. 331
9- DEC.13 2022 9- EAI PRODUCE TRUNCATED EVIDENCE i EAI000073 to EAI000079
10-DEC.16.2020 PLAINTIFF LETTER TO JUDGE CAVE ON EAI EVIDENCE Doc. 339
E PERMANENT INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
E. CONCLUSION.

### **CASES**

White v. N. H. Dep't of Emp't. Sec., 455 U.S. 445, 451 (1982)
"Meghani v. Shell Oil Co., 2000 U.S. Dis. LEXIS 17402 *2, (S.D. Tex. Aug. 24, 2000) (citing Divane v. Krull Elec. Co., Inc., 194 F.3d 845, 848 (7th Cir. 1999)
"Holtz v. Rockefeller & Co. Inc., 258 F.3d 62, 69 (2d Cir. 2001) (quoting Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 248 (1986)
(16 Casa Duse,LLC v Merkin,791 F.3d247,256(2nd Cir. 2015)17 U.S.C. §102(a)(2)11
RULES
Fed. R.Civ.P. 59 (e)
Fed.R.Civ.P. 60
Fed. Rule 2015
Local Rule 6.3
Fed. R. Civ. P. 96

#### A. PLAINTIFF'S PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Estate Auctions Inc. Norb and Maric Novocin and attorney Anderson Duff filed an Opposition against Plaintiff's Motion, Doc 542 Pursuant to Rule 59(e) which allows Plaintiff the right to submit newly presented evidence and/or to amend/correct clear errors. Any errors changing or effecting the controlling laws which renders a decision manifested unjustly, justifies the use of Rule 59(e) and Rule 59 (e) (3) fraud. The Rule 60, relief is for 60(b)(1), mistakes, inadvertence and excusable neglect. The Rule 60 (3) (b) is for misrepresentation or misconduct by the opposing part, and or Rule 60 (6) is defined as any other reason for Relief from Judgment. For all these reasons a Motion should prevail if properly plead. White v. N.H. Dep't of Emp't. Sec., 455 U.S. 445, 451(1982).

Plaintiff notes to the Court the most recent, willful delay to undermine the Plaintiff's actions. Attorney, Anderson Duff failed to email me a copy or EAI's Memorandum of Law Doc. 548, filed on April 2, 2024 as per the legal requirement. In general, we all forget to email or send documents to the other party. Despite a two day delay, on April 4, 2024, I still did not receive Mr. Duff's Memorandum of Law Doc. 548. On April 4, 2023 I filed a letter with the Court in Doc. 549, to no avail. I filed another letter with the Court on April 6, 2024, in Doc. 550 to document Mr. Duff's willful failure to send me Doc. 548. On April 8, 2024

WorthPoint's attorney, Adam Bialek. emailed me Mr. Duff's filing in Doc. 548. (As aPro Se Plaintiff I am not signed onto Pacer etc. Defendants know this). Time revealed Estate Auctions Inc and Mr. Duff's repeatedly delay in a very calculated pattern, to stall, all done to create endless problems and time constraints for the Plaintiff. EAI's willful intended delays to deceive me have caused Plaintiff to experience, stress, sudden ill health, most notable in Dec. 2022 into Jan. 2023 when I contracted Covid. The case events that contributed to contracting Covid harkens back to one simple Discovery request for Estate Auctions Inc.'s sales receipt for the 1972 oil painting allegedly sold on eBay.

Estate Auctions Inc. avoided or delayed production of documents and evidence, was compounded by the consistent deficiency in their evidence or contradictory statements. This pattern was evident in the online oil painting advertisement for the alleged sale of the 1972 Original Oil Painting Man With Red Umbrella. Estate Auctions Inc. advertisement dated this painting 1972 and included the year I was born. The four foot sized painting stated it was signed Annamarie Trombetta. The advertisement correctly spelled my first name. Years later, in Discovery, Estate Auction Inc produce the signature in red pencil that misspelled my name The purpose of filing my lawsuit, is to document if there is a 1972 oil painting it is counterfeit. My name, though incorrectly misspelled on the 1972 painting, could be passed off as an original and my name on that painting significantly increases its value from a few hundred to a few thousand dollars. The aim of my lawsuit in 2018, was to have the two forged signatures removed from the painting, to be compensated and to receive Declaratory Relief. Plaintiff asks the Court to consider that the whereabouts and the owner of the alleged the 1972 painting are all unknown. The artist and the person who signed the painting are also unknown. In Mr. Novocin's deposition, he testified that the person who allegedly bought the 1972 painting "Gifted" it to another party. Nothing can be verified or proven that it even exist.

I filed my Motions to Amend or Correct Rule 59 (e) and Rule 60 due to Judge Swain's Memorandum Opinion and Order in Doc.528. Plaintiff was not sure if the Court reviewed my two dated emails in 2015, verifying my consent and the confirmation that my biography had been listed on askART in 2015. To assure this, I filed my two 2015 emails and included, third party internet archivist, Wayback Machine and its only caption date on Sept 22, 2015. The three exhibits are in my Motion in Doc. 542. Under Fed. Rule 201, my personal emails 2015, detailing askART biography listing can be considered as adjudicative facts concerning the dispute or difference to the year my biography was listed on ask ART. This

evidence is helpful in determining the proper outcome in the case. My Motion R. 59 (e) and R. 60 was filed after I read in Doc. 538, which overlooked early facts in my case. The reassignment to Judge Swain was in June 2023. Respectfully, I note the omission of my Jan. 17, 2020, Proposed Amended Complaint in Doc. 33 and the dismissal of Doc. 341 which is Plaintiff's Proposed Amended Complaint to include F.R.C.P Fraud 9. Filed as **EXHIBIT #2** for the Court's convenience is a copy or Doc. 341.

#### B. STANDARD OF REVIEW RULE 59 (e) RULE 60

After a nonjury trial, the court may, on motion open the judgment if one has been entered, to add evidence, testimony, or to correct or amend findings of facts. Motions to amend or alter the judgment should be granted when there exists "a manifest error of law or fact, so as to enable the court to correct its own errors and thus avoid unnecessary appellate procedures. "Meghani v. Shell Oil Co., 2000 U.S.Dis. LEXIS 17402 \*2, (S.D.Tex. Aug. 24, 2000) (citing Divane v. Krull Elec.Co., Inc., 194 F.3d 845, 848 (7th Cir. 1999). Use of Rule 59 (e) has to be based on incorrect factual determinations, if the court overlooked controlling decisions data or evidence required by the court to review. A fact is considered material if it "might" affect the outcome of the suit under the governing law and an issue of fact is a genuine one where "the evidence is such that a reasonable jury could return a verdict for the nonmoving party. "Holtz v.Rockefeller & Co.Inc., 258 F.3d 62, 69 (2d Cir. 2001) and Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 248 (1986). A court has discretionary authority to amend its prior decision. Under F.R.C.P. Rule 60(a) further provides that the court may correct a clerical mistake or a mistake arising from oversight or omission when a substantial one is found in a judgment, order, or other part of the record. F.R.C P. 60 (b) 60 (1), (b)(2), (b)(3), and (b)(6), the Court may relieve a party from a final judgment or an order if mistakes were made or any reason that justifies relief.

Plaintiff documented all my actions prior to my lawsuit. My filings affirm, I never had any involvement or knowledge of either Defendants or its 1972 painting. Their public, internet post, listed under my name for 1972 Original Oil Painting, Man With Red Umbrella was fake. WorthPoint's ad had a photo of a signature (A. Trombetta) yet the ad wrote the 1972 original painting was signed "Annamarie Trombetta". WorthPoint's webpage had a copyright symbol, logos and written statement "© Copyrighted work licensed by WorthPoint", under its photo of the signature in oil paint in WorthPoint' webpage. Since it is not my signature or painting and I didn't have any association to either company, I only knew the ad was false and the signature in oil paint was forged. In August 2015, I immediately contacted eBay and WorthPoint and did so till Nov.2015. Verified in Pl. Evid. 000016, filed in Doc. 33 and last filed in Doc. 517- 4 on p.. 29 is WorthPoint's employee Anita Brooks' deceitful written direction for me to contact eBay to remove the false 1972 post. In Dec. 2015, in a recorded phone call with Jamie, an eBay rep, I was informed the false 1972 post was on WorthPoint's website- Jamie gave me WorthPoint contact info (inserted box). During this phone call, Jamie transferred me to eBay

```
00;15;36;21 - 00;15;45;22

Jamie eBay Customer Service
Okay. The phone number for WorthPoint contact information. Customer
service will be 877 481-5750.
```

During the same call I was transferred to an eBay specialist, named Archer. He confirmed the 2012, 1972 oil painting sale was "undefined". Plaintiff has known from December 2015 that

```
Archer
And if they help you further, I can't pull up any correct information associated with the sale now because it's UNDEFINED Nothing is showing up here ...Ah ...just the source.. that it's sold on eBay.
```

the advertisement produced by Estate Auctions Inc. was completely fraudulent. The failure of Estate Auctions Inc to produce one fully legible, eBay sale 's receipt, initiated my request for the electronic native raw or original email to verify or prove the 2012 sale did or did not occur.

### C. PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO ESTATE AUCTION INC.'S OPPOSING MEMORANDUM OF LAW PLAINIFF'S MOTION SURVIVES UNDER RULE 59 (3)

Mr. Duff's Memorandum of Law in Doc. 548 states on page 2 "Because Plaintiff fails to identify any controlling decisions or data overlooked by the Court that might reasonably be expected to alter the conclusion articulated in the Court's Order, Plaintiff's motion to alter or amend the Order pursuant to Rule 59(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure should be denied in its entirety."

As aforementioned, Estate Auctions Inc. produced a signature that misspelled my name and purported they "grabbed" my biography from ask ART in 2012, when in fact my biography was listed for the first time, in 2015. I add to these two falsities that Estate Auctions Inc.'s initial production of the alleged 2012 eBay sale failed to be completely legible. It took another seven months for EAI to produce a complete uncut eBay sale receipt. Unsurprisingly the second production of the eBay sale receipt failed to replicate and or duplicate the same text and same visual icons in the first eBay sales receipt. The strategy and pattern of Estate Auctions Inc has always been to ignore or consistently delay, in order to deceive and produce deficient, incomplete and unverifiable evidence. Mr. Duff stated the Rule 59 Motion are denied unless the moving party can point to controlling decisions or data that the court overlooked." Plaintiff will structure my argument in chronological order.

#### D. TEN REASONS TO REVIEW EAI'S EBAY SALE RECEIPT

- 1- I bring to the Court's attention the date of production and content in EAI's alleged eBay sales receipt with deficiencies on the right side of the eBay sales receipt. It was produce on April 27, 2022 almost three weeks past the first Discovery deadline, bate stamped EAI00058.

  Annexed for the Court's convenience as **EXHIBIT # 1**
- 2- To bring to the Court 's attention the production and content in EAI alleged eBay

sales receipt t produce on November 16, 2022, seven months after the first sales receipt. It is bate stamped EAI00060 in **EXHIBIT #3.** The visual differences between EAI's April 27, 2022 ebay sales receipt and EAI's second eBay are very remarkable and with blatant changes Missing is the bold Yellow bar under Congratulations your item sold. The text deficiencies seen in EAI second receipt reveal it s not the same as the first eBay receipt. There was allegedly one sale. Simple logic commands the two receipts should be and look the same.

3- I bring to the Court's attention my letter filed with the Court on November 22, 2022 in Doc.

371. My letter was filed a day before the Conference call. Plaintiff wanted to document and illustrate what an electronic/ digital email looked like and I wanted the Defendants to see it, also Judge Cave and for it to be on the Court record. Inserted in the box below is an excerpt from my November 22, 2022 letter. Plaintiff filed visual examples of a complete email and the same email exported as a digital electronic raw email file. Again my request for an electronic PDF

Plaintiff is submitting an EXAMPLE what I am requesting from Mr. Duff. I have submitted a print out of my Feb. 20, 2016 email from WorthPoint Customer Service and in addition I have attached a PDF version of the SAME email in the original, raw message format so the Court is aware of what is is I am requesting from Mr. Duff for the Dec. 1 2012 eBay email to Estate Auctions Inc. EXHIBIT# 5 Feb. 20, 2016 email Print out EXHIBIT#6 PDF version of raw message of Feb. 20, 2016 Feb. 20, 2016 from WorthPoint Customer Service.

was to discern which of the two eBay receipts that were not authentic. Filed as **EXHBIT #5** are exhibits #1 to #5 in Doc. 371 which document the dates when I made my requests to Estate Auctions Inc. to produce the eBay sales receipt.

4-- I bring to the Court's attention my letter filed on November 22, 2022 filed in Doc. 371

I filed an example of an electronic raw email from WorthPoint sent to me on February 20, 2016 to the Plaintiff. I have attached my November 22, 2022 electronic mPDF from Doc. 371 as

EXHIBIT #6. The complete digital copy has the original email with coding and IP addresses.

Nothing can be cut off, truncated it is on a white background not an abnormal black background.

5- I bring to Your Honor's attention Judge Cave's acknowledgment of Plaintiff' letter filed on November 22, 2022 in Doc (ECF). 371, noted on line 12, 13 on page 16 the November 23, 2022. I also note in line 13 to 16 Judge Cave states that the meta data is a "bunch of

```
THE COURT: And what I'm interpreting Ms.

Trombetta to be saying is she wants to confirm that
the PDF and the raw email that was sent to her are, in
fact, the actual authentic documents. And so if you
look at exhibit 4 to her letter yesterday, which is
ECF number 317, it's a bunch of garbled characters
that make no sense to me but I am sure to an IT person
they'll say, yes, this is the metadata for an email.

And so --
```

garbled characters that make no sense to me but I am sure to an IT person they'll say yes, this is the metadata for an email". I note that Judge Cave admits she does not know or understand the meta data of an email.

6- I bring to Your Honor's attention Judge Cave's acknowledgment regarding meta data "Her Honor stated "as a lay person and I don't know the first thing about this either" the reference is to the meta data for the email on page

In summary, Estate Auctions Inc's eBay email meta data for the 2012 oil painting sales, in its entirety would confirm if there was an email and if a sale on eBay occurred. I was requesting the electronic email in a digital PDF format because in this format the content of the My intention was to send it to my internet expert witness, Patrick O'Leary to analyze next to EAI evidence bate stamped EAI000058 produce on April 27, 2022 and the second yet different

EAI eBay sales receipt bate stamped EAI0000060 produce on November 16, 2022. to find out which one was the true EAI eBay sales receipt. DURING the November 23, 2022 Conference call. The failure to produce the full eBay email confirms science, fraud and willful intent to deceive. Judge Cave and Mr. Duff admitted that each one did not know for sure what the meta

THE COURT: I think it's something different,

Mr. Duff, to be candid, I think -- I think what she's

talking about is the metadata for the email and I

realize that as a layperson, and I don't know the

first thing about this either, but do you have someone

in your office who could extract the metadata for the

email and then produce it basically like in a PDF?

Despite my visual examples filed on November 22, 2022, which was discussed during the Conference Call, Defendants failed to send me one easily exported email for the 2012 eBay sale. Instead, during the Conference Call, WorthPoint's attorney Adam Bialek emailed Plaintiff ambiguous coding. For the Court's convenience I attached the Doc. 526-1. Please view the exported electronic email Adam Bialek emailed to the Plaintiff during the November 23, 2023 Conference Call on Page 12 to 17 of **EXHIBT #7**. You can see the Message ID—the Date—To—From- and in blue type the Download Original one needs to click on to export the email. On pages 7 to 10 is Mr. Bialek's email sent to me which is just coding. Once it is seen it is easy to discern the deception to conceal the fact that Estate Auctions Inc did not truthfully produced the eBay exported email alleged from 2012. Please view Doc. 517 -9 on pages 22 to 28 to view Estate Auctions Inc incomplete, alleged evidence in EAI000073 to EAI000079 **EXHIBIT#8** 

Upon Judge Cave's admission that she did not know anything about meta data of an email. I contacted my expert witness Patrick O'Leary. After deciphering the coding sent to me by the attorneys, we both agreed to file an Affidavit so Judge Cave would understand the differences in the eBay receipts. Producing the Affidavit left no time for him to complete his expert report.

7- On Dec. 5, 2022 in Doc. 322, Plaintiff filed a letter and an extensive Affidavit by my internet expert witness, Patrick O'Leary. Mr. 'Leary's was contacted by the Plaintiff to decipher the ambiguous coding I received from WorthPoint's attorneys and not EAI's Mr. Duff.

8- On Dec. 9, 2022, in Doc. 331 I filed another letter to Judge Cave to inform the Court EAI still did not produce the native electronic email that take less than one minute to export.

9- On or Dec. 13, 2022, Estate Auctions Inc produced truncated document in EAI000073 to EAI000079. Mr. O'Leary and another computer colleague confirmed the data was bogus and ridiculous. Visibly there is no continuation from one page the next and like the first eBay email receipt. the right side of each page is cut off. The black background with white type is atypical.

10- On Dec. 16, 2022 in Doc. 339 Plaintiff filed a letter with the Court with an attachment to convey to Judge Cave, the text in EAI0000073 to 79 is not the raw email text. Without being exported text can be cut, pasted and altered in a page document. Judge Cave neglected to consider these facts, despite my visual ads that I filed in Doc. 339.

The way to prove an email is true is to export the email electronically which is easily done in one minute. My Dec. 16, 2022 letter requested Judge Cave, who admitted she was not a IT person to reconsider dismissing EAI's deficient evidence. Just by looking at EAI0000073 to 79, each page is cut off on the right side and bottom. The same pattern by EAI to produce bits, fragments and pieces is done to conceal or change the facts. My biography was not on askART

in 2012. I have irrefutable evidence in my dated emails. According to Local Rule 6.3 all matters or controlling decisions which I believe Judge Cave and the Court has overlooked, stem from Estate Auction Inc's fragmented, cut or altered versions of the eBay email and EAI000073 to 79

Both Defendants EAI and WorthPoint Corp.have falsely accused me of not producing any new evidence. My 2015 bate stamped price list my Jan. 2025 email response to Professor Goldstein and Professor Goldstein's passing, the November 23, 2022 Conference call and my actual and statutory damages and an email sent to Defendant on Mr. Patrick O'Leary's rates/fees.

#### H. CONCLUSION

Plaintiff has been forced to defend myself against the most unethical Defendants.

Estate Auctions Inc has willfully ignored the fact that I am not the artist and misattributed the 1972 Oil Painting, even though my name is mispelled. In 2017, I hired a lawyer. The Novocin ignored the fact that I lost a sale due to their false ad. The unending problems, gamesmanship, withheld Discovery is indicative of willful intent to deceive and commit fraud. Defendants' actions have worked an extreme and undue hardship against Plaintiff. The expense to produce Mr. O 'Leary's Affidavit, resulted in his inability to complete his report. WorthPoint sent a forty dollar check despite sending them Mr. O'Learys hourly rate and created problems for me. Mr. O'Leary was my key witness, who owned an online website company. Plaintiff requests, as a legal remedy that the Court grant permanent injunction relief against both Defendants. My lawsuit and Motion in Doc. 542 seeks to legally prevent Estate Auction Inc and its owners from any further use of my name on "false" misattributions in an attempts to create a counterfeit secondary art market with my brand and my identity. Plaintiff respectfully request the Court to grant Plaintiff's Motion and grant any other further or different relief the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted by

Annamarie Trombetta 175 East 96th Street (12 R) New York. New York 10128 Pro Se Plaintiff ——Electronic Signature ——
/s/ Annamarie Trombetta April 9, 2024

Annamarie Trombetta Pro Se Plaintiff

### **EXHIBITS**

#### PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO ESTATE AUCTIONS INC. DOC. 548

EXHIBIT #1 Estate Auctions Inc. April 27, 2022 eBay receipt EAI000058

EXHIBIT #2 Doc. 342 Plaintiff's Proposed Amended Complaint for Estate Auctions Inc

EXHIBIT#3 Estate Auctions Inc. April 27, 2022 eBay receipt EAI000060

EXHIBIT #4 November 23, 2022 Conference Call Page 14 and page 16

EXHIBIT #5 November 22, 2022 Doc. 371 with Exhibits # 1-#2-#3- #4 and # 5

EXHIBIT # 6 November 22, 2022 Doc. 371 Exhibit #6 Electronic Email

EXHIBIT #7 Plaintiff's Doc. 526

**EXHIBIT #8 Estate Auctions Inc Deficient Evidence EAI000073-EAI000079** 

•

In The United States District Court For Southern District of New York

Annamarie Trombetta,

Plaintiff, Civil Action No. 18-cv-0993-RA-HBP

VS

Norb Novocin, Marie Novocin,

Estate Auctions Inc.

and

WorthPoint Corporation

Defendants

\_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on April 9, 2024, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served upon the parties of record via the Court's CM/ECF system and directly to all attorneys listed below to include Adam Bialek, Jana Farmer and John Cahill attorneys for WorthPoint Corporation and attorney Anderson Duff representing Marie and Norb Novocin and Estate Auctions Inc.

Dated: New York, New York April 9, 2024

Respectfully Submitted by

Annamarie Trombetta 175 East 96th Street (12 R) New York. New York 10128 Pro Se Plaintiff ——Electronic Signature ——

/s/ Annamarie Trombetta April 9, 2024

Annamarie Trombetta Pro Se Plaintiff

#### Attorneys for Defendant WorthPoint Corporation

TO: Adam R. Bialek 150 East 42nd Street

New York, New York 10017 Telephone:

Telephone: (212) 915-5143 Fax: (212) 490-3038

Email : Adam.Bialek@wilsonelser.com

TO: Jana Slavina Farmer

1133 Westchester Avenue White Plains, New York 10604

Telephone: (914) 872-7247 Fax: (914) 323-7001

Email: Jana.Farmer@wilsonelser.com

Attorneys for Defendant WorthPoint Corporation

TO: John Cahill 150 East 42nd Street New York, New York 10017

Telephone: (212) 915-5143 Fax: (212) 490-3038

John.Cahill@wilsonelser.com

Attorneys for Defendants Norb Novocin, MarieNovocin, and Estate Auctions, Inc.

TO: Anderson Josiah Duff (via email) Hogan Duff, LLP

43-10 Crescent St., Ste. 12173

Long Island City, New York 11101

Telephone: (646) 450-3607 Email ajd@hoganduff.com Page 1 of 39

United States District Court Southern District of New York

Annamarie Trombetta

Plaintiff vs.

Norb Novocin, Marie Novocin and Estate Auctions Inc. and

William Seippel & WorthPoint Corporation 5 Concourse Parkway NE, Suite 2850 Atlanta, Georgia 30328

Defendants

Case No. 18-cv-0993-RA-HBP

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE
A PROPOSED AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR
FRAUD, EMOTIONAL DISTRESS, TORT AND
PERMANENT INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AGAINST
EAI AND NORB AND MARIE NOVICIN AND
WORTH POINT CORPORATION DUE TO THE
WILLFUL CONCEALMENT AND FALSIFICATION
OF FACTS AND FALSE ADVERTISING

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A PROPOSED AMENDED COMPLAINT TO INCLUDE FRAUD,, FALSE ADVERTISING EMOTIONAL DISTRESS AND A REQUST FOR DECLARATORY, PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

FIRST DEFENDANTS ONLY: ESTATE AUCTIONS INC.
NORB AND MARIE NOVOCIN
PROPOSED AMENDED COMPLAINT

Pursuant to Rule 15, Rule 15 (a) (2), Rule 15 (c) (1) (a), Rule 15 (d) and Rule 26.01 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and this Court, Plaintiff hereby respectfully submits this Memorandum in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File a Proposed Amended Complaint to add Fed. Rule of Civil Procedure Fraud 9 (b) and for the Plaintiff's Request for Permanent Injunction and Other Equitable Relief ("Amended Complaint"). Rule 15(c)(1) indicates that an amendment to a complaint will relate back to the date of the original pleading if certain conditions are satisfied. First, the law that provides the applicable statute of limitations must allow the relation back. Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(c)(1)(A).

#### INTRODUCTION

The Defendants, Estate Auctions Inc. have consistently purported confusing, illogical, multiple versions and obscured responses to Plaintiff's very simple and direct questions. Based on past

#### Case 1:18-cv-00993-RA-SLC Document 341 Filed 12/19/22 Page 2 of 39

Page 2 of 39

events and obvious false statements, spelling errors within the ad and in the red signature on the back of the 1972 Original Oil Painting and the recent production of three different, sales receipts for one eBay sale for the 1972 Original Oil Painting; Plaintiff set forth my Proposed Amendment Complaint to address these flagrant falsities. The additional Discovery responses and information in the Defendants' own filings exhibit such "bad faith," to the extent of willful, conscious intent, the actions necessitate a claim for Fraud and Tort. Plaintiff's claim for Fraud, also substantiates Plaintiff's initial Request for Declaratory Relief, as I am not the artist who created or signed the 1972 oil painting. The willful concealment, failure to produce any computer data, or any of the original 12 photos written and described in the as for the 1972 internet listing for the oil painting, conjoined with the delayed production of the misspelled red signature similar to my name; calls for the Plaintiff to request Permanent Injunction Relief to prevent any further false assertions from both Defendants. My Proposed Amended Complaint intends to illustrate contradictory EAI written and verbal statements, revealing fraudulent conduct, beginning from my first encounter with EAI Defendants Marie and Norb Novocin spanning through to EAI's the most recent production of three separate eBay receipts with distinct alterations, produced at different times.

Plaintiff's Proposed Amended Complaint is in tandem with Judge Cave's most recent Order in Doc. 308. The language of Rule 15 (a) (2) states the amendment should be freely allowed "when justice so requires." Further, Rule 26.01 states that an amendment may be allowed "at any stage of an action and may permit parties to sought to amend their pleadings just before trial. Also, Rule 26.01 gives the court's permission if the amendments are substantive— and support laws that creates or defines rights, duties, obligations, and causes of action that can be enforced by law. Additionally "under New York law" a claim for Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress (IIED) requires a showing of: (i) extreme and outrageous conduct; (ii) intent to cause, or disregard of a substantial probability of causing, severe emotional distress; (iii) a causal connection between the conduct and injury; and (iv) severe emotional distress." Rich v. Fox News Network, LLC, 939 F.3d 112 (2d Cir. 2019) (quoting Howell v. N.Y. Post Co., Inc., 596 N.Y.S.2d 350, 353 (N.Y. 1993)). As the Second Circuit has explained: Under

#### Case 1:18-cv-00993-RA-SLC Document 341 Filed 12/19/22 Page 3 of 39

Page 3 of 39

New York law, although the standard of outrageous conduct is strict, rigorous and difficult to satisfy, that is not the case when there is a deliberate and malicious campaign of harassment or intimidation. Plaintiff's claim of Tort, both intentional and negligent necessitates the Discovery Rule, a rule in tort law which sets the statute of limitations for a cause of action not to begin to run until the time that the injured party discovers or reasonably should have discovered the injury. Plaintiff claims for pain and suffering have spanned seven and half years.

Plaintiff seeks to amend my complaint for reasons defined within my submission below for well warranted, obvious and justified claims to include Fraud, Tort and IIED. The willful denial by the EAI Defendants conjoined with their reluctance to resolve this case when I produced to all Defendants irrefutable evidence and that I am not the artist, has resulted in a severe loss of time. the inability of Plaintiff to earn a living due to the submissions required in litigating this case and delay any rectification or resolution regarding a counterfeit painting baring a near similar name to the Plaintiff. This steadfast, willful reluctance, denial and/or prolonged production of Discovery are simultaneously consistent with emails sent from both attorneys who have both referenced the 505 Copyright Act for attorneys fees the Plaintiff will incur at the end of this case. Again, I did not paint in oils at age nine. I dod not paint or sign the 1972 oil painting, which I consistently conveyed, evidenced in phone recording in writing and before I filed a lawsuit and now produced within litigation. Discovery and deposition responses by the Novocins have uncovered and documented a conscious willful attempt to abscond Plaintiff's identity and reputation to falsely associate the 1972 oil painting with my true self authored biography. The willful attempts by all the Defendants, who requested Plaintiff to produce Settlement Letters was then rejected as was most recently on Nov. 23, 2022. This "entraps" the Plaintiff in continuous litigation, when I duly informed both parties and produced irrefutable evidence to substantiate all my claims. This full year that is almost at it's conclusion, has resulted in a continuous sustained, emotionally stressful set of circumstances, usurping Plaintiff's well being and causing loss of time while concurrently burdening the Plaintiff and the Court with e forced legal responsibilities to include the production of letters and documents by myself and my witnesses. All this work has derailed and

#### Page 4 of 39

prevented the Plaintiff from actively painting as pursuing my career. Since this case began in good faith and with integrity has consistently submitted responses and evidence to the unpredictable, excessive demands by both Defendants, which totals over nine hundred documents.

Granting the Proposed Amendment Complaint would not be futile because the Second Amended Complaint ("SAC"), just like the First Amended Complaint ("FAC"), contains detailed factual allegations supporting each of Plaintiff's claims. Each claim has a correlating exhibit of evidence in support to verify the Plaintiff's claim. There will be no futility resulting in examining the Plaintiffs' new claims, as these claims arise from the Defendants' willful intent to misrepresent Plaintiff' integrity and to willfully intend to misrepresent, to the Plaintiff. evidence, conduct and practices that Defendants have admittedly engaged. Based on the simple, plain reading of the evidence, all will assists in facilitating Plaintiff's claims confirmed by truthful facts substantiated in and by evidence produced to the Defendants and by the Defendants.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

The Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. FRCV Rule 15 provides that "a party may amend its pleading [with] the court's leave" and that "[t]he court should freely give leave when justice so requires." Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2). This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the New York State law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

#### Legal Standards of F.R.C.R Fraud 9 (b) To Amend Plaintiff's Complaint

Plaintiff's moves the Court to include fraud against each Defendant due to the constant, willful, conscious misbehavior with the knowledge that Defendants have purported false and misleading information to the Plaintiff, about the Plaintiff and in reference to the "1972 Original Oil Painting Ad Man With Red Umbrella", to the general public at large and at present, to the Court in the public filings of this case. All the Defendants, EAI and WorthPoint Corp. demonstrated by concealment of evidence and by submitted false evidence that all parties exemplify an intent "to manipulate, deceive and/or defraud." the Plaintiff and the Court. The Southern District Court of

### Case 1:18-cv-00993-RA-SLC Document 341 Filed 12/19/22 Page 5 of 39 Page 5 of 39

New York, also known as the Second Circuit has held that Rule 9(b) requires fraud complaints to allege facts that lead to a "strong inference" that the defendant has the requisite state of mind.

Plaintiff's Motion for my Proposed Amended Complaint harkens back to the Court's Scienter, notation by Judge Abrams in her Order and Decision filed in Doc, 187 on 12/21/21 on page 19 of 26. Judge Abrams wrote ~ "Trombetta also states that the use of her biography was unauthorized and that she contacted WorthPoint to inform the company of the "false posting" Id at 6. In other words, her biography was not "licensed by WorthPoint" as the webpage claimed. At this stage Trombetta's allegations with respect to the falsity of the CIM are sufficient to state a claim. They are sufficient to allege scienter as well." Prior to Judge Abrams December 21, 2021 conclusion, Judge Caves' March 19, 2020 Order and Decision, Doc. 40 on page 10 of 14 stated " With respect to the scienter element of both the § 1202(a) and § 1202(b) claims, the "Second Circuit has stated that courts should be lenient in allowing scienter issues to survive motions to dismiss." Aaberg, 2018 WL 15803037, at \*9 (citing In re DDAVP Direct PurchaserAntitrust Litig., 585 F.3d 677, 693 (2d Cir. 2009))". ~ Ms. Trombetta alleges that she contacted Mr. Novocin, Worthpoint.com employees, and Mr. Seippel on more than one occasion to complain about the misinformation in the internet posts about the Painting and request their removal. She alleges that, as to EAI, Mr. Novocin deliberately disregarded her requests and told her, "Go For It and Hire an Attorney," and as to Worthpoint.com, Mr. Seippel instructed that the posts be removed, but they were later reposted." The degree of the scienter element is typically and presumably determined throughout the Discovery process. Scienter can be proven by the presentation of evidence to show the perpetrator's state of mind, one of the key elements in F.R.C.P 9 (b) and must be plead with particularity. The evidence must typically show that the perpetrator acted knowingly, willfully, intentionally or in reckless disregard of the law. Plaintiff has detailed statements and facts below.

Pleading Rule 9(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure permits allegations of malice, intent, knowledge, and other conditions of the mind to be alleged "generally". <u>To plead such allegations sufficiently, one must offer sufficient facts to render the condition-of-the-mind</u> allegation plausible. "In order to properly plead fraud with particularity, the complaint must

#### Case 1:18-cv-00993-RA-SLC Document 341 Filed 12/19/22 Page 6 of 39

Page 6 of 39

allege the time, and content of the fraudulent representation such that a defendant can prepare an adequate response to the allegations." The Second Circuit standard goes beyond general statements when alleging intent. Plaintiff will meet this standard by "(1) alleging facts to show that Defendants had both motive and opportunity to commit fraud, or by (2) alleging facts that constitute strong circumstantial evidence of conscious misbehavior or recklessness.

" PetEdge, Inc. v. Garg, 564088, at \*9 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 10, 2017).

The elements of fraud are as follows:

- 1 -A lie or falsehood is made.
- 2- By the defendant with scienter, and the statement is false, or that there is no basis for believing that the information is factual.
- 3- The defendant intends to cause the plaintiff to do or not do some particular act based upon their falsehood or lie.
- 4- The plaintiff is justified in relying on the falsehoods or lies told by the defendant.
- 5- Harm results from the plaintiff's reliance on the defendant's statements Causation loss is "the causal link between the alleged misconduct and the economic harm ultimately suffered by the plaintiff.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS AND RELEVANT PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Please see attached Proposed Second Amended Complaint Court Application for the full Statement of facts that correlate to First Defendants Estate Auctions Inc and Norb and Marie Novocin

# Statutes of Limitation for Fraud in New York ~ Six Years GENERAL or Two Years From the Time of the Confirmed Fraud.

Under New York law, an action based upon fraud must be commenced within six years of the date the cause of action accrued, or within two years of the time, the Plaintiff discovered or could have discovered the fraud with reasonable diligence, whichever is greater. The cause of action accrues when "every element of the claim, including injury, can truthfully be alleged" "even though the injured party may be ignorant of the existence of the wrong or injury. Mere suspicion will not constitute a sufficient substitute" for knowledge of the fraud. Thus, while it is true that New York courts will not grant a motion to dismiss a fraud claim where the plaintiff's knowledge is disputed,

### Case 1:18-cv-00993-RA-SLC Document 341 Filed 12/19/22 Page 7 of 39 Page 7 of 39

courts will dismiss a fraud claim when the alleged facts establish that a duty of inquiry existed and that an inquiry was not pursued.

Plaintiff detailed in my Memorandum for WorthPoint the unending misrepresentation by WorthPoint Corp and eBay spanning a period from August 2015 through to 2017. Plaintiff did not conclusively confirm in Dec/Jan 2015 and 2016) that the eBay sale occurred. The eBay rep deemed the EAU 2012 sale "Undefined" This is duly recording in Plaintiff's eBay. m4a Evidence 000328 (phone recording). In 2017, Plaintiff could not understand why the internet link that was supposed to be permanently removed by WorthPoint, was under my name, again. On Jan. 4, 2017 I sent an email to WorthPoint Corp and did not receive any response. I then reached out to EAI to try find out if EAI uploaded the 1972 eBay sale and posted it on the internet. On Jan. 10, 2017, Plaintiff called (302) 628-9120, the telephone number associated with EAI. I spoke with Marie Novocin to find out if they were the sellers of the painting and if they had any information as to why their 2012 eBay sale was on the internet. coming up under my name. I also asked if they knew who had the painting. Shortly after, my phone call to Marie Novocin, Defendant, Norb Novocin phoned Plaintiff at my home number on Jan. 10, 2017 at 7;10 as documented in Plaintiff's Evidence 000330 Norb Novocin m4a (phone recording). This phone call affirms Plaintiff's questions to Mr. Novocin's affirms that he was indeed the seller of the painting. Mr. Novocin said he phoned me to tell me "he has a wealth of no knowledge" pertaining to the computer data. etc. Plaintiff documented with the Court Mr. Novocoin quote to me to "Go For It and Hire an Attorney" professing that we did nothing wrong. Months later exhibited in EAI **000001 to Evidence EAI 000003** is Plaintiff's hired attorneys 'letter dated August 28, 2017 sent to Mr. & Mrs. Norbert and Marie Novocin Estate Auctions Inc. Upon a lack of response from EAI

of EAI ignoring the letter by my attorney Plaintiff filed this lawsuit within months sent n Feb. 5, 2018. My decision to filed a lawsuit against EAI and Norb and Marie Novocin, was to legally declare the 1972 Original Oil Painting is not painted or signed by me. I do not want to be associated with this image. Estate Auction Inc. and attorney Anderson Duff clearly pointed out and wrote in an e-mail dated March 1, 2019 "We now understand that at least one third-party internet site scraped information about the December 1, 2012 eBay sale that contained the mis-attribution naming Ms. Trombetta and reposted the same on its website at least twice. Because Estate Auctions has no control over this third party, Ms. Trombetta had difficulty removing this *information from the website.* A settlement conference in the spring of 2019 before Judge Abrams was unsuccessful with an offer of one hundred dollars, Upon Judge Abrams ruling, Plaintiff was permitted to Amend my initial complaint to include WorthPoint Corporation. In April 2022, EAI produced evidence in EAI000011 thru to EAI00019, a signature in red pencil which has a different spelling than the Plaintiff. Additionally Plaintiff produced my signature and print on or around the year 1972. In reviewing the initial Discovery responses from both Defendants Plaintiff Motion to file to include Fraud on May 18, 2022 Plaintiff filed a Motion to Amend my Complaint to Include Fraud and request Permanent Injunctive Relief in Doc.224. Judge Cave's Order denied without prejudice Plaintiff's Motion.

# PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED AMENDED COMPLAINT TO INCLUDE FRAUD BY EAI AND NORB AND MARIE NOVOCIN

The Plaintiff inclination to include Fraud 9 (b) harkens back to Document 29 filed on December 3, 2019 Plaintiff brings to the Court's attention Page 2 and 3 of 16, in Plaintiff's December 3, 2019 filing. **EXHIBIT #1** Doc. 29 page 2 and **EXHIBIT #2** and **EXHIBIT #3** Doc. 33 filed on January 17, 2020 Page 14 - Relief #4 Identity Theft on a Public Platform. The Identity Theft and The

Assumption Deterrence Act as each separate law or claim applies to one or both Defendants and is within the statues of limitations. The Photo of the signature "A. Trombetta" that is not of the hand of the Plaintiff, had "copyrighted work licensed by worthpoint.com. It is a fact that the Plaintiff never signs in oil just the first letter of Plaintiff's first name. This constitutes "Identity Theft" -**Definition:** 

I den ti ty theft noun: identity theft; plural noun: identity thefts

- 1. the fraudulent acquisition and use of a person's private identifying information, usually for financial gain.
- 2. **Identity theft** and **identity fraud** are terms used to refer to all **types of crime** in which someone wrongfully obtains and uses another person's personal data in some way that involves **fraud** or deception, typically for economic gain.
- 1. 18 U.S. Code §1028. Fraud and related activity in connection with identification documents, authentication features, and information (a) Whoever, in a circumstance described in subsection (c) of this section 1) knowingly and without lawful authority produces an identification document, or a false identification document; (2)knowingly transfers an identification document, authentication feature, or a false identification document knowing that such document or feature was stolen or produced without lawful authority;
- 2. Under the Identity Theft and Assumption Deterrence Act, it is a federal crime when a person "knowingly transfers or uses, without lawful authority, a means of identification of another person with the intent to commit, or to aid or abet, any unlawful activity that constitutes a violation of Federal law, or that constitutes a felony under any applicable State or local law."

Plaintiffs seeks a Motion for Leave to Propose Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, to streamline this action when the Plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that all the Defendants are liable for the consistent and willful fraudulent misconduct alleged in this filing. Granting Plaintiff's Motion to Amend Plaintiff's Complaint,

allows the Plaintiff to submit new evidence verifying the fraudulent offenses described below, while demonstrating the justification for the Plaintiff's need to warrant legal action against both Defendants. All Defendants, prior to litigation have willfully contributed to displaying and purporting on the internet, absurd (4 ft painting at age 9), facts altering information adversing effecting Plaintiff's income producing ability and reputation. and creating falsities to include 1) a photo of false signature misattributed to Plaintiff 2) misrepresentation of my biographical history 3) visual circulation on the internet of false copyright and licensing claims by WorthPoint Corp. 4) false, misleading claims and association of the Plaintiff's name and biography with EAI, eBay and WorthPoint Corporation and 5) a damaged 1972 painting too large to paint at age nine. The seven Counts of Fraud described below begin chronologically and end in the order of importance.

## 1) FRAUD ~ COUNT I ~DEFENDANTS' KNOWN FALSE WRITTEN MISREPRESENTATION FRONTAL SIGNATURE "A. TROMBETTA" PHOTO

The first false written misrepresentation of significant consequences pertains to the only visible photo that appeared in the ad for the 1972 Original Oil Painting Man With Red Umbrella that was misattributed to the Plaintiff. The photo appears to be a signature in black oil paint on a canvas. Plaintiff has always regarded this signature as difficult and inclusive to be perceived as "A. Trombetta" It appears I am not alone. In the Sept. 21, 2022 Novocin deposition, WorthPoint's attorneys posed this question to Mr. Novocin, "From your experience, what is the importance of a writing being on the back of the stretcher as opposed to the painting itself, if any?"

Defendant Norb Novocin on page 37 and 38 duly stated on Sept. 21, 2022: "It helps us to understand what the signature was on the front. In other words, the signature on the front of the painting was garbled and it was kind of hard to read, when you turn it over, it had printed out what was and if it were up to me, I would make it almost a law that all the artists had to print out the

### Case 1:18-cv-00993-RA-SLC Document 341 Filed 12/19/22 Page 11 of 39 Page 11 of 39

actual name and so forth... Because some artists pictures are so, so hard to read. So this allowed us to be able to look up Trombetta, Annamarie. This one says Maria. I didn't remember it saying Maria, but that's how I looked it up".

Plaintiff reminds the Court that the signature "A.Trombetta" was the only photo in the 1972 ad on the WorthPoint website despite the EAI ad claiming that there were 12 photos. The ambiguity of the signature on the front the 1972 Original Oil Painting has been deemed "garbled" by the very person who allegedly created the eBay ad for the 2012 sale. Mr. Novocin affirmed that the signature, that was circulated throughout the internet under my name "was hard to read". Mr. Novocin states this signature was hard to read however it had my biography next to it, It is puzzling the this photo of the signature survived when all the other photos of the painting vanished. By willfully taking and included in the eBay ad for the 1972 oil painting Man With Red Umbrella, my name, my self authored biography with this "garbled" signature, a public false identity was circulated throughout the internet in my name. This garbled signature in the photos became a false identification documented feature. This fraudulent signature acquisition on the oil painting and use of the Plaintiff's personal private identifying information in my biography constitutes identity theft. As a result the Plaintiff went to my bank to alert the bank and inform them of the signature. My evidence as proof is in **Plaintiff'sEvidence 000237.** I also put a credit freeze on my credit cards as evidenced in Plaintiff's EvidencePlaintiff000248. Plaintiff need to bring to the Court's attention "Why was a photo taken of this signature? More importantly it was a false misrepresented. This false signature in the EAI ad on WorthPoint's website was listed under my name. Plaintiff is alleging and assert through Mr. Novocin statements that he knew the front black oil painting signature on the 1972 oil was questionable. Plaintiff set forth these reason in support of my allegation of intentional misrepresentation.

# 2) FRAUD ~ COUNT II ~DEFENDANTS' KNOWN FALSE WRITTEN MISREPRESENTATION OF ARTIST DUE TO THE AGE AND YEARS STATED

Plaintiff brings to the Court's attention the screen shot box below taken from the original text of the 1972 EAI eBay ad for the 2012 sale. Duly documented is the year of Plaintiff's birth is in the EAI eBay ad an is indeed written correctly as 1963. The painting is dated 1972, Based on the math, the age of the Plaintiff and the height of the Plaintiff at age nine, it is impossible for Plaintiff to be the artist. Plaintiff alleges that since Norb Novocin found, sold and created the text for the EAI eBay sale Mr. Novocin was aware that the Plaintiff year of birth was 1963. Mr. Novocin chose to include the year of 1963, in his advertisement description. The year 1972 was

"Gifted" 1977, "Painted" 1972. To our eyes it looks like it is after the style of Andre Kohn. It is quite large being approx. 48 1/2" tall and 17 1/2" wide. We are calling it shabby chic condition as it has a tear in the canvas, about 5/8" long just to the left of the man's knees, but still such a great painting. For those not familiar with Trombetta, here is information about her from off AskArt as they got it from her website: Annamarie Trombetta (1963 - )

designated as the year the oil was painted. It is evident and based on the exact statements in the text that Defendant Norb Novocin wrote and noted, Mr Novocin it is verified that he had to be aware of the Plainitff's age. Therefore, the fact that Plaintiff was nine years old when this painting was dated is duly known by [the] defendant. This text in the EAI ad is a prime element of intent to commit fraud exemplified and unequivocally exhibited in writing by Mr. Novocin. He knew or should have knows the facts and age Plaintiff. Intent to willfully commit fraud or willfully deny liability is duly revealed with the years of the artist's birth and the painting date in such close proximity to one another in the EAI ad. Circulating a low bid, questionable claim of attribution to sell a damaged painting on the internet caused Plaintiff professional and financial embarrassment and injury to the Plaintiff reputation and name. There is no basis for believing that the information is factual and in this case it is obvious that a nine year old cannot paint a four foot high

painting. These elements of Fraud are evident by the alleged facts claimed and documented in the EAI ad. The inclusion of the description," shabby chic" lacks professionalism and the term "Gifted" implies that it was not sold. The low bid price, given it's size, diminishes my actual prices, my name or "brand" and implies I use inferior materials due to a tear in the canvas.

# 3) FRAUD ~ COUNT III ~ DEFENDANTS' KNOWN FALSE WRITTEN MISREPRESENTATION OF NAME ANNA MARIA SIGNATURE IN RED ON THE BACK OF THE CANVAS ALSO CONSTITUTES "FALSE ADVERTISING"

The third count of fraud for a known false written misrepresentation is evident in the description for the 1972 Original Oil Painting Man With Red Umbrella and the false, misleading and misrepresentation by EAI and Norb Novocin claims of my full name on the back of the 1972 oil painting. The use of my name as the artist adversely impacts the quintessential, vital earning potential that a visual artist has—the pristine notoriety of one's name. The well respected reputation of an artist name known for achievements commands a greater demand for one's artwork and ultimately increases one's price point and earning potential. In the EAI ad. Plaintiff notes to the Court the careless misspelling of my last name by Norb Novocin in the EAI ad " This is by Annamarie Trombett [ e /- spelled with an E at the end. This was duly noticed by one of my potential witnesses, Alex Raspa who produced a letter that remarks on such an embarrassing spelling mistake as well as the date of the oil and my listed year of birth. This spelling mistake and the content in the EAI ad prompted Mr. Raspa to contact me to alert me about the false listing on the internet. His comments are evidenced in Plaintiff's Evidence Plaintiff000241. The incorrect spelling of my first name is documented by Mr. Novocin and was displayed to the public. I have noted over time, a pattern of misspellings, incorrect facts, vague inferences, willful concealments or delays in purporting a single responses to Plaintiff's reasonable and direct questions. EAI Defendants have demonstrated a plethora of willful concealments. One in particular importance is Mr. Novocin's description, spelling and location of a signature in "red" not stating it was in pencil.

"It is signed on the bottom, but on the back it has written in red on the stretcher, Annamarie

Trombetta "Gifted "1977 "Painted" 1972. The red signature in colored pencil not oil is highly suspect as a legitimate signature due to the fact that it was an oil painting, not a work on paper. As aforementioned Norb Novocin could not discern the alleged name "Trombetta" from the front signature on the canvas. Novocin claims the signature in red pencil, is the source, the reason and the foundation upon which Mr. Novocin misattributed a "run of the mill painting" as was described by Mr. Novocin, on page 43 of his deposition. Based on limited information, one source of reference and a willful affirmation that he did not look at Plaintiff's website, Mr Novocin designated my biography and name to attribute to the oil painting found in an attic in Chicago. For the record I have never been to Chicago nor do I know or sold an artwork to anyone in Chicago. Mr. Novocin's one stop research, demonstrated negligence even reckless behavior by omitting any other research via the internet or the Plaintiff's website. He failed to look up any other images and confirm or contact the Plaintiff. to validate if I was was the artist for his painting that Mr. Novocin named " Man With Red Umbrella". The fact that the alleged source used by Mr. Novocin did not feature any visual images, other signatures or prices for sold artwork are important issue to overlook. It is not plausible for any person to believe Mr. Novocin's rational, if any, given his limited, attempt to search for any other credible facts other than AskArt.

Aside from all this, the long awaited production of the red signature has at least three misspelling in relation to the Plaitniff. Moreover and what is important to note is, within the text of the EAI ad the name "Annamarie" is written as all one word, without a space in the middle and each spelling of Annamarie ends in the letter "e" at the end. The name "Annamarie" appears six times in the text of the EAI ad and all the spelling is consistently one word, not two separate names and not with the letter [a] at the end—marie not Maria. The text spelling contradicts the red signature spelling.

On page 53 of Norb Novocin's Sept.21, 2022 deposition, he states

"Once I saw the name on the back, I went to askArt. I put in the name Trombetta and

Annamarie come up. It is an unusual name. I have never come across it before. I did not—I do not remember seeing Anna Mari[A] on the back of the painting, so I just assumed it was the same person.

### Case 1:18-cv-00993-RA-SLC Document 341 Filed 12/19/22 Page 15 of 39 Page 15 of 39

Mr. Novocin continues on page 54 of his deposition: "I saw it had a biography, but no record of any paintings ever been sold, which I'll just leave it ar that. There's no records of any painting been sold on secondary market. I grabbed the biography and used that in the listing. Because there was no records of any painting to be sold, I wanted people to be able to associate somebody of value to the painting and so I went out and found another artist on askART that has painted before with umbrellas. I said it's much in the stye of and I mentioned that other person. So that way people can look it up and say, oh it's like that person. They paint with umbrellas also. That way, people do some research on it. They see something of value and are willing to spend more money."

Defendant Norb Novocin is referring to artist Andre Kohn. Mr. Novocin statement in his deposition demonstrated that he looked up another artist who paints umbrellas but WILLFULLY FAILED to look up the Plaintiff's credentials or website to see if the Plaintiff painted umbrellas which to date, I have not.. BELOW is the EAI statements. Mr. Novocin's negligence and omission to look up the Plaintiff on the internet was a conscious decision in the same way his decision and reason to include Andre Kohn and associate his name with the Plaintiff. Mr. Novocin's rational was to add 'Value so the buyer is willing to spend more money. "Mr. Novocin clearly states his incentive to associate the work of Andre Kohn was for financial gain. For the record, a secondary market does not give an artist any

This is by Annamarie Trombette. It is signed on the bottom, but on the back it has written in red on the stretcher, Annamarie Trombetta "Gifted" 1977, "Painted" 1972. To our eyes it looks like it is after the style of Andre Kohn. It is quite large being approx. 48 1/2" tall and 17 1/2" wide. We are calling it shabby chic condition as it

financial income or gain in reputation unless the secondary market or auction house is one of artistic noteriety such as Sotheby's or Christies' or at a Museum Auction where I had my works sold at auction. I might add that my works werebid on and sold for a higher price at auction, than my personal price point.

Moreover to add to this important count for Fraud is Plaintiff's first legal filing and documentation of Plaintiff request for Defendants production of the signature in red duly noted in the 1972 Original Oil Painting Man With Red Umbrella. My request is in **Doc. 22 filed April 24 2019 on Page 10 of 38 now Plaintiff's Evidence000387-I.** In April 2019, after a disappointing settlement conference with Defendants who failed to offer anything greater than one hundred dollars Plaintiff offered a potential

resolution. In response to this disappointing condfence, I filed a letter offering to reduce the amount of settlement if the of items listed in the EAI such as the 12 photos, the red signature, the buyer etc .were produced. Plaintiff's 2019 conditional offer request in Doc. 22 did not receive any response from EAI Defendants, nor attorney Anderson Duff. Number three on page 10 now bate stamped as **Plaintiff's**Evidence 000387I lists as No. 3. my request for " *A photograph of the actual signature in red described on the back of the painting.*" It took an additional three years for EAI to produced photos of the signature in red which is in pencil and clearly misspells the Plaintiff's name to render the signature verifiably not the Plaintiff's.

The LOSS of time from Jan. 2017 to April 2022 is five years, three years to includes litigation. The willful delay and concealment of the misspelled red signature was obviously known and purposely withheld to prolong and hopefully run out the time for the statues of limitation for fraud.. The red signature conclusively proves I am not the artist. Moreover from 2017 when I first informed Norb Novocin that I was not the artist, the Defendants have not produced the artist who painted Man With Red Umbrella nor the signer of this painting. The fact that an artist has not been identified supports Plaintiff assertion for willful concealment from 2017 to 2022. The willful and deliberate failure to respond or reasonably reconcile before or during this lawsuit supports Plaintiff claims of willful intent to commit and conceal fraud. The Defendants false material misrepresentation, material omission and material delay of such s pertinent detailed fact ie the red signature, verifies a false attribution and that the Plaintiff is not the artist. Aside from this Defendants objected to Plaintiff request to know the location of the signature in red several time.s Defendants failure to produce the location caused Plaintiff to subpoena the woman who possess the painting. The signature is located midway on the top stretcher bar of a four foot painting. All of the aforementioned constitutes willful concealment.

Moreover, Plaintiff produced my childhood signature in print and in script on or around the year 1972 and is numbered in my exhibits as **Plaintiff Evidence 000316** and **Plaintiff Evidence 000260** which is a full page of my script and print on or around 1972 and **PlaintI's Evidence 000495** exhibit how Plaintiff printed and scripted the letter "A" My evidence verifies that I am not the artist nor did I sign the 1972 oil. Despite production of verified proof, on Nov. 23, 2022 attorney Anderson Duff

rejected settlement talks. Once again Plaintiff filed this lawsuit due to the willful denial by the Defendants to accept the fact that I am not the artist and that the Defendants cannot falsely attribute my name to panting they sell that I did not create. Since the 1972 oil painting does have my name on it, over time, I do not wish for a "run of the mill 1972 oil painting, as Mr. Novocin classified the oil, to be named or in questioned as part of the my oeuvre, or attributed to my name as one of artist. At this point in the case and with the evidential facts duly documented, any reluctance in resolving this lawsuit constitutes fraud and direct S 190.20 False advertising

A person is guilty of false advertising when, with intent to promote the sale or to increase the consumption of property or services, he makes or causes to be made a false or misleading statement in any advertisement or publishes any advertisement in violation of chapter three of the act of congress entitled "Truth in Lending Act" and the regulations thereunder, as such act and regulations may from time to time be amended, addressed to the public or to a substantial number of persons; One of the main elements of fraud is

а

### 4) FRAUD ~ COUNT IV ~ DEFENDANTS' KNOWN WRITTEN REPRESENTATION OF PLAINTIFF'S WEBSITE AND THE NEGLIENCE BY DEFENDANTS IN NOT VIEWING OR NOT CONTACTING THE PLAINTIFF

Plaintiff brings to the Court's attention the next issue that is duly documented in the EAI eBay ad for the 1972 oil painting Man With Red Umbrella. Clearly referenced and written in the statements in the box below is the Defendants reference to my website, right next to my full, correct name and birthyear.

condition as it has a tear in the canvas, about 5/8" long just to the left of the man's knees, but still such a great painting. For those not familiar with Trombetta, here is information about her from off AskArt as they got it from her website: Annamarie Trombetta (1963 - )

EAI is responsible for creating the text in their ad in order to sell their painting on eBay. Plaintiff had nothing to do with creating the painting, therefore it stands, I nothing to do with consenting to the use of the text in the ad. The Defendant willfully selected what they chose to include in their ad which states that I had a website. Plaintiff addressed asked Defendants in my Discovery why they did not contact me since they knew I had a website. in my first and second set of Interrogatories. In April Plaintiff

### Case 1:18-cv-00993-RA-SLC Document 341 Filed 12/19/22 Page 18 of 39 Page 18 of 39

received a full objection and in August Defendants also objected and stated <u>Defendants object to this</u>

Interrogatory insofar as it asserts that <u>Defendants knew Plaintiff had a website</u>. Below is Plaintiff's interrogatory and direct response set forth on August 3, 2022 by EAI Defendants who delayed responses for over a month and forced the Plaintiff to send several emails in pursuit of Defendants responses.

#### PLAINTIFF'S INTERROGATORY NO. 19]:

Explain in DETAIL why Norb and Marie Novicin did not contact, phone or email the Plaintiff. Duly stated in the Estate Auction's ad is the claim "AskArt got the biography from Plaintiff's website" proving Estate Auctions Inc/Norb and Marie Novicin knew Plaintiff had a website.

**RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 44 [PLAINTIFF'S INTERROGATORY NO. 19]:** Defendants object to this Interrogatory because it exceeds the number of interrogatories one Party may serve on another Party pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1). Defendants object to this Interrogatory insofar as it asserts that Defendants knew Plaintiff had a website.

Additionally, Plaintiff adds an affirmation by Norb Novocin from his Sept. 21, 2022 deposition regarding Plaintiff's website. When asked by WorthPoint's attorney the following question:

"Did you ever see the website of artist Annamarie Trombetta?"

Mr. Novocin affirmed on page 58 " *To this day [Sept. 21.2022] I have never been to that website.*"

On the following on page 59 Mr. Novocin was asked by WorthPoint's attorneys if he "*copied the entirety of the biography on askART to include any listing or something else?* Mr. Novocin stated "*It happened entirely there*" and when asked by WorthPoint's attorneys "*Did you edit the biography in any way, shape or form?*" Mr. Novocin stated "*No.*" Mr Novocin stated on page 60 and 61, in his deposition, "*When I compose a listing for eBay, I do what I call finding a hook to hang my hat on. What story am I going to tell that allows people to dig deeper into their wallet to spend more money.* 

1) The first issue Plaintiff brings to the Court's attention is the length of Plaintiff's biography used in the EAI eBay ad for the 1972 oil painting. Both Defendants, WorthPoint Corp and EAI now claim years later that my full biography was used., despite the visual evidence of the listing which verifies that Plaintiff's biography was truncate, What sisproves their claim is the visual proof of their ad which documents the truncation. EAI Defendants failed to produce any visual documents to substantiate their claim. Both Defendants claim they no longer have any computer data for the

### Case 1:18-cv-00993-RA-SLC Document 341 Filed 12/19/22 Page 19 of 39 Page 19 of 39

internet posting. The failure by both Defendants to produce proof of their computer data adds to the number of Defendants claims that are devoid of any tangible proof. Plaintiff is reliant on both Defendants to produce such data and documents which is a key element in a claim for fraud. Another element is that The plaintiff is justified in relying on the falsehoods or lies told by the defendant. It is the complete absence of all evidence by EAI that makes their claims impossible to believe. In like fashion it is the Plaintiff's positive visual proof that supports my obvious claim that my full bio was truncated.

Plaintiff has consistently written and filed the actually EAI eBay ad for the 1972 Oil Painting Man With Red Umbrella in all my filings with the Court which clearly documents that Plaintiff's biography was truncated and mutilated mid sentence. The last statement in the EAI/ WorthPoint ad is in a screen shot below and has four dots .... depicted, and the rest of the sentence is missing and had written under it "Similar Items" with "No Image " and the title 1972 Original Oil.....Red Umbrella that is next to a gray square under the NO Image Icon seen below in the boxed insert.

was in high school. The exposure to various forms of art inspired me to expand my horizons and in the early Eighties I traveled on a summer tour to Europe. The tour comprised of six countries; Germany, Austria, France, Italy, Switzerland and England. Viewing so many different cities and cultures in a concentrated period of time...

#### Similar Items

#### No image

2) The second issue is the fact that the Defendants listed the fact that I had a website. Mr. Novocin willfully denied ever visiting my website. This was and still is his conscious choice not to visit my website that he duly listed in the ad, an ad he wrote and composed as documented in his deposition. Novocin's negligent choices duly affirmed, are the root cause of Plaintiff's false misrepresentation. Defendants objected to Plaintiff's direct question, to state why EAI Defendants did not contact me to confirm their attribution. Their objections further verifiers willful negligence and a willful intent to conceal their negligence indicative of the character of one 's intent to willfully commit fraud, with the intent, to get "people to dig deeper into their wallet to spend more money." as Novocin stated.

Page 20 of 39

The Defendants' misrepresentation, with visually displayed text of my my self authored biography without my authorization was conjoined with the written notation of Plaintiff's website, This a prime example of identity theft. Defendants took specific personal, self identifying facts and information only applicable to the Plaintiff and used it to increase the financial worth of a damaged painting. The sole purpose of the EAI eBay ad is for commercial use and to render a greater financial gain for the 1972 damaged painting. Plaintiff's sole purpose for bringing this legal action against both Defendants is to impose legal liability to this one action, it is also to impose permanent inductive relief to legally prevent any and all future fabrication and false attributions by both Defendants from plaguing the Plaintiff.

### 5) FRAUD ~ COUNT V ~ DEFENDANTS' WRITTEN DECLARATION MISREPRESENTATIONS TO WORTHPOINT DEFENDANT AND PLAINTIFF

In April 2022, EAI 's attorney Anderson Duff misrepresented to the WorthPoint Defendants and Plaintiff a willful false misrepresentation and denial that documents exist relating to the research conducted by EAI Defendants in determining the authorship and attribution of Artwork.

Mr. Duff' claimed no such documents exit. SEE BELOW

### **WORTHPOINT'S REQUEST NO. 3:**

Documents and Communications relating to the research conducted by EAI Defendants in determining the authorship and/or attribution of the Artwork.

### **EAI RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 3:**

*No such documents exist.* 

Mr. Duff has willfully omitted producing or referencing the Court filed documents in Doc.56 filed June 26, 2020 Declaration of Norb Novocin Page 1 of 4 Novocin declaration includes four pages. Mr. Novocins Declaration is in **Plaintiff Evidence 000174**, thru **Plaintiff000176**Three statements below assert research conducted by EAI Defendant to determine attribution.

- 4. As was our typical practice, 1 conducted research t the Painting using online research tools designed for that purpose to identify the artist who signed the Painting using online research tools designed for that purpose such as Ask.Art,com,,
- 5. When researching the Painting, I found only one artist whose name matchced "A. Trombetta" and that artist was the Plaintiff, Annamarie Trombetta. Because —Annamarie Trombetta" was written on the back stretcher of the painting, we concluded that Plaintiff created the Painting.

### Case 1:18-cv-00993-RA-SLC Document 341 Filed 12/19/22 Page 21 of 39

### Page 21 of 39

6. Before seeing the name Annamarie Trombetta on the Painting and researching the artist so that we could create accurate listing for the Estate Auctions storefront describing the Painting. 1 had never heard of Annamaric Trombetta

Mr. Duff has willful omitted producing or referencing the Court filed documents in Marie Novocin's Declaration Doc. 57 Filed 06/26/20 Page 1 of 4 to WorthPoint's attorneys and to the Plaintiff in Marie Novocin's Declaration is in **Plaintiff's Evidence 000332**, thru **Plaintiff's Evidence 000335** MARIE NOVOCIN DECLARED ON JUNE 26, 2020:

- 4. As was our typical practice, my husband Norb conducted research to identify the artist who signed the Painting using online research tools designed for that purpose such as AskArt.com.
- 5. When researching the Painting, my husband Norb found only one artist whose name matched "A. Trombetta" and that artist was the Plaintiff, Annamarie Trombetta. Because "Annamarie Trombetta" was written on the back stretcher of the painting, we concluded that Plaintiff created the Painting.
- 6. Before talking to Norb about his research concerning the Painting, I had never heard of Annamarie Trombetta.

EAI Defendants and their attorney willful omitted the production and request by WorthPoint request .is yet another example of willful denial and or concealment of any evidence that proves EAI adequately researched the authorship and attribution for the 1972 Original Oil Painting Man With Red Umbrella.as declared by both Defendants in their Declarations.

Moreover, both Maria and Norb. Novocin Declarations states in the source of Mr. Novocic created eBay listing for the 1972 oil using Plaintiff's bio was from my biography listed on askART.

#### NORB NOVOCIN

7. I used biographical information about Plaintiff that 1 found on AskArt.com to ensure that the Estate Auctions eBay listing for the Painting was as accurate as possible. All of the information about Plaintiff that 1 included in the eBay listing was gleaned from AskArt.com

#### MARIE NOVOCIN

7. Estate Auctions used biographical information about Plaintiff that Norb found on AskArt.com to ensure that the Estate Auctions eBay listing for the Painting was as accurate as possible. All of the information about Plaintiff that Estate Auctions included in the eBay listing was gleaned from AskArt.com.

Duly documented in the Terms of Use on AskART's website is the clear statement that users "may not modify, create derivative works from. participate in the transfer or sale of, on the World Wide Web or in any way exploit the site or any portion thereof for any public or commercial use without express written permission of askART. You are responsible for complying with all applicable laws, rules and regulations regarding your use of any such downloaded Content.

### Case 1:18-cv-00993-RA-SLC Document 341 Filed 12/19/22 Page 22 of 39

Page 22 of 39

An excerpt from the the askART Terms of Use is below. The askART Terms of use are in Plaitniff's Evidence 000668 Plaintiff's Evidence 000669

askART owns, controls, licenses or has the right to use and provide the Site and all material on the Site, including, without limitation the askART Artist database, text, images, artist biographies, price valuation reports, articles, photographs, illustrations, audio and video clips, (collectively the "Content"). The Site is protected by copyright as a collective work and/or compilation, pursuant to U.S. copyright laws, international conventions, and other copyright laws. askART is the owner of the copyright in the entire Site. askART owns a copyright in the selection, coordination, arrangement and enhancement of the Site. You agree to abide by any and all copyright notices, information or restrictions displayed on the Site. askART does not grant permission to users of the site to reproduce images of original works of art.

You may not modify, create derivative works from, participate in the transfer or sale of, post on the World Wide Web, or in any way exploit the Site or any portion thereof for any public or commercial use without the express written permission of askART. You are responsible for complying with all applicable laws, rules and regulations regarding your use of any such downloaded Content. In the event of any permitted copying, redistribution or publication of material from the Site, no changes in or deletion of author attribution, trademark, legend or copyright notice shall be made.

### 6) FRAUD ~ COUNT VI ~ SIXTH FALSE WRITTEN MISREPRESENTATION IN SWORN DECLARATION OF NORBAND MARIE NOVOCIN

As written above the Declaration of Norb Novocin is in Doc.56 filed June 26, 2020 Page 1 of 4 Novocin declaration includes four pages. Mr. Novocins Declaration is in **Plaintiff Evidence 000174**, **thru Plaintiff000176**. Marie Novocin's Declaration Doc. 57 Filed June 26, /2020 Page 1 of 4 Marie Novocin's Declaration is in **Plaintiff's Evidence 000332**, **thru Plaintiff's Evidence 000335** 

Plaintiff brings to the Court's attention the Declaration statements below referencing the years of Estate Auction Inc. dates of operation April 23, 2012 to 2019 written and declared by both Defendants Declarations.

#### **NORB NOVOCIN**

1. Together with my wife and co-defendant in this case ,Marie Novocin, I owned and operated Estate Auctions, Inc. from April 23, 2012. until 2019

#### MARIE NOVOCIN

1. Together with my husband and co-defendant in this case, Norb Novocin, I owned and operated Estate Auctions, Inc. from April 23, 2012 until 2019.

Plaintiff to add both the Novocin Declarations and statements and contrast the 2020 statements with this made on Sept. 21, 2022 by Norb Novocin regarding the names of his company Estate Auctions Inc and Estate Auctions LLCand the years of operation. They differ in detail from Defendants June 26, 2020 Declarations. In Norb Novocin Sept. 21, 2022 deposition on page 198 Mr. Novocin stated " We have three different Estate Auctions, Inc. Estate Auctions Inc. in Florida"

started in 2001 and ended in 2012. Estate Auctions in Delaware started April 23, 2012 until it went defunct. We stopped using it July of 2013 when we stated Estate Auctions LLC. That started on July 15, 2013—January 31, 2019. On page 199 of Mr. Novocin's deposition he stated "We just let it go defunct and Estate Suctions only had from April to July, April 2012 to July 2013, correct.

When asked if April 2012 to July 2013 was the time period for the 1972 oil painting sale, Mr Novocin replied "Correct".

Plaintinf notes to the Court, the propensity of EAI and Defendants Norb and Marie Novocin within their verbal and written responses, there is a consistent pattern of subtle yet substantial differences, There is either a complete omission of facts, a deficiency within their production of evidence, or a similar yet incorrect spelling or incorrect date, close to the original yet is renders the fact or statement "False" Such is the case with the three names and three dates of incorporation for Estate Auctions Inc and Estate Auctions LLC.

Below is another example by both Defendants who have made written Declarations which state that the 1972 Oil Painting Man With Red Umbrella was "Gifted" in 1997. The EAI eBay ad and the signature in red on the stretcher bar state "Gifted" in 1977 and not 1997 which is incorrect. In like fashion, the Declarations of both Defendants state Estate Auctions Inc from April 23, 2012. until 2019 and does not state Estate Auction LLC.. The consistent subtle changes are evident in many of statements or production of evidence, most notably in the the three recent productions for the eBay 2012 sales receipt for the 1972 1972 Oil Painting Man With Red Umbrella. The first production is dated April 27, 2022 and the other two differ in date and visual elements that Plaintiff will describe in detail below.

### **NORB NOVOCIN**

2. In 2012. Estate Auctions list painting on its eBay storefront that I personally inspected. The paintingwassignedonthefrontby"A.Trombetta"andfeaturedaninscription reading "Anuanarie Trombetta `Gifted' 1997. `Painted' 1972" in red along its back stretcher (the Painting).

#### MARIE NOVOCIN

3. In 2012, Estate Auctions listed a painting on its eBay storefront that my husband Norb personally inspected. The painting was signed on the front by "A. Trombetta" and featured an inscription reading "Annamarie Trombetta `Gifted' 1997, `Painted' 1972" in red along its back stretcher (the "Painting").

### Case 1:18-cv-00993-RA-SLC Document 341 Filed 12/19/22 Page 24 of 39 Page 24 of 39

Plaintiff addresses two statement made on Page 3 of Doc. 57 filed on June 26, 2020 which is the Declaration by Marie Novocin. In 2016 Plaintiff saw that he reposting for the 1972 oil painting was back on the internet after months of hard work contacting eBay and WorthPoint Corp to remove this false listing. The only source I never contacted was EAI. Upon discussions with a colleague and collector, I thought it best to have my collector Teri Meissner contact EAI to see if they had any information as to why the post was on the internet, if EAI had posted the 1972 sale again etc. Ms Meissner had a brief chat and as advised by Marie Novocine sent one email One phone call and one email is the extent of Teri Meissner's minor involvement, one so minor she does not even remember. When Teri did not receive an email response from EAI, Plaintiff on Jan. 10, 2017 phoned EAI. I introduced myself and stated that Ms. Meisner had contacted her. I informed Marie Novocin that I am an artist however I did not paint the 1972 oil painting. I asked Mrs. Novocin if EAI had anything to do with the internet link which was appearing under my name again. I asked if I could have the owner of the painting's contact information. Then I asked if they had any of the 12 photos etc. I also informed her that the photo signature was not mine. Shortly after Norb Novocin phoned me back which I found peculiar and unnecessary after conversing with his wife. I and decided to record the conversation. His first statement explained his call "I have a wealth of no knowlege regarding this painting" Below are Marie Novocin statements from her Declaration. There were two calls one from Ms. Meissner and one from the Plaintiff. Again, Plaintiff called on Jan. 10, 2017 from home phone and I just like the Novocins have caller ID and as stated I identified myself citing the misattribution and the reposting of the 1972 internet link as my purpose for contacting EAI

<sup>11.</sup> I did not think about the Painting again until I received a phone call from a person identifying themselves as Teri Meissner in January 2017. Meissner followed up with an email on January 6, 2017. Meissner did not say anything about the Painting be misattributed. Meissner's sole stated concern was the chain of ownership of the Painting. Meissner demanded that Estate Auctions provide her with the name and contact information for the third party who purchased the Painting in 2012. Despite several additional phone calls from the person calling themselves Meissner, they never once stated that the Painting had been misattributed and focused solely on the identity of the purchaser of the Painting.

<sup>13.</sup> After roughly the third phone call we received from the person identifying themselves as "Meissner," my husband Norb saw that the caller ID identified Annamarie Trombetta and not Teri Meissner.

Plaintiff notes to the Court that in June 2020 EAI Defendants filed a Motion to Post a Bond against the Plaintiff in the amount of twenty thousand dollars. The Declarations that have false information were filed in support to impose a financial burden unto the Plaintiff. Plaintiff's sas explained in many documents, I informed Norb Novocin that I did not create the 1972 painting, I stated that this was fraud. When Mr. Novocin asked me *why is that fraud*,? I simply stated "*I did not do this painting*". Despite informing both of the Novocins ,first by a phone call to Marie and then Norb Novocin phoning the Plaintiff, Plaintiff still hired an attorney to inform in writing both parties of the infringements upon my name, my rights and the biographical copyright infringements. As aforementioned in Doc. 22 filed on April 24, 2019, Plaintiff requested the 12 photos, red signature etc and did not receive any response. Responding to this Motion caused the Plaintiff a loss of income as I had to turn down a commission due to Plaintiff's response required a time sensitive answer to Defendants Motion to Post a Bond. In like fashion Plaintiff had just begun a private tutoring session and had to postpone my availability until my Response Motion was filed. As a result the student decided to take an art lessons elsewhere.

### 7) FRAUD ~ COUNT VII ~ FALSE WRITTEN MISREPRESENTATION OF THREE EBAY RECEIPTS FOR ONE SALE IN 2012 FOR 1972 OIL PAINTING

Plaintiff notes to the Court on April 27, 2022 EAI and attorney Anderson Duff produced the first version of the 2012 eBay receipt for the 1972 Oil Painting Man With Red Umbrella.

On Sept, 21, 2022 WorthPoint during deposition brought to Mr. Novocin's attention the cut off areas of the eBay email on pages 72, 73 and 77 in which WorthPoint called for the full production of the EAI eBay receipt. There are now four versions of the ONE eBay sale by EAI on Dec. 1, 2012 for FIRST EAI 2012 RECEIPT FOR 1972 OIL EAI00058/000059 PRODUCED ON APRIL 26, 2022 On April 8, 2022 the first Discovery between the Defendants and Plaintiff's evidence was due. On Wednesday, April 27, 2022 at 21:30:09 2022 Estate Auctions Inc.'s attorney Anderson Duff produced EAI000058 and EAI000059 after a Conference Call with Judge Cave. Plaintiff immediately noticed the following

### Case 1:18-cv-00993-RA-SLC Document 341 Filed 12/19/22 Page 26 of 39

### Page 26 of 39

- 1) 1972 Original Oil Painting Man With Red Umbrella Signed Annamarie Trombetta yqz is written twice in blue right next to each other.
- 2) In EAI000058 the right side is cut off, deleting the date, the price, name and address of the buyer, etc. Again, this truncation was noted by WorthPoint's attorneys as EXHIBT #4 at the Novocin Deposition and called for a full production of this receipt.
- 3) the eBay logo is in a a box devoid of the colored LOGO in red, blue, yellow and green.
- 4) a plain type eBay is written in a lined box.
- 5) Also missing is the bold colored yellow button with "Go to My eBay" which is below the text "Provide shipping information"
- 6) Last, missing below the Blue type under 1972 Original Oil Painting Man With Red Umbrella Signed Annamarie Trombetta yqz was either a the gray icon logo or what is a box with a blue square with a white question mark in it.

### SECOND EAI 2012 RECEIPT FOR 1972 OIL EAI000060 NOVEMBER 16, 2022.

I remind the Court again that in Doc. 315, filed on Nov. 16, 2022, Plaintiff noted to the Court that Mr. Duff produced a complete uncut version of the alleged 2012 eBay receipt for the sale of the 1972 original oil also known as WorthPoint's Deposition Exhibit #4. There are obvious differences in the new Nov. 16, 2012 EAI eBay receipt, bate stamped as EAI000060. Most notable is the visual absence of a bold yellow line. The text is quite small and the receipt is one page. The EAI00060 differences from EAI00058 and

### EAI00059 are noted below EXHBIT #5 Nov. 16, 2022 Mr. Duff's email with EAI000066 EXHBIT # 6 Second Production of Ebay receipt on Nov 16, 2022 EAI000066 EXHIBIT #7 Plaintif's Octobre 29, 2022 Request for Ebay Receipt

- 1) the 1972 Original Oil Painting Man With Red Umbrella Signed Annamarie Trombetta yqz is NOT written twice in blue right next to each other.
- 2) In EAI000060 the right side is **NOT** cut off
- 3) the eBay logo is NOT simple type and EAI000060 has an ebay color LOGO red, blue, yellow and green
- 4) The Blue box (above) with a blue square with a white question mark IS under 1972 Original Oil Painting Man With Red Umbrella Signed Annamarie Trombetta yqz.
- 5) MOST NOTEWORTHY is the MISSING YELLOW LINE through the bold type "Congratulations, your item sold!" 6) The 2017 email header is fully missing. Plaintiff notes to the Court, Mr. Duff submitted his EAI000060 by email on Nov. 16, 2022.

#### THIRD EML EAI 2012 RECEIPT FOR 1972 OIL PRODUCED TO PLAINTIFF ON NOV. 17, 2022

The third EAI sales receipt with visual colored icons differs from the other two eBay receipts:

- 1) It is NOT bate stamped or numbered. Documents needs to be numbered even if it is handwritten if it is to be evidence, as Plaintiff did on Sept. 21, 2022.
- 2) It differs on the third EAI receipt from the EAI000058 and EAI000060 is near the top. Not written in the email is Subject: Date: From: before the To: Norb Novocin, marie novocain.
- 3) New and Different is a Logo EE circle up top, which is not on EAI 0058-0059-or EAI 0060. Unlike EAI00060, this document has a yellow line under "Congratulations, your item sold!" It has the ebay color logo and box with blue question mark.

### Page 27 of 39

4) Again- as of Dec. 19, 2022 he eml. text is not bate stamped by EAI Defendants and was NOT mentioned or produced to Plaintiff before or after November 16, 2022Mr. Duff produced EAI000060 as evidence, Plaintiff is requesting that the Court impeach or prohibit any possible use of EAI 's undocumented, eml that was emailed to Plaintiff AFTER the production of EAI00060.

**FOURTH** EAI00065 EEAI00066 EAI 2012 TEXT ONLY RECEIPT FOR 1972 OIL PRODUCED TO PLAINTIFF ON DECEMBER 7, 2022.

1) Produced on Dec. 17, 2022 is the full text (only) for the eBay 2012 sale. There are no visual icons or authentic indications that the original email was simply printed out and submitted.

Plaintiff is reliant upon EAI Defendants to simply produce one proof of purchase for the 1972 Original Oil Painting that EAI sold on eBay on Dec. 1, 2012. The fact that there are now four versions all of which are different in content and format, icons symbols and are optically different is very confusing and provokes inferences that contradict and confuse the facts. To date Mr. Duff has not bate stamped the eml that was allegedly sent to WorthPoint's attorneys on Sept. 21, 2022. As the Plaintiff and as a Pro Se' litigant, Mr. Duff failed to convey on Oct. 28, 2022 nor on Octt 29, when I emailed Mr. Duff about the full production of the EAI ebay receipt. On Oct, 31, 2022 Mr. Duff did document in an email that when his clients produce the email, he would pass it on to the Plaintiff. On Nov 16, 2022 I reminded Mr. Duff again and upon day's end he produced EAI00060. The next day, Nov. 17, 2022 Mr. Duff and all the attorneys fromWorthPoint alleged that Mr. Duff produce the EAI eBay receipt. in an eml text to the other attorneys.

As a result of the multiple misrepresentation of the EAI 1972 oil painting eBay sale Plaintiff' was reliant upon the Defendant <u>not</u> to receive such indirect and misleading contradictory responses. To date the multiplicity of contradictory responses by EAI Defendants contributes to Plaintiff's emotional and physical distress that has delayed filing my Proposed Amended Complaint. I have been suffering profusely from emotional distress. Plaintiff after the Thanksgiving weekend was puzzled by the data produced by the Defendants. As a result Plaintiff was forced to contact my internet expert witness to open up Mr. Duff's eml message and secondly to decode the coding that was sent to Plaintiff from Adam Bialek. Mr. O'Leary's Affidavit and report has cost the Plaintiff several thousand dollars, all due to the cryptic and convoluted, confusing information in the guise of evidence.

It has been an ongoing willful pattern by EAI to conceal or reveal in parts and pieces pertinent

# Case 1:18-cv-00993-RA-SLC Document 341 Filed 12/19/22 Page 28 of 39 Page 28 of 39

information that Plaintiff has a right to request. If the first EAI eBay receipt did not lack deficiencies or was not truncated on one side, Plaintiff would not assert misrepresentation of written receipts. The amount of time, involved in repeatedly requesting the same simple items and being met with unending, delays is disrespectful, unprofessional, subject to suspect and mistrust and ha delayed the end of \Discovery, to the point where I as well as my expert witnesses are frustrated by the inability to progress forward and complete the objective of producing a sound, informative substantiating report that supports the Plaintiff's infringements and problems. Despite this and as duly documented in the Nov. 23, 2022 transcript, the Defendants are unyielding to settlement talks or ending this lawsuit. Plaintiff regrets but must inform the Court, post the Nov 23, 2022 Conference call. I was sent an email from attorney

Anderson Duff's who informed me of his fees for his time on the Conference call. He also informed me his clients would be seeking attorneys fees upon the conclusion of my case. WorthPoint's attorney,

Adam Bialek has informed me of the same assertion to burden the Plaintiff with attorneys fees. Once again Plaintiff has produce irrefutable evidence of my childhood signature. The infliction of fear and requests for production since mid summer to the present has caused Plaintiff to add a claim for IIED and Tort in my Proposed Amended Complaint.

# 8) FRAUD ~ COUNT VIII ~ TANGIBLE CONVERSION (BIOGRAPHY IN CATALOG) TAKING AND MISAPPROPRIATION PLAINTIFF BIOGRAPHY

A) A party has a cause of action for conversion when (1) the plaintiff has an exclusive right of possession, (2) into which the defendant, (3) intentionally, (4) interferes with that right. In general, conversion fraud deals with tangible goods. The Plaintiff's claim for tangible conversion fraud is founded on my exclusive right of possession to my original, self authored biography. It is is a "literary work" written in prose, is an individual artistic expression and exists in a tangible form as my self published catalog. My self authored biography is also "fixed" and exists in a digital form on my professional and personal website. A fraud conversion claim is applicable to intellectual property rights if it is merged in the physical form and in this instance it is. My infringed biography exists in two personal tangible forms. Violations occur when a person

without authority or permission intentionally takes the personal property of another or deprives the Plaintiff of the possession of my exclusive rights to my self authored biography in my personal catalog. The original EAI eBay ad for the 1972 oil has Plaintiff's statement from my personal catalog which documents and references my personal property is "All of the imagery in this catalog was either created en plein air or from the subject directly.". This personal statement referencing my catalog i in the Defendants version to include the Defendants EAI and WorthPoint's and their Confidential evidence. using my Catalog biography Both Defendants included and reference my statement regarding my catalog in the false ad for the 1972 oil painting and both Defendant s partook in the improper and unauthorized relocation of personal property.

The subject regarding the length of Plaitniff's biography in the EAI eBay sale ad was addressed on Page 91. A reference to the cut off statement "concentrated period of time" dot dot dot was noted by WorthPoint's attorneys to Norb Novocin who affirmed it's written presence. On Page 92 of Mr. Novocin's deposition he references computer software issues that "Might "have cut off the biography. Again EAI failed to produce any documents/ data what-so-ever.

B) There are two "improper" connotations and uses by the Defendants regarding my biography. First and foremost, I did not paint the 1972 Original Oil Man With Red Umbrella nor did I sign the painting. This is a proven fact. Plaintiff produced my childhood signature. It is in Plaintiff's evidence 000260, 000316 also in script and print number 000495. The EAI signature in red produce in Discovery by EAI, in April 2022, misspells Plaintiff's first name and adds a space between ANNA and MARIA. The use of my personal self authored biography is "Improper" as it is wrongfully applied, I am not the artist who created the 1972 oil. This conversion as aforementioned is unauthorized in every way. The EAI use of Plaintiff's biography was for commercial and selling purposes for a damaged 1972 oil painting. In like fashion, WorthPoint's website's chief purpose is to report the "commercial sales records" of items sold on eBay. WorthPoint has a membership charge in order to view the sales price. It is not free to see the selling price. Both Defendants have used my biography for commercial gain, ergo it is not fair use, rather

both Defendants perceive my name and biography as "fair game and falsely claim " fair use .

Plaintiff's 1 self expression in my biography is original was never authorized to be used by

Defendants as such . As a consequence of the unauthorized use of Plaintiff's biography by both

Defendants, WorthPoint Corp. in particular, lost a sale of artwork to a prominent collector who most likely would donate her collection to a public museum. The financial and professional adverse effect that the infringement had on Plaintiff (copyright owner) ability to earn income resulted in sustained inequitable financial and professional losses.

- C) To make a claim for conversion, a plaintiff must show "(1) the appropriation of another's property to one's own use and benefit, (2) by the intentional exercise of dominion over it, (3) in defiance of the true owner's rights." (internal citation and quotation omitted). As aforementioned, Norb Novocin admits in his Declaration filed on June 16, 2020 that he did not know or never heard of Plaintiff's name before. Allegedly he used onc source, which he designated as askART to unethically cease or "Glean" Plaintiff's biography. As of Sept. 21, 2022, for the first time since 2018 when this lawsuit began, Mr. Novocin now claims that the Plaintiff's full biography was taken from askART.com.
- D) To prove conversion that claim out, a plaintiff must allege: "(1) the property subject to conversion is a specific identifiable thing; Plaintiff gives a personal, detailed description of my life experiences, from childhood (Regina Pacis Church) to my formal training in high school at the Brooklyn Museum and ending with the names of my personal esteemed colleagues in the art world. (2) plaintiff had ownership, possession or control over the property before its conversion; and Plaintiff's catalog and website were printed created in 2003. The EAI ad for the damaged 1972 oil painting (albeit incorrect) was allegedly sold in 2012, which was nine years after my website biography and catalog were created. (3) defendant exercised an unauthorized dominion over the [property], to the alteration of its condition or to the exclusion of the plaintiff's rights." Moses v. Martin, 360 F. Supp. 2d 533, 541 (S.D.N.Y. 2004) (citation and internal quotation marks omitted). The "conversion" and addition of the EAI introduction and the description that precede the Plaintiff

Page 31 of 39

true biography of the damaged 1972 oil painting (albeit incorrect) is roughly 230 words was allegedly created to sell a painting in the year 2012. Plaintiff's biography in the EAI eBay ad that appeared on the internet on the WorthPoint's website was roughly 420 words. The word count for my entire biography is roughly 1360 words. Moreover, 1) EAI has failed to substantiate their claims that their computer server created the alteration and truncation of Plaintiff's biography with any tangible evidence. 2) Secondly and most important, the use and application of my biography as the designated artist is incorrect, false. 3) Plaitniff's biography was unethically and not authorized to obtained whether it was from the Plaintiff's own personal website or from the website of askART.com. AskART prohibits any third party to "re create" or use any content on their site without written permission. 4) EAI has failed to identity the person who did paint the oil, Man With Red Umbrella. As a totality, it is precisely this unauthorized and incorrect conversion that constitutes fraud. Last, the Defendants demonstrated a false sense of entitlement simply due to the fact that my biography was listed on askART and Mr. Novocin has been a member of askART since 2001. Again askART does not permit any party to copy or transfer the information on their website.

### A. FIVE ELEMENTS OF FRAUD RELATED TO ESTATE AUCTIONS INC.

- **1. Misrepresentation** EAI's false references to the Plaintiff as the artist of the 1972 oil painting—ie caused a photo that inferred the Plaintiff initial and last named to have a fraudulent copyright management information (CMI) on the WorthPoint website, The false attribution by EAI is one of the root causes of this lawsuit. To remove the fake ad, Plaintiff followed the instructions from Defendants Plaintiff made the effort to contact to inform EAI that I was not the artist and was told to hire an attorney. Despite hiring an attorney, EAI ignored any settlement opportunities. The inability to produce EAI's own records, receipts and computer data that the Plaintiff requested is proof that their information and directions misrepresented the Plaintiff and used my personal information to sell the fraudulent 1972 oil painting EAI's denial of liability after Plaintiff produced my childhood signature and EAI produce a misspelling of a signature in red on the 1972 eBay sale is a direct false misrepresentation to the Plaintiff who is reliant upon Defenadants for said information . Plaintiff during litigation requested the red signature in 2019. Doc. 22 April 24, 2019.
- 2) Knowledge of the material falsity Since August 2017 Plaintiff has consistently pursued both Defendants, particularlyEAI by reporting and requesting information and photos for the listing of 1972 oil painting and to find out and confirm who was the eBay seller. Defendants admit they do not have had photos of the painting. The proof to the red signature is an example of willful recklessness misrepresentation. by EAI On Jan. 10, 2017 I directly informed Mr and Mrs Novocin that I was Not the artist and followed their instructions hired an attorney, EAI's failure to respond or produce evidence in a timely manner reveals a pattern to conceal the material evidence

Page 32 of 39

- 3) Inducing the other party to rely upon it. In 2012 the defendants misrepresented and misdirecting Plaintiff audience Plaintiff never failed to investigate the truth of the defendant's statements. Plaintiff was reliant upon EAI for any photos or to see the signature in red. In April 2022 Plaintiff saw for the first time since 2015 a photo of the painting. This tool over seven years. This painting still has a similar version of the Plaintiff name on the streether. Plaintiff followed through iverbal contact consistently and in writing to no avail. Plaintiff was reliant upon the Defendants documented communication to eventually see and prove that there was painting Plaintiff is still reliant on EAI to set forth known evidence that should be in EAI;s possession. The Defendants absence of evidence and verified proof constitutes an intentional and willful motive to deceive.
- 4) Justifiable reliance of the other party The Defendants long term failure to produce receipts or any photos from the original eBay ad for the 1972 painting keeps the Plaintiff reliant on EAI and is subject to their production. Plaintiff's actions in commencing this lawsuit was in pursuit of seeking Declaratory Relief. The Defendant's Declaration willfully intended to declare fraudulent statements and to Post a Bond for twenty thousand dollars. The Court and the Plaintiff are reliant upon the Declaration by to determine this case. Defendants documented distortion of Plaintiff' biography and created confusion and duly circulated on the internet for almost several years false information. Plaintiff reliant upon EAI to produce the information EAI created for the false listing of the 1972 Oil Painting Man With Red Umbrella.
- 5) Injuries and Damages. The Defendants False signature A. Trombetta is a significant causation for this lawsuit. The causation of Plaintiff's losses are numerous. In 2015, at a time of great expansion and artistic opportunities, commissions, articles and sales—and fully immersed in advancing my career, Plaintiff was forced to deal with a destructive and fake internet post. In 2015, Plaintiff lost the sale of an \$8500 oil painting, the opportunity for gallery representation due to the false posting and association with eBay, Estate Auctions 's 99 cents auctions and WorthPoint Corporation. Plaintiff has well over lost seven years of my life to fight false claims which are still ongoing. Plaintiff is requesting Permanent Injunctive Relief to prohibit the Defendants from using the Plaintiff's name and exhibits filed and submitted for the purposes of this lawsuit or any other images or texts that are circulating on the internet. The Plaintiff's causation of loss generated by this litigation, repeats the same harmful patterns and makes the Plaintiff a consistent victim to the Defendants' fraudulent claims in order to satisfy the Defendants deceptive fraudulent assertions. The loss my time, and all and any related of sales of artwork, the loss of my professional credibility and the time dedicated to this lawsuit is beyond profession and emotional measure and beyond rehabilitation.

### **Elements of Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress**

The tort of intentional infliction of emotional distress has four elements: (1) the defendant must act intentionally or recklessly; (2) the defendant's conduct must be extreme and outrageous; and (3) the conduct must be the cause (4) of severe emotional distress.

### TORT CLAIM FIRST COUNT NEGLIGENCE

Negligence is a civil tort which occurs when a person breaches his duty of care which he

### Case 1:18-cv-00993-RA-SLC Document 341 Filed 12/19/22 Page 33 of 39 Page 33 of 39

owed to another due to which that other person suffers some hard or undergoes some legal injury. Negligence can be explained as the failure of discharge or the omission to do something due to careless behavior. First Count of Tort Negligence According to CPLR 213(2) the statutes of limitation in New York for civil tort is six years in writing or an oral contract. The four elements of Tort are Duty, Breach ,Causation and Harm.

### Plaintiff's Claim for Civil Tort under the Six Year Statue of Limitations

Plaintiff for the first time, in early Jan. 2017 Plaintiff discussed and decided to have a colleague contact EAI regarding any information the regarding 1972 Original Oil painting, due to the reposting of the link on WorthPoint's website. A brief chat and Ms. Novocin's suggested to send an email ended my colleagues involvement in this matter. On Jan. 10, 2017, Plaintiff phoned and spoke with Marie Novocin. I identified myself and informed Mrs. Novocin that I was not the artist who painted the oil they had sold on eBay. I then asked if EAI had any information as if EAI had anything to do with the reposting on the internet. Plaintiff also asked for the owner's contact information. Again I informed Mrs. Novocin why I was contacting her as internet listing for the 1972 Original Oil Painting was under the Plaintiff's name again on the internet. Shortly after, Plaintiff received a phone call from Norb Novocin. His intent was to inform me that he had a wealth of no knowledge. As Plaintiff has documented, I confirmed to Mr. Novocin that I was not the artist. I attempted to inform Mr. Novocin it is not legal as the Visual Artist's Rights Act attests to attribute an artist's name to a painting they did not crate. The call ended with his suggestion to get a lawyer. Since Jan. 2017, Plaintiff has taken all the steps to responsibly inform the Defendants about their infringing actions. The NY rules for Tort state : a rule in tort law: the statute of limitations for a cause of action does not begin to run until the time that the injured party discovers or reasonably should have discovered the injury. As stated on Jan. 10, 2017 Plaintiff for the first time confirmed by phone that Mr. Novocin sold a painting in 2012. On Sept. 21, 2022 Mr. Novocin claims that "To this day I have never been to Plaintiff's website."

### Case 1:18-cv-00993-RA-SLC Document 341 Filed 12/19/22 Page 34 of 39 Page 34 of 39

Novocin statement demonstrates a failure to act and demonstrates careless action regarding researching Plaintiff credential prior to Mr. Novocin's false attribution. It is since Sept. 21, 2022 that Plaintiff became aware of this point of ONE reference and the lack of references that Mr. Novocin used prior to his incorrect attribution. Plaintiff set forth a claim for Negligent tort due to the failure of both Defendants to act, in a responsible manner toward researching the Plaintiff credentials and for not contacting the Plaintiff. Defendants have demonstrated careless actions as aforementioned. There are so many failures by Defendants to produce any evidence that supports claims that they did not truncate or alter Plaintiff's biography. TheEAI ad created by the Novocins does infer my website.

EAI Defendants had a duty to the Plaintiff as well as the buyer to correctly attribute the 1972 Oil Painting to the actual artist. To date, EAI has failed to do that. As this case attests, EAI has breached three claims, not significant are the VARA and copyright claims. Defendants have without authorization and accuracy used Plaintiff personal property via my biography and as aforementioned caused Plaintiff the loss of sales of art, gallerists who were interested in my work, aside from the enormous loss of time and career opportunities all tainted and blocked by the causation of the false attribution and at present the rigorous responsibilities in litigation that the Plaintiff is having difficulty in managing such strenuous and unending demands. Plaintiff did not cause the infringements and was patient, careful, responsible and respectful with each Defendant prior to filing this lawsuit against EAI and in deciding to Amend my Complaint to add WorthPoint.

A)As an immediate and initial reaction to the 2015 false internet posting and the second re posting found by the Plaintiff months after it was allegedly permanently removed, Plaintiff needed to return back to physical therapy and had regular doctor appointments with my Pain Management Specialist Plaintiff Evidence

B) Plaintiff was experiencing substantial anxiety and fear due to the loss of income and the sale of artwork to a prominent collector due to the Defendant falsities .Plaintiff was unable to sleep at night and suffered functional deficits during the day as well as physical manifestations of emotional distress due to the nine month ordeal to remove the false posting from the internet.

C) Prior to and throughout litigation, Plaintiff has had to divert time away from career opportunities and financial advancements to seek legal remedies and Declaratory Relief to protect and prevent further infringements and willful misattributions from both Defendants. As a result the quality of my life, earning potential, professional and personal responsibilities has been unnecessarily blocked due to the willful denial by the Defendants to resolve this lawsuit. Plaintiff has suffered simply due to the fact that I did not paint this oil and that I want to legally disassociate from the counterfeit oil painting. I have suffered a great loss of time to declare and legally document I am not the artist.

### TORT CLAIM SECOND COUNT NEGLIGENCE

"A claim has facial plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged."

On Feb. 1, 2022 at the request of WorthPoint's attorneys Plaintiff was requested to write a settlement letter. Attorney Anderson Duff duly communicated that his clients were in held up in Bankruptcy Court due to this litigation. Despite being advised to engaged or discuss settlement offers prior to litigation by friends and retired attorneys, Plaintiff did agree to writing a letter. As is Plaintiff;s way I responsibly found out what needed to be included in the Settlement letter. I gave a recap of all my actions to both Defendants. I found cases related to my claims and listed all the damages and rules pertaining to my claims. The letter was quite long as this has been going on for over seven years. Plaintiff wrote out in words the amount Plaintiff was seeking for my settlement offer. Plaintiff did not hear from a any of the attorneys. At the same time Plaintiff was experiencing for the first time ever Vertigo. At the same time due to the stress of interviewing lawyers for possible representation, Plaintiff in addition to the recent Vertigo developed a sty and swollen eye, As a result of the stress from litigation, and the sudden onset of Vertigo, Plaintiff fell and fractured a rib due to this lawsuit and all the unexpected sudden demands that were imposed upon me. I ask the Court to consider the time Plaintiff has lost just to avoid litigation and to have the false listing permanently removed from the internet. Secondly, I simply did not do this painting and followed the advise of my legal colleague to declare I am not the artist for future documentation and records. Third the major denials

## Case 1:18-cv-00993-RA-SLC Document 341 Filed 12/19/22 Page 36 of 39 Page 36 of 39

of any wrongdoing and infringements in concert with the minor settlement offers by EAI have prompted the Plaintiff to be vigilent in protecting my name and my rights. Plaintiff did not cause these infringement, nor did I immediately file a lawsuit.

**EXHIBIT #1 Doctor appointment with Benjamin Tweel for Vertigo** 

EXHIBIT #2 Emergency Eye Infirmary Visit Sunday Feb. 20, 2022

EXHIBIT #3 Doctor's Letter and Visit with Benjamin Zaremski Vertigo and fractured rub

As a result this sudden intense bombardment of demands despite honoring my agreement by responsibly writing a letter, none of the Defendants attorneys contacted me regarding my letter. Instead, the attorneys wrote a letter to the Court and falsely stated that Plaintiff did not state a monetary amount, when in truth, Plaintiff most certainly did. The reliance Plaintiff had on the Defendants to be responsive and communicative with the Pro Se Plaintiff was not experienced. Plaintiff did conduct a Meet and Confer as well as emailed the Defendant regarding my eye condition. I did communicate my sudden medical limitations to all the attorneys. The actions of the attorneys falsely conveyed to the Court that I was disrespectful to the laws and rules that I had agreed to producing. Plaintiff notes that this is an assault on my character and that misleading and misinforming the Court was intentional. This problem could have been avoided through simple communication from the Defendants. As aforementioned Plaintiff was experiencing sudden health issues that needed immediate attention. Plaintiff was then order to write another. Settlement letter and was indeed concerned due to threat of sanctions, When your intentions are honorable and responsible, when they are perceived as anything less, injury occurred for the Plaintiff.

Despite failed settlement attempts on Plaintiff during the most recent Nov. 23, 2022 Conference Call, Plaintiff brought up the subject of settlement discussion. Attorney Anderson Duff immediately rejected any possibilities for any discussions to take place. Immediately, following the Conference Call Attorney Anderson Duff emailed Plaintiff with the Subject matter Increased Attorneys Fees. "I am again writing to remind you that my clients will be seeking an award of attorneys' fees under § 505 of the Copyright Act and/or because you rejected my clients' offer of judgment.". Plaintiff reminds the Court that the sum of both of EAI's settlement offers combined equal an amount to be less than three numbers. The willful refusal of the EAI Defendants and their attorney to deny any

Page 37 of 39

settlement discussions while concurrently sending emails to the Plaintiff taunting and informing me that they are seeking an award for attorneys fees constitutes emotional distress. I am not the artist who painted the 1972 oil nor should my biography been used to sell this painting. Plaintiff reminds the Court that in Feb. and March 2022 I wrote not one but two letters fo a settlement which both Defendants rejected.

EXHIBIT #4 Nov. 23, 2022 Email Subject Increased Attorney's Fees from Anderson Duff

### **CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

- 1. Plaintiff consistently informed EAI and Marie and Norb Novocin .that I did not paint the 1972 Original Oil Painting Man With Red Umbrellas that falsely attributed my biography and signature to be falsely attributed to the aforementioned oil painting.
- 2. Plaintiff consistently informed EAI Norb and Marie Novocin and there attorney I was nine years old, did not paint in oils then and I too small to paint an oil four feet in height.
- 3. The Defendant's communication to the Plaintiff resulted in Mr. Novocin's suggestion to hire an attorney. Defendant's used to Plaintiff; s biography to exploit the Plaintiff notoriety to increase the value of a damaged painting.
- 3. As stated above, there was never any business relationship and own prior contact between the Plaintiff and the Defendants.
- 4. At no time did Plaintiff verbally of ever signed or authorize EAI or the Novocin's to use or purport that I painting in oils at nine years old.
- 5. Defendants willfully stated in the guise of evidence during the Novocin Deposition and now claim that my entire biography was allegedly in the eBay ad for 1972 oi painting Man With Red Umbrella
- Hence, the Defendants willfully and intentionally violated the Plaintiff's exclusive copyright to my self-authored artistically expressed biography. The Defendants exhibit a pattern of repeated activity to willfully denying any liability in misattribution Plaintiff to 1972 ad on the internet under Plaintiff's name that is the proximate cause of the individual's harm.
- 7. In summary no one has the right to alter, change or expose to the public, a false signature or rewrite the chronological facts of my life. No other source can falsely claim a copyright or falsely claim a licensing agreement when no proof of documents of proof to verify such

Page 38 of 39

claims exist. This is the root cause for commencing this lawsuit.

- 8. My case validates the inequitable and adverse effect that one false attribution during an artist's lifetime ccan "BLOCK and IMPEDE" an artist's professional progress and hinder one's status.
- 9. This lawsuit brings attention to the realization that any "source" can write, state or claim a false attribution or use an artist's name on a work of art— (or what is ascribing to be art). A great deal of time and many opportunities were lost to refute the false 1972 misattribution, a causal loss that has harmed my integrity, caused me to lose my time, earn a living and blocked my ability to create my own new works of art.
- 10, The Discovery evidence and the lack of evidence has continued consume the Plaintiff life, while imposing unending demands upon someone who has been victimized and embarrassed by public identity therft. The misuse my name with malicious intent by the Defendants to burden an artist, who is not a lawyer is overwhelming. The question needs to be considered, how can the Defendants afford a lawyer when the Defendants refused my settleemtn offer back in 2017.

### **SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT**

Plaintiff nine Counts of Fraud are detailed while defining the circumstances and false misrepresentation and the causal losses and harm all of the Defendants fraudulently actions have had on the Plaintiff. The countless mistakes by EAI, can be literally be "counted" as multiple Counts of Fraud defined in Plaintiff's Proposed Amended Complaint. The unending problems, delays demonstrated and implemented by Estate Auctions Inc and Norb and Marie Novocin have been a burden for many years. Plaintiff has been a victim to EAI's bumbling business practices. In litigation of I have been a victim to EAI chicanery and attempts to inflict both emotional distress and to inflict the financial debt for Mr. Duff;s legal fees upon the Plaintiff.

As a Pro Se Litigant, Plaintiff asks the Court to grant my Proposed Amended Complaint to includes applicable request to include FRCP Fraud 9(b), Intentional Infliction of Emotion Distress and Tort.

Defendants will not suffer undue prejudice from the filing of Plaintiff's Proposed

## Case 1:18-cv-00993-RA-SLC Document 341 Filed 12/19/22 Page 39 of 39 Page 39 of 39

Amended Complaint because 1) the fraudulent allegations asserted in the Proposed Amended Complaint are more specific and conclusive than that asserted in the Original or Operative Amended Complaints 2) both Defendants alleged harm to the Plaintiff from the Original and Amended Complaint has increased with the new evidence 3) the more-specific fraudulent definition is being asserted before the close of the Discovery and within the time frame to amend pleadings set out in the modified Scheduling Order 4) Both Defendants' discovery has only been through admissions, production of documents and interrogatories and depositions 5) the newly- alleged facts and evidence in Plaintiff's Motion of my Proposed Amended Complaint are already well-known to the Defendants and in their possession. For these reasons, Plaintiffs respectfully ask that the Court grant Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File the Proposed Amended Complaint to Include for Permanent Injunction and Other Equitable Relief.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and others artists who have been victimized by WorthPoint Corporation, respectfully request that this Court grant Plaintiff's Proposed Amended Complaint to proceed forward to include Fraud, Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress and Permanent Injunctive Relief and grant Plaintiffs such further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: December 19, 2022

Respectfully Submitted,

——Electronic Signature——

175 East 96th Street

New York, New York 10128

Pro Se Litigant

Annamarie Trombetta

Annamarie Trombetta

THE COURT: Are you able to ascertain the data for the email comparable to what appears in exhibit 4 to Ms. Trombetta's letter yesterday?

MR. DUFF: Your Honor, just to be frank, I have no idea what she means when she says raw data. To the extent she is talking about the email headers which is what you can derive from the raw file which she's had, again, since September 21st, I'm happy to I guess convert to a PDF the email headers which she could do and has been able to do since September. But I'm happy to do that and circulate it. I just want to quickly address the --

THE COURT: I think it's something different, Mr. Duff, to be candid, I think -- I think what she's talking about is the metadata for the email and I realize that as a layperson, and I don't know the first thing about this either, but do you have someone in your office who could extract the metadata for the email and then produce it basically like in a PDF?

MR. DUFF: Your Honor, I'm not, I guess I'm not sure what that, what that means, but to the extent that I can I'm happy to do that. I mean we sent her the raw file that contains all of that --

EXHIBIT#4

seminal document in this case and the authenticity of
the sales receipt is going to be something that, you
know, maybe you're going to be able to agree, but
maybe not. And so the authenticity of the document is
important.

MR. DUFF: Sure.

THE COURT: And what I'm interpreting Ms.

Trombetta to be saying is she wants to confirm that the PDF and the raw email that was sent to her are, in fact, the actual authentic documents. And so if you look at exhibit 4 to her letter yesterday, which is ECF number 317, it's a bunch of garbled characters that make no sense to me but I am sure to an IT person they'll say, yes, this is the metadata for an email.

And so --

MR. DUFF: Your Honor, this is the -- and I'm sorry. I'm sorry, go ahead, I did not mean to interrupt.

THE COURT: Sorry, what I'm just trying to get at is that for the raw email that you provided to her, to extract the metadata for that email and produce that to her as a, like a PDF similar to exhibit 4 to her letter --

MR. DUFF: Yes. So, Your Honor, I'm looking

# **EXHIBITS** TO PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO WORTHPOINT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER

EXHIBIT #1 Nov. 23, 2022 Conference Call transcript page 64. #1 Nov. 23, 2022 Conference Call transcript page 65.

EXHIBIT #2 Nov. 23, 2022 email to Dr. Joseph Scelsa availability for expert report.

EXHIBIT #3 Defendants' Nov. 23, 2023 email unknown coding - Source Information.

EXHIBIT #4 Original Electronic Message Print out Adam Bialek Nov. 23, 2022 email.

EXHIBIT #5 EBay phone Transcript page 19 1972 oil painting sale was an UNDEFINED

EXHIBIT #6 Nov. 23, 2022 Conference Call to include pages 4 thru 21

EXHIBIT #7 WP000130 stating March 5, 2013 was the date the 1972 post

EXHIBIT #8 Rosen vs Terapeak, infringing items WorthPoint's on March, 5,2013"

EXHIBIT #9 A WP000120 Temporaly Google Removal on March 3, 2016

EXHIBIT #10 B WP000134 Google Remoal on Jan. 4, 2017

- EXHIBIT #11 A-April 8, 2022 request the DMCA agent's name
  - B Email to Copyright Office May 2022
  - C WorthPoint Copyright Registration DMCA Agent
  - D Returned Mail from Gregory Watkins
- EXHIBIT #12 A Georgia Lawsuit Process Server False Affidavit —Never asked in Military
  - B Summons and Complaint with wrong dates and claims
  - C Motion for Default Judgement filed Oct. 31, 2022
  - D Proposed Order with Future Dates August 30, 2023 and Sept 29, 2023
  - E Affidavit by Annamarie Trombetta
  - F Affidavit by Phone Call Participant Wanda Buncamper
- EXHIBIT #13 A Withdrawn Expert Witness Peter Trippi Sept. 22, 2022 Florida Address #13 B Subpoena Sent to New York Home Peter Trippi Oct.7, 2022
- EXHIBIT #14 Plaintiff Print and Script 1972 Signature with Girl Scouts Sash and Badge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

Docket #18cv993

TROMBETTA,

: 1:18-cv-00993-RA-SLC

Plaintiff,

- against -

NOVOCIN, et al.,

: New York, New York

November 23, 2022

Defendants. :

----: REMOTE CONFERENCE

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE SARAH L. CAVE, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff:

ANNAMARIE TROMBETTA, PRO SE

:

175 East 96th Street, Apartment 12R

New York, New York 10128

For Defendant -

WorthPoint Corporation: DICKER, LLP

WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN &

BY: ADAM BIALEK, ESQ.

NICOLE HAIMSON, ESQ.

150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street

New York, New York 10017

Transcription Service: Carole Ludwig, Transcription Services

155 East Fourth Street #3C New York, New York 10009 Phone: (212) 420-0771

Email: Transcription420@aol.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; Transcript produced by transcription service.

1 2 defendants to please order a transcript of today's 3 conference. Ms. Trombetta, is there anything else you 4 wanted to raise today? 5 THE PLAINTIFF: It has been a full day. So 6 because I'm dealing with other people, meaning the 7 expert witnesses, and we're approaching the holiday 8 season, if for some reason they cannot submit a report 9 by December 12th, what --10 THE COURT: You need to make --11 THE PLAINTIFF: Can I ask for an extension for 12 them? 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 Your Honor, we object, this is MR. DUFF: 15 Anderson for defendants --16 THE COURT: I know --17 MR. DUFF: I'm sorry? 18 THE COURT: I know, but she can make an 19 application and I'll 20 MR. DUFF: Okay, yes, Your Honor, thank you. 21 THE COURT: I'm not going to prejudge it, but 22 because it sounded like a hypothetical, so maybe we 23 won't. You also have a deadline to file your motion 24 for leave to amend and your proposed amended complaint 25 on December 12th, Ms. Trombetta.

1

2 THE PLAINTIFF: I understand, thank you for 3 the reminder, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right, anything else then from 5 you, Ms. Trombetta? THE PLAINTIFF: Well I might add this to 6 7 propose the idea of a settlement conference, is that 8 something that the defendants would be interested in at this time? 9 10 THE COURT: Mr. Duff, I'll start with you? 11 Your Honor, my clients are not MR. DUFF: 12 interested in a settlement conference, we've already 13 had several in this case and I know the Court has bent 14 over backwards trying to accommodate settlement 15 conferences. Every time we do that it just extends the 16 inevitable conclusion of this case and the 17 plaintiff's, the plaintiff's valuation of this case is 18 just so far away from our client's valuation of this 19 case that we do not believe a settlement conference 20 would be, it would just be a waste of our client's 21 resources and it would further delay the conclusion of 22 this case. Thank you, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay, Ms. Haimson, what's your 24 client's position? 25 MS. HAIMSON: Yeah, we echo the same



Annamarie Trombetta <artofannamarie@gmail.com>

### **Annamarie Trombetta**

Annamarie Trombetta <artofannamarie@gmail.com>
To: scelsa/joseph <jvscelsa@italianamericanmuseum.org>

Wed, Nov 23, 2022 at 1:15 PM

Hello Dr. Scelsa,

I wanted to wish you a Happy Thanksgiving . I also wanted to touch base with you about my case.

At the end of the Conference Call today I mentioned a Settlement Conference to the Judge and both Defendants declined any offers.

I also stated to the Judge that the Expert witness reports which are due on December 12, 2022 but that with the Holiday Season this may not be possible.

I have to make an application to the Court for an extension.

When you can please call me to discuss the production of the report. With Appreciation, Annamarie Trombetta Tel (212) 427-5990

EXHIBIT #3

**NOVEMBER 23, 2022** 

SOURCE INFORMATION

**EMAIL** 

Case 1:18-cv-00993-LTS-SLC Document 526-1 Filed 08/01/23 Page 7 of 36

Gmail - Email



#3A

Annamarie Trombetta <atrombettaart@gmail.com>

### Email

Bialek, Adam <Adam.Bialek@wilsonelser.com>

Wed, Nov 23, 2022 at 11:33 AM

To: Annamarie Trombetta <annamarietrombettalegal@outlook.com>, Annamarie Trombetta <atrombettaart@gmail.com>, "ajd@hoganduff.com" <ajd@andersonjduff.com" <ajd@andersonjduff.com> Cc: "Farmer, Jana S." <Jana.Farmer@wilsonelser.com>, "Haimson, Nicole" <Nicole.Haimson@wilsonelser.com>

Here is the Source Information from the email that I was able to capture. Being sent as a courtesy.

### <html><head>

</head><body><div dir="ltr"><br><div class="gmail guote">----- Forwarded message ------<br>>From: <b class="gmail\_sendername">eBay</b> <span dir="ltr">&lt;<a href="mailto:ebay@ebay.com">eb ay@ebay.com</a>&gt;</span><br>Date: Sat, Dec 1, 2012 at 9:54 PM<br>Subject: Your eBay item sold! 1972 Original Oil Painting Man With Red Umbrella Signed Annamarie Trombetta ygz (330833102936) <br>To: <a href="mailto:ebay@novocin.com">ebay@novocin.com</a><br><br><br><div><div id="m" 3729392004584666707Header"><div> <table cellpadding="2" cellspacing="3" border="0" width="100%"><img src="http://q.ebaystatic.com/ aw/pics/logos/ebay 95x39.gif" height="39" width="95" alt="eBay">align="left" valign="bottom"> <span style="font-weight:bold;font-size:xx-small;font-family:verdana,sans-serif;color:#666"><b>eBay sent this message to Norb & Dry Marie Novocin (estateauctionsinc).</b><br/>
//b><br/>
//span><span style="font-size:xxsmall:font-family:verdana,sans-serif;color:#666">Your registered name is included to show this message originated from eBay. <a href="http://pages.ebay.com/help/confidence/name-userid-emails.html" target=" blank">Learn more</a>.</span></div> <div id="m\_3729392004584666707Title"><div><table style="background-color:#ffe680" border="0" cellpadding="0" cellspacing="0" width="100%"><img src="http://q.ebaystatic.com/aw/pics/globalAssets/ltCurve.gif" height="8" width="8">valign="bottom" width="100%"><span style="font-weight:bold;font-size:14pt;font-family;arial,sans-serif;color:#000;margin; 2px 0 2px 0">Congratulations, your item sold!</span>width="8" valign="top" align="right"><ima style="background-color:#fc0" colspan="3" height="4"></div></div><div id="m 3729392004584666707SingleItemCTA"><div><table border="0" cellpadding="2" cellspacing="3" width="100%"><font style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sans-serif;color:#000">Dear estateauctionsinc, to>to src="http://q.ebaystatic.com/aw/pics/s.gif" height="10" alt=" ">You did it! Your item sold. Please ship this item to the buyer after your buyer pays. As soon as your buyer pays, print your eBay shipping label.<able border="0" cellpadding="0" cellspacing="0" width="100%">tody><td src="http://q.ebaystatic.com/aw/pics/s.gif" height="10" alt=" "></tool> of the following: <br><a href="http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m354.l1337/7?euid=45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b 06&loc=http%3A%2F%2Fpayments.ebay.com%2Fws%2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FPrintPostage% 26transactionid%3D0%26itemid%3D330833102936%26ssPageName% 3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1337" target="\_blank">Print a shipping label</a> Avoid a trip to the post office, print and pay for your label at home. Printing shipping labels on eBay also offers you reduced pricing on some shipping services, and when you print shipping labels on eBay, your tracking information is uploaded automatically.<a href="http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m354.I1663/7?">http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m354.I1663/7?</a> euid=45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b06&loc=http%3A%2F%2Fpayments.ebay.com%2Fws% 2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FAddTrackingNumber2%26flow%3Dmyebay%26LineID% 3D330833102936 0%26ssPageName%3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1663" target="\_blank">Provide shipping and tracking information</a>. When you upload tracking information to eBay, we'll send it to your buyer and let them know the item is on its way. This will save you time, and may result in fewer questions from buyers and higher detailed seller ratings.

Plaintiff000826

href="http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m354.l1662/7?euid=45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b 06&loc=http%3A%2F%2Fmy.ebay.com%2Fws%2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FMyEbayBeta%26CurrentPage% 3DMyeBayNextSold%26ssPageName%3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1662" target="\_blank">Mark your item as shipped in My eBay</a>. Do this, and we'll let your buyer know the item is on its way. This will save you time, and may result in fewer questions from buyers and higher detailed seller ratings.You should always <a href="http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m354.l1332/7?">http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m354.l1332/7?</a> euid=45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b06&loc=http%3A%2F%2Ffeedback.ebay.com%2Fws% 2FeBaylSAPI.dll%3FLeaveFeedback2%26show\_as%3Dsold%26ssPageName%3DADME% 3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1332" target="\_blank">leave feedback</a> for your buyer to encourage them to buy from you again.<br/>
div></div> border="0"><a href="http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m43.l1123/7?euid=45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b 06&loc=http%3A%2F%2Fcgi.ebay.com%2Fws%2FeBayISAPI.dli%3FViewItem%26item% 3D330833102936%26ssPageName%3DADME%3AL%3AEÓISSA%3AUS%3A1123" target=" blank"><img src="http://thumbs.ebaystatic.com/pict/330833102936.jpg" alt="1972 Original Oil Painting Man With Red Umbrella Signed Annamarie Trombetta yqz" border="0"></a>colspan="2" valign="top"><table width="100%" cellpadding="0" cellspacing="0" border="0"><td style="font-size:10pt;fontfamily:arial,sans-serif;color:#000" colspan="2"><a href="http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m43.l1123/7? euid=45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b06&loc=http%3A%2F%2Fcgi. ebay.com%2Fws%2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FViewItem%26item%3D330833102936%26ssPageName% 3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1123" target="\_blank">1972 Original Oil Painting Man With Red Umbrella Signed Annamarie Trombetta yqz</a>style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sansserif:color:#000" width="15%" nowrap="" valign="top"><font style="font-weight:bold;font-size:10pt;fontfamily:arial,sans-serif;color:#000">Sale price:</font>style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sansserif;color:#000" valign="top"><font style="font-weight:bold;font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sansserif;color:#000">\$181.50</font><td style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sans-serif;color: #000" width="15%" nowrap="" valign="top">Quantity sold:style="font-size:10pt;fontfamily:arial,sans-serif;color:#000" valign="top">1<td style="font-size:10pt;fontfamily:arial,sans-serif;color:#000" width="15%" nowrap="" valign="top">Sale date:style="fontsize:10pt;font-family:arial,sans-serif;color:#000" valign="top">Dec-01-12 18:54:01 PSTsize:10pt;font-family:arial,sans-serif;color:#000" valign="top">Dec-01-12 18:54:01 PST style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sans-serif;color:#000" width="15%" nowrap="" valign="top">Buyer: style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sans-serif;color:#000" valign="top">nina correiatd>font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sans-serif;color:#000" valign="top">nina correia <div><table border="0" cellpadding="0" cellspacing="0"><td style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial.sans-serif:color:#000" valign="top" class="m\_3729392004584666707noWrap"><font style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sansserif;color:#000">9naclock (<a href="mailto:ninascorreia@aol.com" target="\_blank">ninascorreia@ aol.com</a>) [<a href="http://contact.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ReturnUserEmail&amp;requested= 9naclock&redirect=0&iid=330833102936" target="\_blank">contact buyer</a>]</font> tbody></div><td style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sans-serif;color:#000" width="15%" nowrap="" valign="top">Buyer's shipping address:style="font-size:10pt;fontfamily:arial,sans-serif;color:#000" valign="top"><div> <td style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sans-serif;color:#000" valign="top" class="m" 3729392004584666707noWrap" nowrap="">nina correia<td style="font-size:10pt;fontfamily:arial,sans-serif;color:#000" valign="top" class="m 3729392004584666707noWrap" nowrap="">14215 prairie flower ct<td style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial.sans-serif;color:#000" valign="top" class="m\_3729392004584666707noWrap" nowrap="">reno, NV 89511-6710 United States </div>colspan="2"><font style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sansserif;color:#000"><a href="http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m43.l1151/7?euid= 45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b06&loc=http%3A%2F%2Fcgi5. ebay.com%2Fws%2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FSellHub3%26ssPageName%3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS% 3A1151" target="\_blank">Sell another Item</a> | <a href="http://rover.ebay.com/ rover/0/e12011.m43.l1156/7?euid=45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b06&loc=http%3A%2F% 2Fpayments.ebay.com%2Fws%2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FUnifiedCheckoutSellerUpdateDetails%26itemId% 3D330833102936%26transld%3D0%26buyerid%3D0%26ssPageName% 3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1156" target=" blank">Send invoice to buyer</a></font> </div><div><span style="font-weight:bold;font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sans-serif;color:#000"><strong>Provide shipping information</strong></span> <img src="http://q.ebaystatic.com/aw/pics/s.gif" height="4" alt=" "> href="http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m44.l1121/7?euid=45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970ff000827

Case 1:18-cv-00993-LTS-SLC Document 526-1 Filed 08/01/23 Page 9 of 36

06&loc=http%3A%2F%2Fmy.ebay.com%2Fws%2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FMyeBay%26CurrentPage% 3DMyeBaySold%26ssPageName%3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1121" title="http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m44.l1121/7?euid=45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b 06&loc=http%3A%2F%2Fmy.ebay.com%2Fws%2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FMyeBay%26CurrentPage% 3DMyeBaySold%26ssPageName%3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1121" target=" blank"><img src="http://p.ebaystatic.com/aw/pics/buttons/btnGotoMyeBay.gif" border="0" height="32" width="120"></a> <br><span style="font-style:italic;font-size:8pt;font-family:arial,sans-serif;color:#000"></span></div> <br></div></div><div id="m\_3729392004584666707OneClickDigestOrUnsubscribe"> <div><div class="m\_3729392004584666707cub-cwrp"><h3 class="m\_3729392004584666707cub-chd"> Select your email preferences</h3><div class="m\_3729392004584666707cub-ccnt"><ul cl 3729392004584666707cub-ulst"><span class="m 3729392004584666707cub-ltxt"><span>Want to reduce your inbox email volume? <a href="http://my.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?DigestEmail&amp; emailType=12011" target="\_blank">Receive this email as a daily digest</a>.</span><br/>or other email digest options, go to <a href="http://my.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?MyEbayBeta&amp;CurrentPage= MyeBayNextNotificationPreferences" target=" blank">Notification Preferences</a> in My eBay.</span><br/>br> </span><span class="m 3729392004584666707cub-ltxt"><span>Don't want to receive this email? <a href="http://my.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?EmailUnsubscribe&amp;emailType=12011" target="\_blank">Unsubscribe from this email</a>.</span><br></span></div></div></div></div> <div id="m\_3729392004584666707MarketPlaceSafetyTip"></div><div id="m\_</pre> 3729392004584666707Footer"><div><hr style="HEIGHT:1px"><table border="0" cellpadding="0" cellspacing="0" width="100%"><font style="font-size:10pt;fontfamily:arial,sans-serif;color:#000"><b>Email reference id: [#<wbr>>45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b <wbr>>06#]</b><br>Please don't remove this number, eBay customer support may ask you for this number. if you should need assistance.</font><br></div><hr style="HEIGHT:1px"><table</tr> border="0" cellpadding="0" cellspacing="0" width="100%"><font style="fontsize:xx-small;font-family:verdana;color:#666"><a href="http://pages.ebay.com/education/spooftutorial/index." html" target="\_blank">Learn More</a> to protect yourself from spoof (fake) emails.<br/>br>eBay sent this email to you at <a href="mailto:ebay@novocin.com" target="\_blank">ebay@novocin.com</a> about your account registered on <a href="http://www.ebay.com" target="\_blank">www.ebay.com</a>.<br/>
<a href="http://www.ebay.com" target="\_blank">www.ebay.com</a>/a>.<br/>
<a href="http://www.ebay.com" target="\_blank">www.ebay.com</a>/a>.<br/>
<a href="http://www.ebay.com" target="\_blank">www.ebay.com</a> sends these emails based on the preferences you set for your account. To unsubscribe from this email, change your <a href="http://my.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?MyEbayBeta&amp;CurrentPage= MyeBayNextNotificationPreferences" target=" blank">communication preferences</a>, Please note that it may take up to 10 days to process your request. Visit our <a href="http://pages.ebay.com/">http://pages.ebay.com/</a> help/policies/privacy-policy.html" target="\_blank">Privacy Policy</a> and <a href="http://pages.ebay.com/ help/policies/user-agreement.html" target="\_blank">User Agreement</a> if you have any questions.<br <br>Copyright © 2012 eBay Inc. All Rights Reserved. Designated trademarks and brands are the property of their respective owners. eBay and the eBay logo are trademarks of eBay Inc. eBay Inc. is located at 2145 Hamilton Avenue, San Jose, CA 95125. </font><img src="http://rover.ebay.com/ roveropen/0/e12011/7?euid=45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b06" height="1" width="1"></div></div> </div><br></div></body></html>

Adam Bialek
Attorney at Law
Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker LLP
150 E 42nd Street
New York, NY 10017
212.915.5143 (Direct)
917.538.0616 (Cell)
212.490.3000 (Main)
212.490.3038 (Fax)
adam.bialek@wilsonelser.com

From: Bialek, Adam

Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2022 1:33 PM

To: Annamarie Trombetta <annamarietrombettalegal@outlook.com>; Annamarie Trombetta

<atrombettaart@gmail.com>; ajd@hoganduff.com; ajd@andersonjduff.com

Plaintiff000828

### Case 1:18-cv-00993-LTS-SLC Document 526-1 Filed 08/01/23 Page 10 of 36

Gmail - Email

uc: Farmer, Jana S. <Jana.Farmer@wilsonelser.com>; Haimson, Nicole <Nicole.Haimson@wilsonelser.

com> Subject: FW: Email

I note that as of late there has been quite a few emails floating about looking for the complete document regarding the sale of the painting. I just came across this which was exchanged during the deposition of the Novicins. Hopefully, this issue is now resolved and we can start reducing the issues that need to be raised on the call with the Court next week. I suggest that we put together an agenda of items to be addressed with the Court next week and see if we can meet and confer on a resolution of as many as we can.

[Quoted text hidden]

EXHIBIT #4

**NOVEMBER 23, 2022** 

ELECTRONIC ORIGINAL MESSAGE EMAIL PRINT OUT

SOURCE INFORMATION

**EMAIL** 

### Original Message

Message ID	<21BC38C09AD4514693C6FCF2B58A34CE0294D6A097@WILEXCSRVPD10.WEMED.com>
Created at:	Wed, Nov 23, 2022 at 11:33 AM (Delivered after 6 seconds)
From:	"Bialek, Adam" <adam.bialek@wilsonelser.com></adam.bialek@wilsonelser.com>
То:	Annamarie Trombetta <annamarietrombettalegal@outlook.com>, Annamarie Trombetta <atrombettaart@gmail.com>, "ajd@hoganduff.com" <ajd@hoganduff.com>, "ajd@andersonjduff.com&gt;</ajd@hoganduff.com></atrombettaart@gmail.com></annamarietrombettalegal@outlook.com>
Subject:	RE: Email
SPF:	PASS with IP 216.71.146.94 Learn more
DKIM:	'PASS' with domain wilsonelser.com Learn more
DMARC:	'PASS' Learn more

**Download Original** 

Copy to clipboard

```
Delivered-To: atrombettaart@gmail.com
Received: by 2002:a05:6a11:e044:b0:35c:5884:6311 with SMTP id
d14csp3749182pxc;
        Wed, 23 Nov 2022 08:33:38 -0800 (PST)
X-Google-Smtp-Source:
AA0mqf5n+Rhq2CQVBT6pk6449pe7/5jOUn9SRMtK1NO0inwYLGX28NHPL1EJu6yKSB8n
X-Received: by 2002:a17:906:34d0:b0:78d:c16e:dfc9 with SMTP id h16-
20020a17090634d000b0078dc16edfc9mr24785827ejb.327.1669221218185;
        Wed, 23 Nov 2022 08:33:38 -0800 (PST)
ARC-Seal: i=1; a=rsa-sha256; t=1669221218; cv=none;
        d=google.com; s=arc-20160816;
b = KLD9 w phe 9 GsIyTpugw Ee 9 z WQfcBcWWT + 9 DjwuZkkXygWVyj8jhiQKVxR3mnLeJvk1b
A3gThXTFFh7z1q3omrWDSX1wuLSgm0obs6gtpA785NBMRr42EkYXIbUYCA3bF768LMwn
/8jMzLgKXga9jW4ttaKnuZ0Ea1is18wZGmHDSbou/KqYkMmbMHvOQqeVZjFN6mM/ybJk
J0qKrMiC59dmt+asQTnlr1B2mLHKeYdSIvpcR23hSIAZUZLOdDhK3j/LKzRjNq05Fyip
EyIy7UXKZTQ7fePaGvENeV0ctZWgsXmJqE9T+T5NAMvZY105b58uoc/KX1NSKOHDR97b
        wRBQ==
ARC-Message-Signature: i=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;
d=google.com; s=arc-20160816;
```

```
h=mime-version:imanage.sendandfile.filinglocations:content-
language
         :accept-language:in-reply-to:references:message-
id:date:thread-index
         :thread-topic:subject:cc:to:from:dkim-signature;
        bh=ZUO94FbhInjliyKCs1K79ZRkbKJ2XvuwbAkW2TX55M0=;
b=00+FAbelVHnKmaUltfA2pdKKYUkddkIRLsExWdBIS5WgEheSd+uNXFroClA4aGKb+8
c6vZmSAVvfF0rEcRAv0no7zqXr1Exu9HM+s+Is50MAoqr9/AcBXKRS3V4P/xq54IxqRy
AT/CI5Ssd+SB3zOijuIdfDGtM2SkefHbhqSqjlssYIJqdvBhpdmcTM1MB+W+GEmrIhEq
kglQxflw5hBXkxclwLS9c9y4j0OS2np4zWTOJiBLmMB0H5w3uN/yh5WwZhpUNb6JeOOz
oBlhg/WioZYLl6/RoFA8jnmlJX5zDrMtBQTX1vxgnRh2y1cGJvCEDswlFn6Ed1bnBDS1
         rR+w==
ARC-Authentication-Results: i=1; mx.google.com;
       dkim=pass header.i=@wilsonelser.com header.s=mailkey
header.b=ogZVhQr+;
       spf=pass (google.com: domain of adam.bialek@wilsonelser.com
designates 216.71.146.94 as permitted sender)
smtp.mailfrom=Adam.Bialek@wilsonelser.com;
       dmarc=pass (p=NONE sp=NONE dis=NONE)
header.from=wilsonelser.com
Return-Path: <Adam.Bialek@wilsonelser.com>
Received: from esa4.wilsonelser.iphmx.com
(esa4.wilsonelser.iphmx.com. [216.71.146.94])
        by mx.google.com with ESMTPS id qf39-
20020a1709077f2700b0078d9c0e8971si17348277ejc.752.2022.11.23.08.33.3
        for <atrombettaart@gmail.com>
        (version=TLS1_2 cipher=ECDHE-ECDSA-AES128-GCM-SHA256
bits=128/128);
        Wed, 23 Nov 2022 08:33:38 -0800 (PST)
Received-SPF: pass (google.com: domain of
adam.bialek@wilsonelser.com designates 216.71.146.94 as permitted
sender) client-ip=216.71.146.94;
Authentication-Results: mx.google.com;
       dkim=pass header.i=@wilsonelser.com header.s=mailkey
header.b=oqZVhQr+;
       spf=pass (google.com: domain of adam.bialek@wilsonelser.com
designates 216.71.146.94 as permitted sender)
smtp.mailfrom=Adam.Bialek@wilsonelser.com;
       dmarc=pass (p=NONE sp=NONE dis=NONE)
header.from=wilsonelser.com
DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=simple/simple;
  d=wilsonelser.com; i=@wilsonelser.com; q=dns/txt;
  s=mailkey; t=1669221217; x=1700757217;
  h=from:to:cc:subject:date:message-id:references:
   in-reply-to:mime-version;
  bh=UGGwTP3Yv2h17sk2o1PkArPP/kkPBi4LSSKB+XONth8=;
  b=oqZVhQr+EYGbANSqSMuwW5eV0RbUCPcUhALaD9Icglcpb0k//eGl01RW
   Z1nTeOwue+XfrJXqdIv2QwMhL63WO0BI810/12b4Z7Q5xhrAd2F+YGHrA
   SlLZrABNGjOBw8rF0HpoIoWrR9HggXawBz87NiyU6dsXC2kho5UpIRcRH
   4 = ;
Authentication-Results: esa4.wilsonelser.iphmx.com; dkim=none
(message not signed) header.i=none
X-IronPort-AV: E=Sophos; i="5.96,187,1665460800";
    d="scan'208,217";a="10393528"
Received: from mail1.wilsonelser.com (HELO mymail.wilsonelser.com)
([64.125.236.41])
  by esa4.wilsonelser.iphmx.com with ESMTP/TLS/ECDHE-RSA-AES256-
SHA384; 23 Nov 2022 11:33:34 -0500
Received: from WILEXCSRVPD10.WEMED.com ([10.254.5.251]) by WE-
EXCCAS-03.WEMED.com ([10.254.5.132]) with mapi id 14.03.0513.000;
```

```
Wed, 23 Nov 2022 11:33:33 -0500
From: "Bialek, Adam" <Adam.Bialek@wilsonelser.com>
To: "'Annamarie Trombetta'" <annamarietrombettalegal@outlook.com>,
"'Annamarie Trombetta'" <atrombettaart@gmail.com>,
"'ajd@hoganduff.com'" <ajd@hoganduff.com>, "'ajd@andersonjduff.com'"
<ajd@andersonjduff.com>
CC: "Farmer, Jana S." <Jana.Farmer@wilsonelser.com>, "Haimson,
Nicole" <Nicole.Haimson@wilsonelser.com>
Subject: RE: Email
Thread-Topic: Email
Thread-Index: AQHYzdMEL0BVNzcYGkKMc/yBNnulBq5DyZRqqAlNAQA=
Date: Wed, 23 Nov 2022 16:33:32 +0000
Message-ID:
<21BC38C09AD4514693C6FCF2B58A34CE0294D6A097@WILEXCSRVPD10.WEMED.com>
References:
<BYAPR06MB4277A3A18295214CF5F27E60AB4F9@BYAPR06MB4277.namprd06.prod.</p>
outlook.com>
<21BC38C09AD4514693C6FCF2B58A34CE0294D3C682@WILEXCSRVPD10.WEMED.com>
In-Reply-To:
<21BC38C09AD4514693C6FCF2B58A34CE0294D3C682@WILEXCSRVPD10.WEMED.com>
Accept-Language: en-US
Content-Language: en-US
X-MS-Has-Attach:
X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:
x-wsquid: IMAN-d4a4709ffd0146a6aee3300e1403962f
imanage.sendandfile.filinglocations: Active!7285068
x-originating-ip: [10.254.5.50]
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary=" 000 21BC38C09AD4514693C6FCF2B58A34CE0294D6A097WILEXCSRVPD
10 "
MIME-Version: 1.0
-- 000 21BC38C09AD4514693C6FCF2B58A34CE0294D6A097WILEXCSRVPD10
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Here is the Source Information from the email that I was able to
capture. =
Being sent as a courtesy.
<html><head>
</head><body><div dir=3D"ltr"><br><div class=3D"gmail quote">-----
--- For=
warded message -----<br/>br>From: <b
class=3D"gmail sendername">eBay</b> <=
span dir=3D"ltr"><<a
href=3D"mailto:ebay@ebay.com">ebay@ebay.com</a>&qt;=
</span><br>Date: Sat, Dec 1, 2012 at 9:54 PM<br>Subject: Your eBay
item sol=
d! 1972 Original Oil Painting Man With Red Umbrella Signed Annamarie
tta yqz (330833102936) <br/>br>To: <a
href=3D"mailto:ebay@novocin.com">ebay@novo=
cin.com</a><br><br><div><div id=3D"m_3729392004584666707Header">
able border=3D"0" cellpadding=3D"0" cellspacing=3D"0"
width=3D"100%"><tbody=
><table
cellpadding=
=3D"2" cellspacing=3D"3" border=3D"0" width=3D"100%"><td
width=
=3D"1%" nowrap=3D""><img
src=3D"http://q.ebaystatic.com/aw/pics/logos/ebay =
95x39.qif" height=3D"39" width=3D"95" alt=3D"eBay">
align=3D"left" =
valign=3D"bottom"><span style=3D"font-weight:bold;font-size:xx-
```

```
8/2/2027
```

```
small; font-f=
amily:verdana, sans-serif;color:#666"><b>eBay sent this message to
Norb & amp=
; Marie Novocin (estateauctionsinc).</b><br></span><span
style=3D"font-size=
:xx-small;font-family:verdana,sans-serif;color:#666">Your registered
s included to show this message originated from eBay. <a
href=3D"http://pag=
es.ebay.com/help/confidence/name-userid-emails.html"
target=3D" blank">Lear=
n more</a>.</span></
</div=
></div><div id=3D"m_3729392004584666707Title"><div><table
style=3D"backgrou=
nd-color:#ffe680" border=3D"0" cellpadding=3D"0" cellspacing=3D"0"
width=3D=
"100%"><img
src=3D"http://q.ebays=
tatic.com/aw/pics/globalAssets/ltCurve.gif" height=3D"8"
width=3D"8"><=
td valign=3D"bottom" width=3D"100%"><span style=3D"font-
weight:bold;font-si=
ze:14pt;font-family:arial,sans-serif;color:#000;margin:2px 0 2px
0">Congrat=
ulations, your item sold!</span><td width=3D"8" valign=3D"top"
align=
=3D"right"><img
src=3D"http://p.ebaystatic.com/aw/pics/globalAssets/rtCurve=
.gif" height=3D"8" width=3D"8">d
style=3D"background-color:=
#fc0" colspan=3D"3" height=3D"4"></div>
</div><div=
 id=3D"m_3729392004584666707SingleItemCTA"><div><table border=3D"0"
cellpad=
ding=3D"2" cellspacing=3D"3" width=3D"100%"><font
style=3D"f=
ont-size:10pt; font-family:arial, sans-serif; color:#000">Dear
estateauctionsi=
nc, <table border=3D"0" cellpadding=3D"0" cellspacing=3D"0"
width=3D"100%"><=
tbody><img src=3D"http://q.ebaystatic.com/aw/pics/s.gif"
height=3D"=
10" alt=3D" ">You did it! Your item sold.
ship this item to the buyer after your buyer pays. As soon as your
buyer pa=
ys, print your eBay shipping label.<table border=3D"0"
cellpadding=3D"0" ce=
llspacing=3D"0" width=3D"100%"><img
src=3D"http://q.ebaystat=
ic.com/aw/pics/s.gif" height=3D"10" alt=3D" ">
<di=
v>Complete one of the following:<br><ul style=3D"list-style-
type:disc;margi=
n:10px 10 10 14px"><a
href=3D"http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m354=
.11337/7?
euid=3D45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b06&loc=3Dhttp%3A%2F%2Fpay=
ments.ebay.com%2Fws%2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FPrintPostage%26transactionid%3
D0%26it=
emid%3D330833102936%26ssPageName%3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1337"
target=3D=
" blank">Print a shipping label</a> Avoid a trip to the post office,
print =
and pay for your label at home. Printing shipping labels on eBay
```

```
Original Message
 also offer=
 s you reduced pricing on some shipping services, and when you print
 shippin=
 g labels on eBay, your tracking information is uploaded
 automatically.=
 <a href=3D"http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m354.11663/7?</li>
 euid=3D45d=
 Odf16f2ea40759d3656f834970b06&loc=3Dhttp%3A%2F%2Fpayments.ebay.c
 om%2Fws=
 %2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FAddTrackingNumber2%26flow%3Dmyebay%26LineID%3D330
 8331029=
 36 0%26ssPageName%3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1663"
target=3D" blank">Provid=
e shipping and tracking information </a>. When you upload tracking
informati=
on to eBay, we'll send it to your buyer and let them know the item
is on it=
s way. This will save you time, and may result in fewer questions
from buye=
rs and higher detailed seller ratings.
href=3D"http://rover.ebay=
.com/rover/0/e12011.m354.l1662/7?
euid=3D45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b06&am=
p;loc=3Dhttp%3A%2F%2Fmy.ebay.com%2Fws%2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FMyEbayBeta%2
6Curren=
tPage%3DMyeBayNextSold%26ssPageName%3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1662"
target=
=3D"_blank">Mark your item as shipped in My eBay</a>. Do this, and
we'll le=
t your buyer know the item is on its way. This will save you time,
and may =
result in fewer questions from buyers and higher detailed seller
ratings.</=
li>You should always <a
href=3D"http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m=
354.11332/7?
euid=3D45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b06&loc=3Dhttp%3A%2F%2F=
feedback.ebay.com%2Fws%2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FLeaveFeedback2%26show as%3D
sold%26=
ssPageName%3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1332" target=3D" blank">leave
feedbac=
k</a> for your buyer to encourage them to buy from you again.<br/>
<br></div>=
</font><div>
cellspacing=3D"3" borde=
r=3D"0"><td valign=3D"top" align=3D"center" width=3D"100"
nowrap=
=3D""><a href=3D"http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m43.11123/7?
euid=3D45=
d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b06&loc=3Dhttp%3A%2F%2Fcgi.ebay.com%2
Fws%2Fe=
BayISAPI.dll%3FViewItem%26item%3D330833102936%26ssPageName%3DADME%3A
L%3AEOI=
SSA%3AUS%3A1123" target=3D" blank"><imq
src=3D"http://thumbs.ebaystatic.com=
/pict/330833102936.jpg" alt=3D"1972 Original Oil Painting Man With
Red Umbr=
ella Signed Annamarie Trombetta yqz" border=3D"0"></a>
colspan=3D"2=
" valign=3D"top"><table width=3D"100%" cellpadding=3D"0"
cellspacing=3D"0" =
border=3D"0"><td style=3D"font-size:10pt;font-
family:arial,sans-=
serif;color:#000" colspan=3D"2"><a
href=3D"http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/el=
2011.m43.l1123/7?
```

EXHIBIT # 6

**NOVEMBER 23, 2022** 

TRANSCRIPT CONFERENCE CALL

PAGES 4 thru 21

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

Docket #18cv993

TROMBETTA,

: 1:18-cv-00993-RA-SLC

Plaintiff,

:

- against -

NOVOCIN, et al.,

: New York, New York

November 23, 2022

Defendants. :

-----: REMOTE CONFERENCE

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE SARAH L. CAVE, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff:

ANNAMARIE TROMBETTA, PRO SE

175 East 96th Street, Apartment 12R

New York, New York 10128

For Defendant -

WorthPoint Corporation: DICKER, LLP

WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN &

BY: ADAM BIALEK, ESQ.

NICOLE HAIMSON, ESQ.

150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street

New York, New York 10017

Transcription Service: Carole Ludwig, Transcription Services

155 East Fourth Street #3C New York, New York 10009 Phone: (212) 420-0771

Email: Transcription420@aol.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; Transcript produced by transcription service.

```
1
                                                        4
             HONORABLE SARAH L. CAVE (THE COURT):
 2
    morning, this is Magistrate Judge Cave, we're here for
 3
 4
    a conference in Trombetta versus Novocin --
 5
             MS. ANNAMARIE TROMBETTA (THE PLAINTIFF): Good
 6
    morning.
 7
             THE COURT: (continuing) -- case number
    18cv993. Good morning, Ms. Trombetta?
 8
 9
             THE PLAINTIFF: Yes, good morning.
10
             THE COURT: All right, good morning. And for
11
    defendants, let's start with the Novocin.
12
            MR. ANDERSON DUFF: Your Honor, this is
13
   Anderson Duff appearing on behalf of defendants Estate
14
   Auctions and Norb and Marie Novocin, thank you.
            THE COURT: Great, good morning. And for
15
16
   WorthPoint?
17
            MS. NICOLE HAIMSON: Nicole Haimson with my
18
   colleague, Adam Bialek from Wilson Elser on behalf of
19
   WorthPoint Corporation.
20
            THE COURT: Okay, good morning, and apologies
21
   for the delay, my prior conference was trying to fit a
22
   lot in before the holiday so I had a lot of issues
23
   with the other parties so thank you all for being
24
   flexible about the time that we're getting started
25
   today.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 | 5

Okay, so, you know, I have a few letters from the parties and I've been trying very hard to sort of discern what remains. I did get a couple of additional letters from the parties last night and so it seems to me, Ms. Trombetta, that one of the big issues that you were focused on in terms of the productions from the defendants was the complete sales receipt from the eBay auction of the 1972 original oil painting. It seemed like from Mr. Bialek's letter that that complete receipt has now been provided to you, is that the case, Ms. Trombetta? THE PLAINTIFF: It has, I did state that in the November  $16^{th}$  letter that you had requested of me, however, I think it's important as I put in my letter on Monday that the original message or the wrong message, which is the coding for that email, be

however, I think it's important as I put in my letter on Monday that the original message or the wrong message, which is the coding for that email, be produced and the reason for my request is that usually with all eBay receipts there is an icon of a square, it almost looks like an old fashioned slide, and the receipts from 2012 from EAI or eBay is absent of that particular icon.

THE COURT: Okay, so --

THE PLAINTIFF: So why reason for the raw data or the original email, which will have the content in

```
1
                                                        6
 2
    that receipt as well as the coding for it, and it will
 3
    just validate that the receipt transference was done.
 4
    I have --
             THE COURT: What you have now is just like a
 5
 6
    PDF version of that receipt?
 7
             THE PLAINTIFF: That is correct, Your Honor --
    (interposing) --
 8
 9
             THE COURT: Hold on, everybody will have a
10
    chance to talk, please wait until I call on you. Go
11
    ahead, Ms. Trombetta.
12
            THE PLAINTIFF: Yeah, thank you. So the
   defendants, WorthPoint, in particular, requested of me
13
14
   several of those type of printouts. So not only did I
15
   print out the PDF, you know, the content, text
16
   content, but I also printed out the raw message or the
17
   original message which gives you all the text within
18
   the tracking and the coding of that email.
19
            THE COURT: Okay, are you concerned about,
20
   that the PDF is somehow not authentic?
21
            THE PLAINTIFF: The production of the original
22
   message will validate and verify that conclusively. I
23
   am just, I just noticed that in all of my eBay
24
   documents there's the icon of the gray square where
25
   the image should be and it usually says, you know,
```

```
1
                                                        7
 2
    click on to view image. But I did not see that in the
 3
    PDF. And, again, since that was asked of me and I
 4
    understand why, then I just would like --
 5
             THE COURT:
                         There's no dispute that the eBay
    sale took place, right, that's not disputed?
 6
 7
             THE PLAINTIFF: Again, the verification of the
    original or the raw coding and email will conclusively
 8
 9
    validate that.
                   All I can tell you with most certainty
10
    is that I did not paint this image, I have produced my
11
    signature --
12
            THE COURT:
                         I know.
13
            THE PLAINTIFF: And I've also cooperated to an
14
   extended amount. I had nothing to do with this.
15
            THE COURT: I know, and that goes to the
16
   merits of this case, so let me hear from --
17
            MS. HAIMSON: Your Honor, may I clarify quick,
18
    (indiscernible) was requested in terms of the actual
19
   email. So I think plaintiff just explained to the
20
   Court that counsel for WorthPoint had requested
21
   printouts of emails and that she was seeking basically
22
   the equivalent. That's actually inaccurate, what we
23
   have requested was essentially the native electronic
24
   format of that email which was exactly what we just
25
   sent her. We sent her the native electronic format of
```

```
1
                                                        8
 2
    that sales receipt. So she has exactly what we are
    requesting from her and I don't know what she's
 3
    talking about when she's saying coding, but we have
 4
 5
    given her the native electronic format of that email.
    So I don't think there's really anything else to give.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: Okay. All right, so, Ms.
   Trombetta, do you have -- Mr. Duff?
 8
 9
            MR. DUFF: Yes, Your Honor, I just wanted to
10
   address this very briefly since this was our
   production, my client's production. The email that
11
12
   she's talking about, we originally produced in April,
13
   April 26th, and it was converted into a PDF and
14
   stamped. During the deposition of my clients,
15
   WorthPoint's counsel correctly pointed out that there
16
   were a few digits that were cut off when it was
   converted to a PDF on the right-hand side.
17
                                                 So while
   the deposition was happening, I forwarded the raw
18
19
   email, the .eml file, which contains all the metadata,
20
   is unstamped, has everything that Ms. Trombetta could
21
   want, that was on September 21st. So she's had it
22
   since September 21st and she's continued to file these
   letters with the Court saying that she doesn't have
23
24
   it. And I know that everybody got the email because
25
   Adam Bialek recently, as counsel just stated,
```

```
1
                                                        9
 2
    recirculated that file. Ms. Trombetta's had this since
 3
    the raw file, since September 21st, and just to address
    Ms. Trombetta's concerns I went ahead and reproduced
    it, restamped it as a PDF that does not cut off an of
 5
    the information on the right-hand side. So Ms.
 6
 7
    Trombetta's had this since April.
                         Okay. All right, Ms. Trombetta, do
             THE COURT:
 9
    you now have the emails?
10
             THE PLAINTIFF: In my letter that I wrote
11
    yesterday or maybe the day before, I gave and produced
12
    -- no, it was yesterday, examples of what it was that
13
    I was looking for. And I had also stated that in the
14
   email that was sent from Adam Bialek, when I clicked
15
   onto the attachment, the attachment activated my
   mailbox on the computer, and a drop down menu came up
16
17
   where you have to select either Gmail, Yahoo,
18
   whatever. I don't have that system operating. If Mr.
19
   Duff sends me the coding of the PDF I would appreciate
   it if he'd send it to the outlook.com. I cannot open
20
21
   what it is that he, that Mr. Bialek sent.
            Now I have been asking repeatedly for the full
22
23
   uncut version of the PDF on numerous occasions --
24
            THE COURT: He said he sent it to you on
25
   September 21st, Ms. Trombetta.
```

```
1
                                                       10
             THE PLAINTIFF: Yes, but on October 31st I
 2
 3
    sent Mr., well I put this in my letter, on the 29th I
 4
    sent, which was a Saturday, I sent a sample of the
 5
    April version of the PDF which was slightly truncated.
    Then I also sent the deposition pages 74 to 79 of my
 6
 7
    Mr. Novocin which states that he keeps all his emails.
 8
    Another thing that I'm requesting is the transaction
    of the payment. Third, any type of receipt from the
 9
10
    buyer which is still missing. This is just basic --
11
            THE COURT: Okay, well it seems to me, Ms.
12
    Trombetta, that the defendants have produced to you in
13
    several different formats a copy of the receipt that
14
   you're asking for and I'm not going to require them to
15
   produce anything --
16
            THE PLAINTIFF: Your Honor, I have to
17
   disagree. They produced in April the cut, truncated
   PDF, it's just the printout of the email --
18
19
            THE COURT:
                       And then he --
20
            THE PLAINTIFF: What I'm requesting physically
21
   is the, I have received the full PDF but not the
22
   original and raw message of that particular email.
23
            THE COURT:
                       He just said --
24
            THE PLAINTIFF: Secondly, there is no --
25
            THE COURT:
                        Ms. Trombetta, you have to listen
```

```
1
                                                       11
 2
    to me. He sent it on September 21st.
 3
             THE PLAINTIFF: That's what they are saying
    and I'm telling you that the email that they sent the
 5
    other day I could not open it. I will send it to
    another person, I plan on doing that over the weekend,
 6
 7
    to see if they can open it, but I, personally, cannot
    open it.
 8
 9
             THE COURT:
                         Okay --
10
             THE PLAINTIFF: They can actually print out
11
    all the data, that entire raw message, and scan it and
12
    send it to me.
13
            MR. BIALEK: Your Honor, if I can, this is
14
   Adam Bialek, I just want, I just want to say I sent I
15
    to two different email addresses for Ms. Trombetta, I
16
   sent it to the annatrombettalegal@outlook.com and then
17
   I also sent it to atrombettaart@gmail.com.
18
   would have gone to two different servers.
19
            THE COURT: And that was attaching the native
   document, correct?
20
21
            MR. BIALEK: That attached the email that I
22
   had gotten from Mr. Duff which was, it looks like a
23
   January 10, 2017, mail form eBay@novocin.com to Norb
24
   Novocin and Marie Novocin that it forwards the
25
   December 1, 2012, at 9:54 p.m. email from eBay at
```

```
1
                                                        12
 2
    eBay.com to eBay@novocin.com, and that has, that's the
 3
    original email that they have which has all of the
 4
    sales price and the buyer's name, buyer's shipping
 5
    address, et cetera.
 6
             THE PLAINTIFF:
                             The buyer has not produced any
    receipts of the transaction of payment, nor have they
 7
    indicated was it paid by check, PayPal, cash, credit
 8
 9
    card, that hasn't been produced nor the sales receipt.
10
    There's only one document that's been produced and,
11
    again, I am asking you, Judge Cave, to have the
12
    defendants print out the data and send it to me that
13
    way.
14
            THE COURT:
                         What data?
15
            THE PLAINTIFF:
                             That's what I did in my --
16
                        Ms. Trombetta --
            THE COURT:
17
            THE PLAINTIFF: (continuing) -- responses, I
18
   printed out, I was requested to print out the February
19
   20, 2016, email. I printed out the text, the actual
20
   email, then I went to the raw message and print out
21
   most of the coding. And then after the October 28^{th}
22
   meet and confer I figured out a way to export the raw
23
   message into a PDF file and I forwarded that to both
24
   defendants as requested. I'm just asking for the same
25
   type of consideration as I am extending to the
```

```
1
                                                       13
 2
    defendants.
 3
             THE COURT: Is that your exhibit 4 to your
    letter yesterday, is that the type of raw data that
 4
    you're talking about?
 5
 6
             THE PLAINTIFF: Correct, thank you, Your
 7
    Honor, that's precisely what it is that I'm looking
 8
    for. I printed out an example of the hard copy which
 9
    is basically any time you open up an email you get the
10
    text or the, you know, the look of the email.
11
             THE COURT:
                         Why do you need the data for this
12
    email?
13
            THE PLAINTIFF: As stated earlier, first and
14
   foremost there should be on the PDF an icon of the
15
   image in gray where it's highlighted on blue and it
16
   says click onto the image. It doesn't have that.
17
   Secondly, it's as requested of me as proof this is
18
   another verification that the sale took place. The
19
   whole case is hinged upon the importance of the proof
20
   of the sale because that's how WorthPoint became
21
   involved.
22
            THE COURT: All right, stop talking for a
23
   minute please so I can ask the defendants a question.
24
   Ms. Haimson or, well actually let me ask Mr. Duff
25
   because I think this is your email --
```

1 14 MR. DUFF: Yes, Your Honor. 2 3 THE COURT: Are you able to ascertain the data for the email comparable to what appears in exhibit 4 4 5 to Ms. Trombetta's letter yesterday? MR. DUFF: Your Honor, just to be frank, I 6 7 have no idea what she means when she says raw data. To the extent she is talking about the email headers which is what you can derive from the raw file which 9 she's had, again, since September 21st, I'm happy to I 10 11 guess convert to a PDF the email headers which she 12 could do and has been able to do since September. But 13 I'm happy to do that and circulate it. I just want to 14 quickly address the --15 THE COURT: I think it's something different, 16 Mr. Duff, to be candid, I think -- I think what she's 17 talking about is the metadata for the email and I 18 realize that as a layperson, and I don't know the first thing about this either, but do you have someone 19 20 in your office who could extract the metadata for the 21 email and then produce it basically like in a PDF? MR. DUFF: Your Honor, I'm not, I guess I'm 22 23 not sure what that, what that means, but to the extent 24 that I can I'm happy to do that. I mean we sent her 25 the raw file that contains all of that --

```
1
                                                       15
 2
             THE COURT: I know.
 3
             MR. DUFF:
                       So if she knows how to do it, she
 4
    should be able to do it.
 5
             THE COURT: She's not able to open the raw
 6
    email --
 7
             MR. DUFF:
                        Well, Your Honor --
 8
             THE COURT: Go ahead.
 9
                        I'm sorry, Your Honor, go ahead.
            MR. DUFF:
10
             THE COURT:
                         It's all right, go ahead.
11
            MR. DUFF:
                        Well with respect, she did say that
12
   when she tried to open the raw file the mail program
13
   started opening, well that's how you open the file,
14
   that indicates that she can open the file because it
15
   is a mail app file. So if the mail app started opening
16
   she can open the file. But because, just that she
17
   doesn't know how to do it doesn't mean she can't.
18
            THE COURT: Right.
19
                       But to the extent that she will, if
            MR. DUFF:
20
   she can explain to me the steps I need to take to turn
21
   a PDF, give her a PDF that will resolve this issue for
22
   her, I'm happy to do that, even --
23
            THE COURT: What I'd like you to do, so,
24
   obviously there is going to be, all I'm, the reason to
25
   make a big deal about this is this is obviously a
```

```
1
                                                       16
 2
    seminal document in this case and the authenticity of
 3
    the sales receipt is going to be something that, you
    know, maybe you're going to be able to agree, but
 4
 5
    maybe not. And so the authenticity of the document is
    important.
 6
 7
             MR. DUFF:
                        Sure.
 8
            THE COURT: And what I'm interpreting Ms.
 9
    Trombetta to be saying is she wants to confirm that
10
    the PDF and the raw email that was sent to her are, in
11
    fact, the actual authentic documents. And so if you
   look at exhibit 4 to her letter yesterday, which is
12
13
   ECF number 317, it's a bunch of garbled characters
14
   that make no sense to me but I am sure to an IT person
15
   they'll say, yes, this is the metadata for an email.
16
   And so --
17
            MR. DUFF: Your Honor, this is the -- and I'm
18
   sorry. I'm sorry, go ahead, I did not mean to
19
   interrupt.
20
            THE COURT: Sorry, what I'm just trying to get
21
   at is that for the raw email that you provided to her,
22
   to extract the metadata for that email and produce
23
   that to her as a, like a PDF similar to exhibit 4 to
24
   her letter --
25
            MR. DUFF: Yes. So, Your Honor, I'm looking
```

1 17 at exhibit 4 right now, that is -- that is the email 2 3 headers, that's what I was talking about earlier. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 So that I know how to produce, I'm MR. DUFF: 6 happy to do that, I can do that from the 7 (indiscernible) EML file that we've already given her, 8 I can do that immediately after this conference and I 9 will do that and then hopefully we can put that to 10 bed. 11 I would like to address one more point that 12 Ms. Trombetta (indiscernible), plaintiff said that we 13 have not produced documents, the sales receipts that 14 the buyer has. Well why would, we don't have documents that the buyer has and we can't produce 15 16 things, we told her many, many times that we cannot 17 produce documents that either do not exist or that are 18 not within our possession, custody or control, those 19 documents are not within our possession, custody and 20 control, and we would have been able to explain that 21 to her, at least try to explain that, had Ms. 22 Trombetta met and conferred with us which she has 23 consistently refused to do. In fact, last Thursday WorthPoint's counsel asked Ms. Trombetta if she would 24 meet and confer with us on Monday of this week to try 25

```
1
                                                       18
 2
    to resolve some of these issues so that we wouldn't be
 3
    wasting so much of the Court's time. Well, Ms.
 4
    Trombetta responded and said that she couldn't
 5
    possibly meet any time on Monday but then Monday
    morning she filed a two page, single spaced letter
 6
 7
    with the Court, so obviously she had time, she just
 8
    wasn't willing to meet and confer. And that's just the
 9
   most recent and one example, one tiny example of the
    continued bad faith with which Ms. Trombetta is
10
11
   proceeding in this case. And that's all I have to say
12
   about that, but I'll happy produce a PDF of the email
13
   header for this crucial email. Thank you.
14
            THE COURT:
                         Thank you, okay.
            MR. BIALEK: Your Honor, if I may --
15
16
            THE COURT: Let me just say I read all of your
17
   letters. I understand that there is a depth of
18
   loathing between the parties here that is almost
   immeasurable, and I understand that the parties are
19
20
   equally frustrated with where we are in the case. All
21
   I care about is getting you to the end of discovery.
22
   I'm not, I don't care about who shot John, I don't
23
   care about who behaved worse, if and when there is an
24
   appropriate time for the defendants' attorneys to be
25
   seeking sanctions or (indiscernible), we will address
```

```
1
                                                       19
 2
    that. In the meantime, it's not necessary and it is a
 3
    waste of my time for the parties to be belying each
    other in writing and letters to me and during
 4
 5
    conferences with the Court. Today we are talking
 6
    about what, if there are any remaining categories of
 7
    documents that either party thinks the other party has
   and needs to be produced, that's what we're talking
 8
 9
   about today.
10
            So, Ms. --
11
            MR. DUFF: My apologies, Your Honor, thank
12
   you.
13
            THE COURT: It's not necessary to apologize, I
14
   just needed to level set the conversation.
15
            MR. BIALEK: Your Honor, may I --
16
            THE COURT:
                        Go ahead.
17
            MR. BIALEK:
                         Sorry. I just wanted to say that
   I just am sending over to everybody the header
18
19
   information or the source information that was pulled
20
   from the email. But to avoid having to come back for
   another conference, I just want to point out the email
21
22
   that Mr. Duff had sent to us was actually forwarding
23
   the original email. So the email that he sent was from
24
   2017 and it came from the Novocin's eBay@novocin.com,
   they had kind of forwarded the email that
25
```

```
1
                                                       20
 2
    eBay@eBay.com had sent to them.
 3
             So while I just forwarded the source
 4
    information that she's looking, that Ms. Trombetta is
 5
    looking for, it's not going to have the source
 6
    information from that December 1, 2012, email, it
    would only have it from 2017. So I just want to make
 7
 8
    sure that everybody is aware of that so we don't have
 9
    to have another fight over it.
10
             THE COURT: Okay. All right, thank you for
11
    that clarification. All right, so one way or another,
   Ms. Trombetta, whether it's from Mr. Bialek
12
13
   momentarily, or from Mr. Duff after this call, you are
14
   going to get the information about the eBay sales
15
   receipt email that we were just discussing.
16
            THE PLAINTIFF: Okay, Your Honor, if I may
17
   just say three things. First and foremost, my letter
18
   on Monday was filed on Friday evening. Any letters
19
   that I wrote that were filed yesterday were written
20
   over the weekend. I did, as stated, had appointments,
   it's a holiday week, I had a lot of things to do.
21
22
            That said, I will go to my Gmail account and
23
   if you go, if the email was sent from Gmail you go to
24
   the arrow, there's a circle with three dots and it
25
   says reply, forward, filter message, you click on show
```

1 21 original and when you click on that what you get is 2 3 message ID created at, which gives you the date, 4 from/to/subject. Underneath that you get the text of 5 the email and all the coding that goes with it depending on how long the email is. 6 7 If it's a Yahoo account, then you go to the email, you go to more, you drop down the window and it 8 says raw message. Now when WorthPoint asked me for the 10 metadata, I didn't know how to produce it. But then I 11 went to file, drop down window export PDF, and lo' and 12 behold, it did it, it brought it to my desktop. This 13 is what I put in my filing yesterday. Again, it's a 14 printout of the original email which is what I have 15 from Estate Auctions Inc. in full. 16 Again, in the spring of April it was 17 truncated, on September 21st it was brought to the 18 Novocins' attention, if Mr. Duff did, in fact, include 19 the original or the raw message, it depends on the 20 email platform. Each email platform, Gmail, Outlook, 21 they all have a different system but they all are 22 consistent with being able to reveal and either print 23 out or export to a desktop the original message with 24 the coding and the text of the message. Thank you. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Are there any other

# **EXHIBITS** TO PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO WORTHPOINT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER

EXHIBIT #1 Nov. 23, 2022 Conference Call transcript page 64. #1 Nov. 23, 2022 Conference Call transcript page 65.

EXHIBIT #2 Nov. 23, 2022 email to Dr. Joseph Scelsa availability for expert report.

EXHIBIT #3 Defendants' Nov. 23, 2023 email unknown coding - Source Information.

EXHIBIT #4 Original Electronic Message Print out Adam Bialek Nov. 23, 2022 email.

EXHIBIT #5 EBay phone Transcript page 19 1972 oil painting sale was an UNDEFINED

EXHIBIT #6 Nov. 23, 2022 Conference Call to include pages 4 thru 21

EXHIBIT #7 WP000130 stating March 5, 2013 was the date the 1972 post

EXHIBIT #8 Rosen vs Terapeak, infringing items WorthPoint's on March, 5,2013"

EXHIBIT #9 A WP000120 Temporaly Google Removal on March 3, 2016

EXHIBIT #10 B WP000134 Google Remoal on Jan. 4, 2017

- EXHIBIT #11 A-April 8, 2022 request the DMCA agent's name
  - B Email to Copyright Office May 2022
  - C WorthPoint Copyright Registration DMCA Agent
  - D Returned Mail from Gregory Watkins
- EXHIBIT #12 A Georgia Lawsuit Process Server False Affidavit —Never asked in Military
  - B Summons and Complaint with wrong dates and claims
  - C Motion for Default Judgement filed Oct. 31, 2022
  - D Proposed Order with Future Dates August 30, 2023 and Sept 29, 2023
  - E Affidavit by Annamarie Trombetta
  - F Affidavit by Phone Call Participant Wanda Buncamper
- EXHIBIT #13 A Withdrawn Expert Witness Peter Trippi Sept. 22, 2022 Florida Address #13 B Subpoena Sent to New York Home Peter Trippi Oct.7, 2022
- EXHIBIT #14 Plaintiff Print and Script 1972 Signature with Girl Scouts Sash and Badge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

Docket #18cv993

TROMBETTA,

: 1:18-cv-00993-RA-SLC

Plaintiff,

- against -

NOVOCIN, et al.,

: New York, New York

November 23, 2022

Defendants. :

----: REMOTE CONFERENCE

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE SARAH L. CAVE, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff:

ANNAMARIE TROMBETTA, PRO SE

:

175 East 96th Street, Apartment 12R

New York, New York 10128

For Defendant -

WorthPoint Corporation: DICKER, LLP

WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN &

BY: ADAM BIALEK, ESQ.

NICOLE HAIMSON, ESQ.

150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street

New York, New York 10017

Transcription Service: Carole Ludwig, Transcription Services

155 East Fourth Street #3C New York, New York 10009 Phone: (212) 420-0771

Email: Transcription420@aol.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; Transcript produced by transcription service.

1 2 defendants to please order a transcript of today's 3 conference. Ms. Trombetta, is there anything else you 4 wanted to raise today? 5 THE PLAINTIFF: It has been a full day. So 6 because I'm dealing with other people, meaning the 7 expert witnesses, and we're approaching the holiday 8 season, if for some reason they cannot submit a report 9 by December 12th, what --10 THE COURT: You need to make --11 THE PLAINTIFF: Can I ask for an extension for 12 them? 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 Your Honor, we object, this is MR. DUFF: 15 Anderson for defendants --16 THE COURT: I know --17 MR. DUFF: I'm sorry? 18 THE COURT: I know, but she can make an 19 application and I'll 20 MR. DUFF: Okay, yes, Your Honor, thank you. 21 THE COURT: I'm not going to prejudge it, but 22 because it sounded like a hypothetical, so maybe we 23 won't. You also have a deadline to file your motion 24 for leave to amend and your proposed amended complaint 25 on December 12th, Ms. Trombetta.

1

2 THE PLAINTIFF: I understand, thank you for 3 the reminder, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right, anything else then from 5 you, Ms. Trombetta? THE PLAINTIFF: Well I might add this to 6 7 propose the idea of a settlement conference, is that 8 something that the defendants would be interested in at this time? 9 10 THE COURT: Mr. Duff, I'll start with you? 11 Your Honor, my clients are not MR. DUFF: 12 interested in a settlement conference, we've already 13 had several in this case and I know the Court has bent 14 over backwards trying to accommodate settlement 15 conferences. Every time we do that it just extends the 16 inevitable conclusion of this case and the 17 plaintiff's, the plaintiff's valuation of this case is 18 just so far away from our client's valuation of this 19 case that we do not believe a settlement conference 20 would be, it would just be a waste of our client's 21 resources and it would further delay the conclusion of 22 this case. Thank you, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay, Ms. Haimson, what's your 24 client's position? 25 MS. HAIMSON: Yeah, we echo the same



Annamarie Trombetta <artofannamarie@gmail.com>

## **Annamarie Trombetta**

Annamarie Trombetta <artofannamarie@gmail.com>
To: scelsa/joseph <jvscelsa@italianamericanmuseum.org>

Wed, Nov 23, 2022 at 1:15 PM

Hello Dr. Scelsa,

I wanted to wish you a Happy Thanksgiving . I also wanted to touch base with you about my case.

At the end of the Conference Call today I mentioned a Settlement Conference to the Judge and both Defendants declined any offers.

I also stated to the Judge that the Expert witness reports which are due on December 12, 2022 but that with the Holiday Season this may not be possible.

I have to make an application to the Court for an extension.

When you can please call me to discuss the production of the report. With Appreciation, Annamarie Trombetta Tel (212) 427-5990

EXHIBIT #3

**NOVEMBER 23, 2022** 

SOURCE INFORMATION

**EMAIL** 

Case 1:18-cv-00993-LTS-SLC Document 526-1 Filed 08/01/23 Page 7 of 36

Gmail - Email



#3A

Annamarie Trombetta <atrombettaart@gmail.com>

#### Email

Bialek, Adam <Adam.Bialek@wilsonelser.com>

Wed, Nov 23, 2022 at 11:33 AM

To: Annamarie Trombetta <annamarietrombettalegal@outlook.com>, Annamarie Trombetta <atrombettaart@gmail.com>, "ajd@hoganduff.com" <ajd@andersonjduff.com> <a href="mailto:com">cc: "Farmer, Jana S." <Jana.Farmer@wilsonelser.com>, "Haimson, Nicole" <Nicole.Haimson@wilsonelser.com>

Here is the Source Information from the email that I was able to capture. Being sent as a courtesy.

#### <html><head>

</head><body><div dir="ltr"><br><div class="gmail guote">----- Forwarded message ------<br>>From: <b class="gmail\_sendername">eBay</b> <span dir="ltr">&lt;<a href="mailto:ebay@ebay.com">eb ay@ebay.com</a>&gt;</span><br>Date: Sat, Dec 1, 2012 at 9:54 PM<br>Subject: Your eBay item sold! 1972 Original Oil Painting Man With Red Umbrella Signed Annamarie Trombetta ygz (330833102936) <br>To: <a href="mailto:ebay@novocin.com">ebay@novocin.com</a><br><br><br><div><div id="m" 3729392004584666707Header"><div> <table cellpadding="2" cellspacing="3" border="0" width="100%"><img src="http://q.ebaystatic.com/ aw/pics/logos/ebay 95x39.gif" height="39" width="95" alt="eBay">align="left" valign="bottom"> <span style="font-weight:bold;font-size:xx-small;font-family:verdana,sans-serif;color:#666"><b>eBay sent this message to Norb & Dry Marie Novocin (estateauctionsinc).</b><br/>
//b><br/>
//span><span style="font-size:xxsmall:font-family:verdana,sans-serif;color:#666">Your registered name is included to show this message originated from eBay. <a href="http://pages.ebay.com/help/confidence/name-userid-emails.html" target=" blank">Learn more</a>.</span></div> <div id="m\_3729392004584666707Title"><div><table style="background-color:#ffe680" border="0" cellpadding="0" cellspacing="0" width="100%"><img src="http://q.ebaystatic.com/aw/pics/globalAssets/ltCurve.gif" height="8" width="8">valign="bottom" width="100%"><span style="font-weight:bold;font-size:14pt;font-family;arial,sans-serif;color:#000;margin; 2px 0 2px 0">Congratulations, your item sold!</span>width="8" valign="top" align="right"><ima style="background-color:#fc0" colspan="3" height="4"></div></div><div id="m 3729392004584666707SingleItemCTA"><div><table border="0" cellpadding="2" cellspacing="3" width="100%"><font style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sans-serif;color:#000">Dear estateauctionsinc, to>to src="http://q.ebaystatic.com/aw/pics/s.gif" height="10" alt=" ">You did it! Your item sold. Please ship this item to the buyer after your buyer pays. As soon as your buyer pays, print your eBay shipping label.<able border="0" cellpadding="0" cellspacing="0" width="100%">tody><td src="http://q.ebaystatic.com/aw/pics/s.gif" height="10" alt=" "></tool> of the following: <br><a href="http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m354.l1337/7?euid=45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b 06&loc=http%3A%2F%2Fpayments.ebay.com%2Fws%2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FPrintPostage% 26transactionid%3D0%26itemid%3D330833102936%26ssPageName% 3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1337" target="\_blank">Print a shipping label</a> Avoid a trip to the post office, print and pay for your label at home. Printing shipping labels on eBay also offers you reduced pricing on some shipping services, and when you print shipping labels on eBay, your tracking information is uploaded automatically.<a href="http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m354.I1663/7?">http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m354.I1663/7?</a> euid=45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b06&loc=http%3A%2F%2Fpayments.ebay.com%2Fws% 2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FAddTrackingNumber2%26flow%3Dmyebay%26LineID% 3D330833102936 0%26ssPageName%3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1663" target="\_blank">Provide shipping and tracking information</a>. When you upload tracking information to eBay, we'll send it to your buyer and let them know the item is on its way. This will save you time, and may result in fewer questions from buyers and higher detailed seller ratings.

Plaintiff000826

href="http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m354.l1662/7?euid=45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b 06&loc=http%3A%2F%2Fmy.ebay.com%2Fws%2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FMyEbayBeta%26CurrentPage% 3DMyeBayNextSold%26ssPageName%3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1662" target="\_blank">Mark your item as shipped in My eBay</a>. Do this, and we'll let your buyer know the item is on its way. This will save you time, and may result in fewer questions from buyers and higher detailed seller ratings.You should always <a href="http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m354.l1332/7?">http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m354.l1332/7?</a> euid=45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b06&loc=http%3A%2F%2Ffeedback.ebay.com%2Fws% 2FeBaylSAPI.dll%3FLeaveFeedback2%26show\_as%3Dsold%26ssPageName%3DADME% 3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1332" target="\_blank">leave feedback</a> for your buyer to encourage them to buy from you again.<br/>
div></div> border="0"><a href="http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m43.l1123/7?euid=45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b 06&loc=http%3A%2F%2Fcgi.ebay.com%2Fws%2FeBayISAPI.dli%3FViewItem%26item% 3D330833102936%26ssPageName%3DADME%3AL%3AEÓISSA%3AUS%3A1123" target=" blank"><img src="http://thumbs.ebaystatic.com/pict/330833102936.jpg" alt="1972 Original Oil Painting Man With Red Umbrella Signed Annamarie Trombetta yqz" border="0"></a>colspan="2" valign="top"><table width="100%" cellpadding="0" cellspacing="0" border="0"><td style="font-size:10pt;fontfamily:arial,sans-serif;color:#000" colspan="2"><a href="http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m43.l1123/7? euid=45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b06&loc=http%3A%2F%2Fcgi. ebay.com%2Fws%2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FViewItem%26item%3D330833102936%26ssPageName% 3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1123" target="\_blank">1972 Original Oil Painting Man With Red Umbrella Signed Annamarie Trombetta yqz</a>style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sansserif:color:#000" width="15%" nowrap="" valign="top"><font style="font-weight:bold;font-size:10pt;fontfamily:arial,sans-serif;color:#000">Sale price:</font>style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sansserif;color:#000" valign="top"><font style="font-weight:bold;font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sansserif;color:#000">\$181.50</font><td style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sans-serif;color: #000" width="15%" nowrap="" valign="top">Quantity sold:style="font-size:10pt;fontfamily:arial,sans-serif;color:#000" valign="top">1<td style="font-size:10pt;fontfamily:arial,sans-serif;color:#000" width="15%" nowrap="" valign="top">Sale date:style="font-style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sans-serif;color:#000" width="15%" nowrap="" valign="top">Buyer: style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sans-serif;color:#000" valign="top">nina correiatd><tr <div><table border="0" cellpadding="0" cellspacing="0"><td style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial.sans-serif:color:#000" valign="top" class="m\_3729392004584666707noWrap"><font style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sansserif;color:#000">9naclock (<a href="mailto:ninascorreia@aol.com" target="\_blank">ninascorreia@ aol.com</a>) [<a href="http://contact.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ReturnUserEmail&amp;requested= 9naclock&redirect=0&iid=330833102936" target="\_blank">contact buyer</a>]</font> tbody></div><td style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sans-serif;color:#000" width="15%" nowrap="" valign="top">Buyer's shipping address:style="font-size:10pt;fontfamily:arial,sans-serif;color:#000" valign="top"><div> <td style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sans-serif;color:#000" valign="top" class="m" 3729392004584666707noWrap" nowrap="">nina correia<td style="font-size:10pt;fontfamily:arial,sans-serif;color:#000" valign="top" class="m 3729392004584666707noWrap" nowrap="">14215 prairie flower ct<td style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial.sans-serif;color:#000" valign="top" class="m\_3729392004584666707noWrap" nowrap="">reno, NV 89511-6710 United States </div>colspan="2"><font style="font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sansserif;color:#000"><a href="http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m43.l1151/7?euid= 45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b06&loc=http%3A%2F%2Fcgi5. ebay.com%2Fws%2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FSellHub3%26ssPageName%3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS% 3A1151" target="\_blank">Sell another Item</a> | <a href="http://rover.ebay.com/ rover/0/e12011.m43.l1156/7?euid=45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b06&loc=http%3A%2F% 2Fpayments.ebay.com%2Fws%2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FUnifiedCheckoutSellerUpdateDetails%26itemId% 3D330833102936%26transld%3D0%26buyerid%3D0%26ssPageName% 3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1156" target=" blank">Send invoice to buyer</a></font> </div><div><span style="font-weight:bold;font-size:10pt;font-family:arial,sans-serif;color:#000"><strong>Provide shipping information</strong></span> <img src="http://q.ebaystatic.com/aw/pics/s.gif" height="4" alt=" "> href="http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m44.l1121/7?euid=45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970ff000827

Case 1:18-cv-00993-LTS-SLC Document 526-1 Filed 08/01/23 Page 9 of 36

06&loc=http%3A%2F%2Fmy.ebay.com%2Fws%2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FMyeBay%26CurrentPage% 3DMyeBaySold%26ssPageName%3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1121" title="http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m44.l1121/7?euid=45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b 06&loc=http%3A%2F%2Fmy.ebay.com%2Fws%2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FMyeBay%26CurrentPage% 3DMyeBaySold%26ssPageName%3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1121" target=" blank"><img src="http://p.ebaystatic.com/aw/pics/buttons/btnGotoMyeBay.gif" border="0" height="32" width="120"></a> <br><span style="font-style:italic;font-size:8pt;font-family:arial,sans-serif;color:#000"></span></div> <br></div></div><div id="m\_3729392004584666707OneClickDigestOrUnsubscribe"> <div><div class="m\_3729392004584666707cub-cwrp"><h3 class="m\_3729392004584666707cub-chd"> Select your email preferences</h3><div class="m\_3729392004584666707cub-ccnt"><ul class= 3729392004584666707cub-ulst"><span class="m 3729392004584666707cub-ltxt"><span>Want to reduce your inbox email volume? <a href="http://my.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?DigestEmail&amp; emailType=12011" target="\_blank">Receive this email as a daily digest</a>.</span><br/>or other email digest options, go to <a href="http://my.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?MyEbayBeta&amp;CurrentPage= MyeBayNextNotificationPreferences" target=" blank">Notification Preferences</a> in My eBay.</span><br/>br> </span><span class="m 3729392004584666707cub-ltxt"><span>Don't want to receive this email? <a href="http://my.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?EmailUnsubscribe&amp;emailType=12011" target="\_blank">Unsubscribe from this email</a>.</span><br></span></div></div></div></div> <div id="m\_3729392004584666707MarketPlaceSafetyTip"></div><div id="m\_</pre> 3729392004584666707Footer"><div><hr style="HEIGHT:1px"><table border="0" cellpadding="0" cellspacing="0" width="100%"><font style="font-size:10pt;fontfamily:arial,sans-serif;color:#000"><b>Email reference id: [#<wbr>>45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b <wbr>>06#]</b><br>Please don't remove this number, eBay customer support may ask you for this number. if you should need assistance.</font><br></div><hr style="HEIGHT:1px"><table</tr> border="0" cellpadding="0" cellspacing="0" width="100%"><font style="fontsize:xx-small;font-family:verdana;color:#666"><a href="http://pages.ebay.com/education/spooftutorial/index." html" target="\_blank">Learn More</a> to protect yourself from spoof (fake) emails.<br/>br>eBay sent this email to you at <a href="mailto:ebay@novocin.com" target="\_blank">ebay@novocin.com</a> about your account registered on <a href="http://www.ebay.com" target="\_blank">www.ebay.com</a>.<br/>
<a href="http://www.ebay.com" target="\_blank">www.ebay.com</a>/a>.<br/>
<a href="http://www.ebay.com" target="\_blank">www.ebay.com</a>/a>.<br/>
<a href="http://www.ebay.com" target="\_blank">www.ebay.com</a> sends these emails based on the preferences you set for your account. To unsubscribe from this email, change your <a href="http://my.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?MyEbayBeta&amp;CurrentPage= MyeBayNextNotificationPreferences" target=" blank">communication preferences</a>, Please note that it may take up to 10 days to process your request. Visit our <a href="http://pages.ebay.com/">http://pages.ebay.com/</a> help/policies/privacy-policy.html" target="\_blank">Privacy Policy</a> and <a href="http://pages.ebay.com/ help/policies/user-agreement.html" target="\_blank">User Agreement</a> if you have any questions.<br <br>Copyright © 2012 eBay Inc. All Rights Reserved. Designated trademarks and brands are the property of their respective owners. eBay and the eBay logo are trademarks of eBay Inc. eBay Inc. is located at 2145 Hamilton Avenue, San Jose, CA 95125. </font><img src="http://rover.ebay.com/ roveropen/0/e12011/7?euid=45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b06" height="1" width="1"></div></div> </div><br></div></body></html>

Adam Bialek
Attorney at Law
Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker LLP
150 E 42nd Street
New York, NY 10017
212.915.5143 (Direct)
917.538.0616 (Cell)
212.490.3000 (Main)
212.490.3038 (Fax)
adam.bialek@wilsonelser.com

From: Bialek, Adam

Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2022 1:33 PM

To: Annamarie Trombetta <annamarietrombettalegal@outlook.com>; Annamarie Trombetta

<atrombettaart@gmail.com>; ajd@hoganduff.com; ajd@andersonjduff.com

Plaintiff000828

## Case 1:18-cv-00993-LTS-SLC Document 526-1 Filed 08/01/23 Page 10 of 36

Gmail - Email

uc: Farmer, Jana S. <Jana.Farmer@wilsonelser.com>; Haimson, Nicole <Nicole.Haimson@wilsonelser.

com> Subject: FW: Email

I note that as of late there has been quite a few emails floating about looking for the complete document regarding the sale of the painting. I just came across this which was exchanged during the deposition of the Novicins. Hopefully, this issue is now resolved and we can start reducing the issues that need to be raised on the call with the Court next week. I suggest that we put together an agenda of items to be addressed with the Court next week and see if we can meet and confer on a resolution of as many as we can.

[Quoted text hidden]

EXHIBIT #4

**NOVEMBER 23, 2022** 

ELECTRONIC ORIGINAL MESSAGE EMAIL PRINT OUT

SOURCE INFORMATION

**EMAIL** 

## Original Message

Message ID	<21BC38C09AD4514693C6FCF2B58A34CE0294D6A097@WILEXCSRVPD10.WEMED.com>
Created at:	Wed, Nov 23, 2022 at 11:33 AM (Delivered after 6 seconds)
From:	"Bialek, Adam" <adam.bialek@wilsonelser.com></adam.bialek@wilsonelser.com>
То:	Annamarie Trombetta <annamarietrombettalegal@outlook.com>, Annamarie Trombetta <atrombettaart@gmail.com>, "ajd@hoganduff.com" <ajd@hoganduff.com>, "ajd@andersonjduff.com&gt;</ajd@hoganduff.com></atrombettaart@gmail.com></annamarietrombettalegal@outlook.com>
Subject:	RE: Email
SPF:	PASS with IP 216.71.146.94 Learn more
DKIM:	'PASS' with domain wilsonelser.com Learn more
DMARC:	'PASS' Learn more

**Download Original** 

Copy to clipboard

```
Delivered-To: atrombettaart@gmail.com
Received: by 2002:a05:6a11:e044:b0:35c:5884:6311 with SMTP id
d14csp3749182pxc;
        Wed, 23 Nov 2022 08:33:38 -0800 (PST)
X-Google-Smtp-Source:
AA0mqf5n+Rhq2CQVBT6pk6449pe7/5jOUn9SRMtK1NO0inwYLGX28NHPL1EJu6yKSB8n
X-Received: by 2002:a17:906:34d0:b0:78d:c16e:dfc9 with SMTP id h16-
20020a17090634d000b0078dc16edfc9mr24785827ejb.327.1669221218185;
        Wed, 23 Nov 2022 08:33:38 -0800 (PST)
ARC-Seal: i=1; a=rsa-sha256; t=1669221218; cv=none;
        d=google.com; s=arc-20160816;
b = KLD9 w phe 9 GsIyTpugw Ee 9 z WQfcBcWWT + 9 DjwuZkkXygWVyj8jhiQKVxR3mnLeJvk1b
A3gThXTFFh7z1q3omrWDSX1wuLSgm0obs6gtpA785NBMRr42EkYXIbUYCA3bF768LMwn
/8jMzLgKXga9jW4ttaKnuZ0Ea1is18wZGmHDSbou/KqYkMmbMHvOQqeVZjFN6mM/ybJk
J0qKrMiC59dmt+asQTnlr1B2mLHKeYdSIvpcR23hSIAZUZLOdDhK3j/LKzRjNq05Fyip
EyIy7UXKZTQ7fePaGvENeV0ctZWgsXmJqE9T+T5NAMvZY105b58uoc/KX1NSKOHDR97b
        wRBQ==
ARC-Message-Signature: i=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;
d=google.com; s=arc-20160816;
```

```
h=mime-version:imanage.sendandfile.filinglocations:content-
language
         :accept-language:in-reply-to:references:message-
id:date:thread-index
         :thread-topic:subject:cc:to:from:dkim-signature;
        bh=ZUO94FbhInjliyKCs1K79ZRkbKJ2XvuwbAkW2TX55M0=;
b=00+FAbelVHnKmaUltfA2pdKKYUkddkIRLsExWdBIS5WgEheSd+uNXFroClA4aGKb+8
c6vZmSAVvfF0rEcRAv0no7zqXr1Exu9HM+s+Is50MAoqr9/AcBXKRS3V4P/xq54IxqRy
AT/CI5Ssd+SB3zOijuIdfDGtM2SkefHbhqSqjlssYIJqdvBhpdmcTM1MB+W+GEmrIhEq
kglQxflw5hBXkxclwLS9c9y4j0OS2np4zWTOJiBLmMB0H5w3uN/yh5WwZhpUNb6JeOOz
oBlhg/WioZYLl6/RoFA8jnmlJX5zDrMtBQTX1vxgnRh2y1cGJvCEDswlFn6Ed1bnBDS1
         rR+w==
ARC-Authentication-Results: i=1; mx.google.com;
       dkim=pass header.i=@wilsonelser.com header.s=mailkey
header.b=ogZVhQr+;
       spf=pass (google.com: domain of adam.bialek@wilsonelser.com
designates 216.71.146.94 as permitted sender)
smtp.mailfrom=Adam.Bialek@wilsonelser.com;
       dmarc=pass (p=NONE sp=NONE dis=NONE)
header.from=wilsonelser.com
Return-Path: <Adam.Bialek@wilsonelser.com>
Received: from esa4.wilsonelser.iphmx.com
(esa4.wilsonelser.iphmx.com. [216.71.146.94])
        by mx.google.com with ESMTPS id qf39-
20020a1709077f2700b0078d9c0e8971si17348277ejc.752.2022.11.23.08.33.3
        for <atrombettaart@gmail.com>
        (version=TLS1_2 cipher=ECDHE-ECDSA-AES128-GCM-SHA256
bits=128/128);
        Wed, 23 Nov 2022 08:33:38 -0800 (PST)
Received-SPF: pass (google.com: domain of
adam.bialek@wilsonelser.com designates 216.71.146.94 as permitted
sender) client-ip=216.71.146.94;
Authentication-Results: mx.google.com;
       dkim=pass header.i=@wilsonelser.com header.s=mailkey
header.b=oqZVhQr+;
       spf=pass (google.com: domain of adam.bialek@wilsonelser.com
designates 216.71.146.94 as permitted sender)
smtp.mailfrom=Adam.Bialek@wilsonelser.com;
       dmarc=pass (p=NONE sp=NONE dis=NONE)
header.from=wilsonelser.com
DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=simple/simple;
  d=wilsonelser.com; i=@wilsonelser.com; q=dns/txt;
  s=mailkey; t=1669221217; x=1700757217;
  h=from:to:cc:subject:date:message-id:references:
   in-reply-to:mime-version;
  bh=UGGwTP3Yv2h17sk2o1PkArPP/kkPBi4LSSKB+XONth8=;
  b=oqZVhQr+EYGbANSqSMuwW5eV0RbUCPcUhALaD9Icglcpb0k//eGl01RW
   Z1nTeOwue+XfrJXqdIv2QwMhL63WO0BI810/12b4Z7Q5xhrAd2F+YGHrA
   SlLZrABNGjOBw8rF0HpoIoWrR9HggXawBz87NiyU6dsXC2kho5UpIRcRH
   4 = ;
Authentication-Results: esa4.wilsonelser.iphmx.com; dkim=none
(message not signed) header.i=none
X-IronPort-AV: E=Sophos; i="5.96,187,1665460800";
    d="scan'208,217";a="10393528"
Received: from mail1.wilsonelser.com (HELO mymail.wilsonelser.com)
([64.125.236.41])
  by esa4.wilsonelser.iphmx.com with ESMTP/TLS/ECDHE-RSA-AES256-
SHA384; 23 Nov 2022 11:33:34 -0500
Received: from WILEXCSRVPD10.WEMED.com ([10.254.5.251]) by WE-
EXCCAS-03.WEMED.com ([10.254.5.132]) with mapi id 14.03.0513.000;
```

```
Wed, 23 Nov 2022 11:33:33 -0500
From: "Bialek, Adam" <Adam.Bialek@wilsonelser.com>
To: "'Annamarie Trombetta'" <annamarietrombettalegal@outlook.com>,
"'Annamarie Trombetta'" <atrombettaart@gmail.com>,
"'ajd@hoganduff.com'" <ajd@hoganduff.com>, "'ajd@andersonjduff.com'"
<ajd@andersonjduff.com>
CC: "Farmer, Jana S." < Jana.Farmer@wilsonelser.com>, "Haimson,
Nicole" <Nicole.Haimson@wilsonelser.com>
Subject: RE: Email
Thread-Topic: Email
Thread-Index: AQHYzdMEL0BVNzcYGkKMc/yBNnulBq5DyZRqqAlNAQA=
Date: Wed, 23 Nov 2022 16:33:32 +0000
Message-ID:
<21BC38C09AD4514693C6FCF2B58A34CE0294D6A097@WILEXCSRVPD10.WEMED.com>
References:
<BYAPR06MB4277A3A18295214CF5F27E60AB4F9@BYAPR06MB4277.namprd06.prod.</p>
outlook.com>
<21BC38C09AD4514693C6FCF2B58A34CE0294D3C682@WILEXCSRVPD10.WEMED.com>
In-Reply-To:
<21BC38C09AD4514693C6FCF2B58A34CE0294D3C682@WILEXCSRVPD10.WEMED.com>
Accept-Language: en-US
Content-Language: en-US
X-MS-Has-Attach:
X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:
x-wsquid: IMAN-d4a4709ffd0146a6aee3300e1403962f
imanage.sendandfile.filinglocations: Active!7285068
x-originating-ip: [10.254.5.50]
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary=" 000 21BC38C09AD4514693C6FCF2B58A34CE0294D6A097WILEXCSRVPD
10 "
MIME-Version: 1.0
-- 000 21BC38C09AD4514693C6FCF2B58A34CE0294D6A097WILEXCSRVPD10
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Here is the Source Information from the email that I was able to
capture. =
Being sent as a courtesy.
<html><head>
</head><body><div dir=3D"ltr"><br><div class=3D"gmail quote">-----
--- For=
warded message -----<br/>br>From: <b
class=3D"gmail sendername">eBay</b> <=
span dir=3D"ltr"><<a
href=3D"mailto:ebay@ebay.com">ebay@ebay.com</a>&qt;=
</span><br>Date: Sat, Dec 1, 2012 at 9:54 PM<br>Subject: Your eBay
item sol=
d! 1972 Original Oil Painting Man With Red Umbrella Signed Annamarie
tta yqz (330833102936) <br/>br>To: <a
href=3D"mailto:ebay@novocin.com">ebay@novo=
cin.com</a><br><br><div><div id=3D"m_3729392004584666707Header">
able border=3D"0" cellpadding=3D"0" cellspacing=3D"0"
width=3D"100%"><tbody=
><table
cellpadding=
=3D"2" cellspacing=3D"3" border=3D"0" width=3D"100%"><td
width=
=3D"1%" nowrap=3D""><img
src=3D"http://q.ebaystatic.com/aw/pics/logos/ebay =
95x39.qif" height=3D"39" width=3D"95" alt=3D"eBay">
align=3D"left" =
valign=3D"bottom"><span style=3D"font-weight:bold;font-size:xx-
```

```
8/2/2027
```

```
small; font-f=
amily:verdana, sans-serif;color:#666"><b>eBay sent this message to
Norb & amp=
; Marie Novocin (estateauctionsinc).</b><br></span><span
style=3D"font-size=
:xx-small;font-family:verdana,sans-serif;color:#666">Your registered
s included to show this message originated from eBay. <a
href=3D"http://pag=
es.ebay.com/help/confidence/name-userid-emails.html"
target=3D" blank">Lear=
n more</a>.</span></
</div=
></div><div id=3D"m_3729392004584666707Title"><div><table
style=3D"backgrou=
nd-color:#ffe680" border=3D"0" cellpadding=3D"0" cellspacing=3D"0"
width=3D=
"100%"><img
src=3D"http://q.ebays=
tatic.com/aw/pics/globalAssets/ltCurve.gif" height=3D"8"
width=3D"8"><=
td valign=3D"bottom" width=3D"100%"><span style=3D"font-
weight:bold;font-si=
ze:14pt;font-family:arial,sans-serif;color:#000;margin:2px 0 2px
0">Congrat=
ulations, your item sold!</span><td width=3D"8" valign=3D"top"
align=
=3D"right"><img
src=3D"http://p.ebaystatic.com/aw/pics/globalAssets/rtCurve=
.gif" height=3D"8" width=3D"8">d
style=3D"background-color:=
#fc0" colspan=3D"3" height=3D"4"></div>
</div><div=
 id=3D"m_3729392004584666707SingleItemCTA"><div><table border=3D"0"
cellpad=
ding=3D"2" cellspacing=3D"3" width=3D"100%"><font
style=3D"f=
ont-size:10pt; font-family:arial, sans-serif; color:#000">Dear
estateauctionsi=
nc, <table border=3D"0" cellpadding=3D"0" cellspacing=3D"0"
width=3D"100%"><=
tbody><img src=3D"http://q.ebaystatic.com/aw/pics/s.gif"
height=3D"=
10" alt=3D" ">You did it! Your item sold.
ship this item to the buyer after your buyer pays. As soon as your
buyer pa=
ys, print your eBay shipping label. <table border=3D"0"
cellpadding=3D"0" ce=
llspacing=3D"0" width=3D"100%"><img
src=3D"http://q.ebaystat=
ic.com/aw/pics/s.gif" height=3D"10" alt=3D" ">
<di=
v>Complete one of the following:<br><ul style=3D"list-style-
type:disc;margi=
n:10px 10 10 14px"><a
href=3D"http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m354=
.11337/7?
euid=3D45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b06&loc=3Dhttp%3A%2F%2Fpay=
ments.ebay.com%2Fws%2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FPrintPostage%26transactionid%3
D0%26it=
emid%3D330833102936%26ssPageName%3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1337"
target=3D=
" blank">Print a shipping label</a> Avoid a trip to the post office,
print =
and pay for your label at home. Printing shipping labels on eBay
```

```
Original Message
 also offer=
 s you reduced pricing on some shipping services, and when you print
 shippin=
 g labels on eBay, your tracking information is uploaded
 automatically.=
 <a href=3D"http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m354.11663/7?</li>
 euid=3D45d=
 Odf16f2ea40759d3656f834970b06&loc=3Dhttp%3A%2F%2Fpayments.ebay.c
 om%2Fws=
 %2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FAddTrackingNumber2%26flow%3Dmyebay%26LineID%3D330
 8331029=
 36 0%26ssPageName%3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1663"
target=3D" blank">Provid=
e shipping and tracking information </a>. When you upload tracking
informati=
on to eBay, we'll send it to your buyer and let them know the item
is on it=
s way. This will save you time, and may result in fewer questions
from buye=
rs and higher detailed seller ratings.
href=3D"http://rover.ebay=
.com/rover/0/e12011.m354.l1662/7?
euid=3D45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b06&am=
p;loc=3Dhttp%3A%2F%2Fmy.ebay.com%2Fws%2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FMyEbayBeta%2
6Curren=
tPage%3DMyeBayNextSold%26ssPageName%3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1662"
target=
=3D"_blank">Mark your item as shipped in My eBay</a>. Do this, and
we'll le=
t your buyer know the item is on its way. This will save you time,
and may =
result in fewer questions from buyers and higher detailed seller
ratings.</=
li>You should always <a
href=3D"http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m=
354.11332/7?
euid=3D45d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b06&loc=3Dhttp%3A%2F%2F=
feedback.ebay.com%2Fws%2FeBayISAPI.dll%3FLeaveFeedback2%26show as%3D
sold%26=
ssPageName%3DADME%3AL%3AEOISSA%3AUS%3A1332" target=3D" blank">leave
feedbac=
k</a> for your buyer to encourage them to buy from you again.<br/>
<br></div>=
</font><div>
cellspacing=3D"3" borde=
r=3D"0"><td valign=3D"top" align=3D"center" width=3D"100"
nowrap=
=3D""><a href=3D"http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/e12011.m43.11123/7?
euid=3D45=
d0df16f2ea40759d3656f834970b06&loc=3Dhttp%3A%2F%2Fcgi.ebay.com%2
Fws%2Fe=
BayISAPI.dll%3FViewItem%26item%3D330833102936%26ssPageName%3DADME%3A
L%3AEOI=
SSA%3AUS%3A1123" target=3D" blank"><imq
src=3D"http://thumbs.ebaystatic.com=
/pict/330833102936.jpg" alt=3D"1972 Original Oil Painting Man With
Red Umbr=
ella Signed Annamarie Trombetta yqz" border=3D"0"></a>
colspan=3D"2=
" valign=3D"top"><table width=3D"100%" cellpadding=3D"0"
cellspacing=3D"0" =
border=3D"0"><td style=3D"font-size:10pt;font-
family:arial,sans-=
serif;color:#000" colspan=3D"2"><a
href=3D"http://rover.ebay.com/rover/0/el=
2011.m43.l1123/7?
```

EXHIBIT # 6

**NOVEMBER 23, 2022** 

TRANSCRIPT CONFERENCE CALL

PAGES 4 thru 21

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

Docket #18cv993

TROMBETTA,

: 1:18-cv-00993-RA-SLC

Plaintiff,

:

- against -

NOVOCIN, et al.,

: New York, New York

November 23, 2022

Defendants. :

-----: REMOTE CONFERENCE

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE SARAH L. CAVE, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff:

ANNAMARIE TROMBETTA, PRO SE

175 East 96th Street, Apartment 12R

New York, New York 10128

For Defendant -

WorthPoint Corporation: DICKER, LLP

WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN &

BY: ADAM BIALEK, ESQ.

NICOLE HAIMSON, ESQ.

150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street

New York, New York 10017

Transcription Service: Carole Ludwig, Transcription Services

155 East Fourth Street #3C New York, New York 10009 Phone: (212) 420-0771

Email: Transcription420@aol.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; Transcript produced by transcription service.

```
1
                                                        4
             HONORABLE SARAH L. CAVE (THE COURT):
 2
    morning, this is Magistrate Judge Cave, we're here for
 3
 4
    a conference in Trombetta versus Novocin --
 5
             MS. ANNAMARIE TROMBETTA (THE PLAINTIFF): Good
 6
    morning.
 7
             THE COURT: (continuing) -- case number
    18cv993. Good morning, Ms. Trombetta?
 8
 9
             THE PLAINTIFF: Yes, good morning.
10
             THE COURT: All right, good morning. And for
11
    defendants, let's start with the Novocin.
12
            MR. ANDERSON DUFF: Your Honor, this is
13
   Anderson Duff appearing on behalf of defendants Estate
14
   Auctions and Norb and Marie Novocin, thank you.
            THE COURT: Great, good morning. And for
15
16
   WorthPoint?
17
            MS. NICOLE HAIMSON: Nicole Haimson with my
18
   colleague, Adam Bialek from Wilson Elser on behalf of
19
   WorthPoint Corporation.
20
            THE COURT: Okay, good morning, and apologies
21
   for the delay, my prior conference was trying to fit a
22
   lot in before the holiday so I had a lot of issues
23
   with the other parties so thank you all for being
24
   flexible about the time that we're getting started
25
   today.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 | 5

Okay, so, you know, I have a few letters from the parties and I've been trying very hard to sort of discern what remains. I did get a couple of additional letters from the parties last night and so it seems to me, Ms. Trombetta, that one of the big issues that you were focused on in terms of the productions from the defendants was the complete sales receipt from the eBay auction of the 1972 original oil painting. It seemed like from Mr. Bialek's letter that that complete receipt has now been provided to you, is that the case, Ms. Trombetta? THE PLAINTIFF: It has, I did state that in the November  $16^{th}$  letter that you had requested of me, however, I think it's important as I put in my letter on Monday that the original message or the wrong message, which is the coding for that email, be

however, I think it's important as I put in my letter on Monday that the original message or the wrong message, which is the coding for that email, be produced and the reason for my request is that usually with all eBay receipts there is an icon of a square, it almost looks like an old fashioned slide, and the receipts from 2012 from EAI or eBay is absent of that particular icon.

THE COURT: Okay, so --

THE PLAINTIFF: So why reason for the raw data or the original email, which will have the content in

```
1
                                                        6
 2
    that receipt as well as the coding for it, and it will
 3
    just validate that the receipt transference was done.
 4
    I have --
             THE COURT: What you have now is just like a
 5
 6
    PDF version of that receipt?
 7
             THE PLAINTIFF: That is correct, Your Honor --
    (interposing) --
 8
 9
             THE COURT: Hold on, everybody will have a
10
    chance to talk, please wait until I call on you. Go
11
    ahead, Ms. Trombetta.
12
            THE PLAINTIFF: Yeah, thank you. So the
   defendants, WorthPoint, in particular, requested of me
13
14
   several of those type of printouts. So not only did I
15
   print out the PDF, you know, the content, text
16
   content, but I also printed out the raw message or the
17
   original message which gives you all the text within
18
   the tracking and the coding of that email.
19
            THE COURT: Okay, are you concerned about,
20
   that the PDF is somehow not authentic?
21
            THE PLAINTIFF: The production of the original
22
   message will validate and verify that conclusively. I
23
   am just, I just noticed that in all of my eBay
24
   documents there's the icon of the gray square where
25
   the image should be and it usually says, you know,
```

```
1
                                                        7
 2
    click on to view image. But I did not see that in the
 3
    PDF. And, again, since that was asked of me and I
 4
    understand why, then I just would like --
 5
             THE COURT:
                         There's no dispute that the eBay
    sale took place, right, that's not disputed?
 6
 7
             THE PLAINTIFF: Again, the verification of the
    original or the raw coding and email will conclusively
 8
 9
    validate that.
                   All I can tell you with most certainty
10
    is that I did not paint this image, I have produced my
11
    signature --
12
            THE COURT:
                         I know.
13
            THE PLAINTIFF: And I've also cooperated to an
14
   extended amount. I had nothing to do with this.
15
            THE COURT: I know, and that goes to the
16
   merits of this case, so let me hear from --
17
            MS. HAIMSON: Your Honor, may I clarify quick,
18
    (indiscernible) was requested in terms of the actual
19
   email. So I think plaintiff just explained to the
20
   Court that counsel for WorthPoint had requested
21
   printouts of emails and that she was seeking basically
22
   the equivalent. That's actually inaccurate, what we
23
   have requested was essentially the native electronic
24
   format of that email which was exactly what we just
25
   sent her. We sent her the native electronic format of
```

```
1
                                                        8
 2
    that sales receipt. So she has exactly what we are
    requesting from her and I don't know what she's
 3
    talking about when she's saying coding, but we have
 4
 5
    given her the native electronic format of that email.
    So I don't think there's really anything else to give.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: Okay. All right, so, Ms.
   Trombetta, do you have -- Mr. Duff?
 8
 9
            MR. DUFF: Yes, Your Honor, I just wanted to
10
   address this very briefly since this was our
   production, my client's production. The email that
11
12
   she's talking about, we originally produced in April,
13
   April 26th, and it was converted into a PDF and
14
   stamped. During the deposition of my clients,
15
   WorthPoint's counsel correctly pointed out that there
16
   were a few digits that were cut off when it was
   converted to a PDF on the right-hand side.
17
                                                 So while
   the deposition was happening, I forwarded the raw
18
19
   email, the .eml file, which contains all the metadata,
20
   is unstamped, has everything that Ms. Trombetta could
21
   want, that was on September 21st. So she's had it
22
   since September 21st and she's continued to file these
   letters with the Court saying that she doesn't have
23
24
   it. And I know that everybody got the email because
25
   Adam Bialek recently, as counsel just stated,
```

```
1
                                                        9
 2
    recirculated that file. Ms. Trombetta's had this since
 3
    the raw file, since September 21st, and just to address
    Ms. Trombetta's concerns I went ahead and reproduced
    it, restamped it as a PDF that does not cut off an of
 5
    the information on the right-hand side. So Ms.
 6
 7
    Trombetta's had this since April.
                         Okay. All right, Ms. Trombetta, do
             THE COURT:
 9
    you now have the emails?
10
             THE PLAINTIFF: In my letter that I wrote
11
    yesterday or maybe the day before, I gave and produced
12
    -- no, it was yesterday, examples of what it was that
13
    I was looking for. And I had also stated that in the
14
   email that was sent from Adam Bialek, when I clicked
15
   onto the attachment, the attachment activated my
   mailbox on the computer, and a drop down menu came up
16
17
   where you have to select either Gmail, Yahoo,
18
   whatever. I don't have that system operating. If Mr.
19
   Duff sends me the coding of the PDF I would appreciate
   it if he'd send it to the outlook.com. I cannot open
20
21
   what it is that he, that Mr. Bialek sent.
            Now I have been asking repeatedly for the full
22
23
   uncut version of the PDF on numerous occasions --
24
            THE COURT: He said he sent it to you on
25
   September 21st, Ms. Trombetta.
```

```
1
                                                       10
             THE PLAINTIFF: Yes, but on October 31st I
 2
 3
    sent Mr., well I put this in my letter, on the 29th I
 4
    sent, which was a Saturday, I sent a sample of the
 5
    April version of the PDF which was slightly truncated.
    Then I also sent the deposition pages 74 to 79 of my
 6
 7
    Mr. Novocin which states that he keeps all his emails.
 8
    Another thing that I'm requesting is the transaction
    of the payment. Third, any type of receipt from the
 9
10
    buyer which is still missing. This is just basic --
11
            THE COURT: Okay, well it seems to me, Ms.
12
    Trombetta, that the defendants have produced to you in
13
    several different formats a copy of the receipt that
14
   you're asking for and I'm not going to require them to
15
   produce anything --
16
            THE PLAINTIFF: Your Honor, I have to
17
   disagree. They produced in April the cut, truncated
   PDF, it's just the printout of the email --
18
19
            THE COURT:
                       And then he --
20
            THE PLAINTIFF: What I'm requesting physically
21
   is the, I have received the full PDF but not the
22
   original and raw message of that particular email.
23
            THE COURT:
                       He just said --
24
            THE PLAINTIFF: Secondly, there is no --
25
            THE COURT:
                        Ms. Trombetta, you have to listen
```

```
1
                                                       11
 2
    to me. He sent it on September 21st.
 3
             THE PLAINTIFF: That's what they are saying
    and I'm telling you that the email that they sent the
 5
    other day I could not open it. I will send it to
    another person, I plan on doing that over the weekend,
 6
 7
    to see if they can open it, but I, personally, cannot
    open it.
 8
 9
             THE COURT:
                         Okay --
10
             THE PLAINTIFF: They can actually print out
11
    all the data, that entire raw message, and scan it and
12
    send it to me.
13
            MR. BIALEK: Your Honor, if I can, this is
14
   Adam Bialek, I just want, I just want to say I sent I
15
    to two different email addresses for Ms. Trombetta, I
16
   sent it to the annatrombettalegal@outlook.com and then
17
   I also sent it to atrombettaart@gmail.com.
18
   would have gone to two different servers.
19
            THE COURT: And that was attaching the native
   document, correct?
20
21
            MR. BIALEK: That attached the email that I
22
   had gotten from Mr. Duff which was, it looks like a
23
   January 10, 2017, mail form eBay@novocin.com to Norb
24
   Novocin and Marie Novocin that it forwards the
25
   December 1, 2012, at 9:54 p.m. email from eBay at
```

```
1
                                                        12
 2
    eBay.com to eBay@novocin.com, and that has, that's the
 3
    original email that they have which has all of the
 4
    sales price and the buyer's name, buyer's shipping
 5
    address, et cetera.
 6
             THE PLAINTIFF:
                             The buyer has not produced any
    receipts of the transaction of payment, nor have they
 7
    indicated was it paid by check, PayPal, cash, credit
 8
 9
    card, that hasn't been produced nor the sales receipt.
10
    There's only one document that's been produced and,
11
    again, I am asking you, Judge Cave, to have the
12
    defendants print out the data and send it to me that
13
    way.
14
            THE COURT:
                         What data?
15
            THE PLAINTIFF:
                             That's what I did in my --
16
                        Ms. Trombetta --
            THE COURT:
17
            THE PLAINTIFF: (continuing) -- responses, I
18
   printed out, I was requested to print out the February
19
   20, 2016, email. I printed out the text, the actual
20
   email, then I went to the raw message and print out
21
   most of the coding. And then after the October 28^{th}
22
   meet and confer I figured out a way to export the raw
23
   message into a PDF file and I forwarded that to both
24
   defendants as requested. I'm just asking for the same
25
   type of consideration as I am extending to the
```

```
1
                                                       13
 2
    defendants.
 3
             THE COURT: Is that your exhibit 4 to your
    letter yesterday, is that the type of raw data that
 4
    you're talking about?
 5
 6
             THE PLAINTIFF: Correct, thank you, Your
 7
    Honor, that's precisely what it is that I'm looking
 8
    for. I printed out an example of the hard copy which
 9
    is basically any time you open up an email you get the
10
    text or the, you know, the look of the email.
11
             THE COURT:
                         Why do you need the data for this
12
    email?
13
            THE PLAINTIFF: As stated earlier, first and
14
   foremost there should be on the PDF an icon of the
15
   image in gray where it's highlighted on blue and it
16
   says click onto the image. It doesn't have that.
17
   Secondly, it's as requested of me as proof this is
18
   another verification that the sale took place. The
19
   whole case is hinged upon the importance of the proof
20
   of the sale because that's how WorthPoint became
21
   involved.
22
            THE COURT: All right, stop talking for a
23
   minute please so I can ask the defendants a question.
24
   Ms. Haimson or, well actually let me ask Mr. Duff
25
   because I think this is your email --
```

1 14 MR. DUFF: Yes, Your Honor. 2 3 THE COURT: Are you able to ascertain the data for the email comparable to what appears in exhibit 4 4 5 to Ms. Trombetta's letter yesterday? MR. DUFF: Your Honor, just to be frank, I 6 7 have no idea what she means when she says raw data. To the extent she is talking about the email headers which is what you can derive from the raw file which 9 she's had, again, since September 21st, I'm happy to I 10 11 guess convert to a PDF the email headers which she 12 could do and has been able to do since September. But 13 I'm happy to do that and circulate it. I just want to 14 quickly address the --15 THE COURT: I think it's something different, 16 Mr. Duff, to be candid, I think -- I think what she's 17 talking about is the metadata for the email and I 18 realize that as a layperson, and I don't know the first thing about this either, but do you have someone 19 20 in your office who could extract the metadata for the 21 email and then produce it basically like in a PDF? MR. DUFF: Your Honor, I'm not, I guess I'm 22 23 not sure what that, what that means, but to the extent 24 that I can I'm happy to do that. I mean we sent her 25 the raw file that contains all of that --

```
1
                                                       15
 2
             THE COURT: I know.
 3
             MR. DUFF:
                       So if she knows how to do it, she
 4
    should be able to do it.
 5
             THE COURT: She's not able to open the raw
 6
    email --
 7
             MR. DUFF:
                        Well, Your Honor --
 8
             THE COURT: Go ahead.
 9
                        I'm sorry, Your Honor, go ahead.
            MR. DUFF:
10
             THE COURT:
                         It's all right, go ahead.
11
            MR. DUFF:
                        Well with respect, she did say that
12
   when she tried to open the raw file the mail program
13
   started opening, well that's how you open the file,
14
   that indicates that she can open the file because it
15
   is a mail app file. So if the mail app started opening
16
   she can open the file. But because, just that she
17
   doesn't know how to do it doesn't mean she can't.
18
            THE COURT: Right.
19
                       But to the extent that she will, if
            MR. DUFF:
20
   she can explain to me the steps I need to take to turn
21
   a PDF, give her a PDF that will resolve this issue for
22
   her, I'm happy to do that, even --
23
            THE COURT: What I'd like you to do, so,
24
   obviously there is going to be, all I'm, the reason to
25
   make a big deal about this is this is obviously a
```

```
1
                                                       16
 2
    seminal document in this case and the authenticity of
 3
    the sales receipt is going to be something that, you
    know, maybe you're going to be able to agree, but
 4
 5
    maybe not. And so the authenticity of the document is
    important.
 6
 7
             MR. DUFF:
                        Sure.
 8
            THE COURT: And what I'm interpreting Ms.
 9
    Trombetta to be saying is she wants to confirm that
10
    the PDF and the raw email that was sent to her are, in
11
    fact, the actual authentic documents. And so if you
   look at exhibit 4 to her letter yesterday, which is
12
13
   ECF number 317, it's a bunch of garbled characters
14
   that make no sense to me but I am sure to an IT person
15
   they'll say, yes, this is the metadata for an email.
16
   And so --
17
            MR. DUFF: Your Honor, this is the -- and I'm
18
   sorry. I'm sorry, go ahead, I did not mean to
19
   interrupt.
20
            THE COURT: Sorry, what I'm just trying to get
21
   at is that for the raw email that you provided to her,
22
   to extract the metadata for that email and produce
23
   that to her as a, like a PDF similar to exhibit 4 to
24
   her letter --
25
            MR. DUFF: Yes. So, Your Honor, I'm looking
```

1 17 at exhibit 4 right now, that is -- that is the email 2 3 headers, that's what I was talking about earlier. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 So that I know how to produce, I'm MR. DUFF: 6 happy to do that, I can do that from the 7 (indiscernible) EML file that we've already given her, 8 I can do that immediately after this conference and I 9 will do that and then hopefully we can put that to 10 bed. 11 I would like to address one more point that 12 Ms. Trombetta (indiscernible), plaintiff said that we 13 have not produced documents, the sales receipts that 14 the buyer has. Well why would, we don't have documents that the buyer has and we can't produce 15 16 things, we told her many, many times that we cannot 17 produce documents that either do not exist or that are 18 not within our possession, custody or control, those 19 documents are not within our possession, custody and 20 control, and we would have been able to explain that 21 to her, at least try to explain that, had Ms. 22 Trombetta met and conferred with us which she has 23 consistently refused to do. In fact, last Thursday WorthPoint's counsel asked Ms. Trombetta if she would 24 meet and confer with us on Monday of this week to try 25

```
1
                                                       18
 2
    to resolve some of these issues so that we wouldn't be
 3
    wasting so much of the Court's time. Well, Ms.
 4
    Trombetta responded and said that she couldn't
 5
    possibly meet any time on Monday but then Monday
    morning she filed a two page, single spaced letter
 6
 7
    with the Court, so obviously she had time, she just
 8
    wasn't willing to meet and confer. And that's just the
 9
   most recent and one example, one tiny example of the
    continued bad faith with which Ms. Trombetta is
10
11
   proceeding in this case. And that's all I have to say
12
   about that, but I'll happy produce a PDF of the email
13
   header for this crucial email. Thank you.
14
            THE COURT:
                         Thank you, okay.
            MR. BIALEK: Your Honor, if I may --
15
16
            THE COURT: Let me just say I read all of your
17
   letters. I understand that there is a depth of
18
   loathing between the parties here that is almost
   immeasurable, and I understand that the parties are
19
20
   equally frustrated with where we are in the case. All
21
   I care about is getting you to the end of discovery.
22
   I'm not, I don't care about who shot John, I don't
23
   care about who behaved worse, if and when there is an
24
   appropriate time for the defendants' attorneys to be
25
   seeking sanctions or (indiscernible), we will address
```

```
1
                                                       19
 2
    that. In the meantime, it's not necessary and it is a
 3
    waste of my time for the parties to be belying each
    other in writing and letters to me and during
 4
 5
    conferences with the Court. Today we are talking
 6
    about what, if there are any remaining categories of
 7
    documents that either party thinks the other party has
   and needs to be produced, that's what we're talking
 8
 9
   about today.
10
            So, Ms. --
11
            MR. DUFF: My apologies, Your Honor, thank
12
   you.
13
            THE COURT: It's not necessary to apologize, I
14
   just needed to level set the conversation.
15
            MR. BIALEK: Your Honor, may I --
16
            THE COURT:
                        Go ahead.
17
            MR. BIALEK:
                         Sorry. I just wanted to say that
   I just am sending over to everybody the header
18
19
   information or the source information that was pulled
20
   from the email. But to avoid having to come back for
   another conference, I just want to point out the email
21
22
   that Mr. Duff had sent to us was actually forwarding
23
   the original email. So the email that he sent was from
24
   2017 and it came from the Novocin's eBay@novocin.com,
   they had kind of forwarded the email that
25
```

```
1
                                                       20
 2
    eBay@eBay.com had sent to them.
 3
             So while I just forwarded the source
 4
    information that she's looking, that Ms. Trombetta is
 5
    looking for, it's not going to have the source
 6
    information from that December 1, 2012, email, it
    would only have it from 2017. So I just want to make
 7
 8
    sure that everybody is aware of that so we don't have
 9
    to have another fight over it.
10
             THE COURT: Okay. All right, thank you for
11
    that clarification. All right, so one way or another,
   Ms. Trombetta, whether it's from Mr. Bialek
12
13
   momentarily, or from Mr. Duff after this call, you are
14
   going to get the information about the eBay sales
15
   receipt email that we were just discussing.
16
            THE PLAINTIFF: Okay, Your Honor, if I may
17
   just say three things. First and foremost, my letter
18
   on Monday was filed on Friday evening. Any letters
19
   that I wrote that were filed yesterday were written
20
   over the weekend. I did, as stated, had appointments,
   it's a holiday week, I had a lot of things to do.
21
22
            That said, I will go to my Gmail account and
23
   if you go, if the email was sent from Gmail you go to
24
   the arrow, there's a circle with three dots and it
25
   says reply, forward, filter message, you click on show
```

1 21 original and when you click on that what you get is 2 3 message ID created at, which gives you the date, 4 from/to/subject. Underneath that you get the text of 5 the email and all the coding that goes with it depending on how long the email is. 6 7 If it's a Yahoo account, then you go to the email, you go to more, you drop down the window and it 8 says raw message. Now when WorthPoint asked me for the 10 metadata, I didn't know how to produce it. But then I 11 went to file, drop down window export PDF, and lo' and 12 behold, it did it, it brought it to my desktop. This 13 is what I put in my filing yesterday. Again, it's a 14 printout of the original email which is what I have 15 from Estate Auctions Inc. in full. 16 Again, in the spring of April it was 17 truncated, on September 21st it was brought to the 18 Novocins' attention, if Mr. Duff did, in fact, include 19 the original or the raw message, it depends on the 20 email platform. Each email platform, Gmail, Outlook, 21 they all have a different system but they all are 22 consistent with being able to reveal and either print 23 out or export to a desktop the original message with 24 the coding and the text of the message. Thank you. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Are there any other